
UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No.: 0-50231

Federal National Mortgage Association

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Fannie Mae

Federally chartered corporation

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

**3900 Wisconsin Avenue, NW
Washington, DC**

(Address of principal executive offices)

52-0883107

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

20016

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(202) 752-7000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2014, there were 1,158,080,657 shares of common stock of the registrant outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| PART I—Financial Information | 1 |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | 83 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | 84 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 85 |
| Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies | 86 |
| Note 2—Consolidations and Transfers of Financial Assets | 89 |
| Note 3—Mortgage Loans | 92 |
| Note 4—Allowance for Loan Losses | 100 |
| Note 5—Investments in Securities | 103 |
| Note 6—Financial Guarantees | 108 |
| Note 7—Acquired Property, Net | 111 |
| Note 8—Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt | 112 |
| Note 9—Derivative Instruments | 114 |
| Note 10—Income Taxes | 116 |
| Note 11—Loss Per Share | 117 |
| Note 12—Segment Reporting | 117 |
| Note 13—Equity | 122 |
| Note 14—Concentrations of Credit Risk | 123 |
| Note 15—Netting Arrangements | 125 |
| Note 16—Fair Value | 127 |
| Note 17—Commitments and Contingencies | 155 |
| Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 1 |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Executive Summary | 2 |
| Legislative and Regulatory Developments | 14 |
| Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates | 16 |
| Consolidated Results of Operations | 16 |
| Business Segment Results | 28 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis | 38 |
| Supplemental Non-GAAP Information—Fair Value Balance Sheets | 41 |
| Liquidity and Capital Management | 44 |
| Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements | 51 |
| Risk Management | 51 |
| Impact of Future Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements | 78 |
| Forward-Looking Statements | 78 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 161 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 161 |
| PART II—Other Information | 163 |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 163 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 163 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 164 |
| Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 165 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 165 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 165 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 165 |

MD&A TABLE REFERENCE

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Single-Family Acquisitions Statistics | 5 |
| 2 | Credit Statistics, Single-Family Guaranty Book of Business | 7 |
| 3 | Summary of Condensed Consolidated Results of Operations | 17 |
| 4 | Analysis of Net Interest Income and Yield | 18 |
| 5 | Rate/Volume Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income | 20 |
| 6 | Fair Value (Losses) Gains, Net | 21 |
| 7 | Total Loss Reserves | 22 |
| 8 | Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guaranty Losses (Combined Loss Reserves) | 23 |
| 9 | Troubled Debt Restructurings and Nonaccrual Loans | 25 |
| 10 | Credit Loss Performance Metrics | 26 |
| 11 | Single-Family Credit Loss Sensitivity | 27 |
| 12 | Single-Family Business Results | 29 |
| 13 | Multifamily Business Results | 32 |
| 14 | Capital Markets Group Results | 34 |
| 15 | Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio Activity | 36 |
| 16 | Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio Composition | 37 |
| 17 | Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio | 38 |
| 18 | Summary of Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | 39 |
| 19 | Summary of Mortgage-Related Securities at Fair Value | 40 |
| 20 | Comparative Measures—GAAP Change in Stockholders' Equity and Non-GAAP Change in Fair Value of Net Assets | 41 |
| 21 | Supplemental Non-GAAP Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets | 43 |
| 22 | Activity in Debt of Fannie Mae | 45 |
| 23 | Outstanding Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt | 47 |
| 24 | Maturity Profile of Outstanding Debt of Fannie Mae Maturing Within One Year | 48 |
| 25 | Maturity Profile of Outstanding Debt of Fannie Mae Maturing in More Than One Year | 49 |
| 26 | Cash and Other Investments Portfolio | 49 |
| 27 | Fannie Mae Credit Ratings | 50 |
| 28 | Composition of Mortgage Credit Book of Business | 52 |
| 29 | Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Held, by Acquisition Period | 54 |
| 30 | Risk Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume and Guaranty Book of Business | 56 |
| 31 | Delinquency Status of Single-Family Conventional Loans | 61 |
| 32 | Single-Family Serious Delinquency Rates | 61 |
| 33 | Single-Family Conventional Serious Delinquent Loan Concentration Analysis | 62 |
| 34 | Statistics on Single-Family Loan Workouts | 63 |
| 35 | Single-Family Foreclosed Properties | 64 |
| 36 | Single-Family Foreclosed Property Status | 65 |
| 37 | Multifamily Lender Risk-Sharing | 66 |
| 38 | Multifamily Guaranty Book of Business Key Risk Characteristics | 66 |
| 39 | Multifamily Concentration Analysis | 67 |
| 40 | Multifamily Foreclosed Properties | 67 |
| 41 | Mortgage Insurance Coverage | 69 |
| 42 | Estimated Mortgage Insurance Benefit | 71 |

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------|---|--------------------|
| 43 | Unpaid Principal Balance of Financial Guarantees | 71 |
| 44 | Credit Loss Exposure of Risk Management Derivative Instruments | 74 |
| 45 | Interest Rate Sensitivity of Net Portfolio to Changes in Interest Rate Level and Slope of Yield Curve | 77 |
| 46 | Derivative Impact on Interest Rate Risk (50 Basis Points) | 78 |

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We have been under conservatorship, with the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”) acting as conservator, since September 6, 2008. As conservator, FHFA succeeded to all rights, titles, powers and privileges of the company, and of any shareholder, officer or director of the company with respect to the company and its assets. The conservator has since delegated specified authorities to our Board of Directors and has delegated to management the authority to conduct our day-to-day operations. Our directors do not have any fiduciary duties to any person or entity except to the conservator and, accordingly, are not obligated to consider the interests of the company, the holders of our equity or debt securities or the holders of Fannie Mae MBS unless specifically directed to do so by the conservator. We describe the rights and powers of the conservator, key provisions of our agreements with the U.S. Department of the Treasury (“Treasury”), and their impact on shareholders in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 (“2013 Form 10-K”) in “Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements.”

You should read this Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”) in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes and the more detailed information in our 2013 Form 10-K.

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management’s current expectations and are subject to significant uncertainties and changes in circumstances. Please review “Forward-Looking Statements” for more information on the forward-looking statements in this report. Our actual results may differ materially from those reflected in our forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors including, but not limited to, those discussed in “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this report and in “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

You can find a “Glossary of Terms Used in This Report” in the “MD&A” of our 2013 Form 10-K.

INTRODUCTION

Fannie Mae is a government-sponsored enterprise (“GSE”) that was chartered by Congress in 1938. We serve an essential role in the functioning of the U.S. housing market and are investing in improvements to the U.S. housing finance system. Our public mission is to support liquidity and stability in the secondary mortgage market, where existing mortgage-related assets are purchased and sold, and to increase the supply of affordable housing. Our charter does not permit us to originate loans or lend money directly to consumers in the primary mortgage market.

Fannie Mae provides reliable, large-scale access to affordable mortgage credit and indirectly enables families to buy, refinance or rent homes. We securitize mortgage loans originated by lenders into Fannie Mae mortgage-backed securities that we guarantee, which we refer to as Fannie Mae MBS. One of our key functions is to evaluate, price and manage the credit risk on the loans and securities that we guarantee. We also purchase mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities for securitization and sale at a later date and, to a declining extent, for our retained mortgage portfolio. We use the term “acquire” in this report to refer to both our securitizations and our purchases of mortgage-related assets. We obtain funds to support our business activities by issuing a variety of debt securities in the domestic and international capital markets, which attracts global capital to the United States housing market.

Our conservatorship has no specified termination date, and we do not know when or how the conservatorship will terminate, whether we will continue to exist following conservatorship, what changes to our business structure will be made during or following the conservatorship, or what ownership interest, if any, our current common and preferred stockholders will hold in us after the conservatorship is terminated. In addition, our agreements with Treasury that provide for financial support include covenants that significantly restrict our business activities and provide for dividends to accrue at a rate equal to our net worth less a capital reserve amount, allowing us to retain only a limited and decreasing amount of our net worth. We provide additional information on the conservatorship, the provisions of our agreements with Treasury, and their impact on our business in our 2013 Form 10-K in “Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements” and “Risk Factors.” We discuss the uncertainty of our future in “Executive Summary—Outlook” and “Risk Factors.” We discuss proposals for housing finance reform that could materially affect our business in “Legislative and Regulatory Developments” in this report, in our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (“First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q”) and in “Business—Housing Finance Reform” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Although Treasury owns our senior preferred stock and a warrant to purchase 79.9% of our common stock, and has made a commitment under a senior preferred stock purchase agreement to provide us with funds to maintain a positive net worth under specified conditions, the U.S. government does not guarantee our securities or other obligations.

Our common stock is traded in the over-the-counter market and quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol “FNMA.” Our debt securities are actively traded in the over-the-counter market.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Our Strategy and Progress

We are focused on:

- achieving strong financial performance and strengthening our book of business;
- supporting the housing recovery by providing reliable, large-scale access to affordable mortgage credit and helping struggling homeowners; and
- helping to build a sustainable housing finance system.

Achieving strong financial performance and strengthening our book of business

Our actions to accomplish these goals have had a positive impact:

- *Financial Performance.* We reported net income of \$3.7 billion for the second quarter of 2014, compared with net income of \$10.1 billion for the second quarter of 2013. See “Summary of Our Financial Performance” below for an overview of our financial performance for the second quarter and first half of 2014, compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013. We expect to remain profitable for the foreseeable future. For more information regarding our expectations for our future financial performance, see “Outlook—Financial Results” and “Outlook—Revenues” below.
- *Dividend Payments to Treasury.* With our expected September 2014 dividend payment to Treasury, we will have paid a total of \$130.5 billion in dividends to Treasury on our senior preferred stock. The aggregate amount of draws we have received from Treasury to date under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement is \$116.1 billion. Under the terms of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, dividend payments do not offset prior Treasury draws. See “Outlook—Dividend Obligations to Treasury” below for more information regarding our dividend payments to Treasury.
- *Book of Business.* Changes we have made beginning in 2008 to strengthen our underwriting and eligibility standards have improved the credit quality of our single-family guaranty book of business. Single-family loans we have acquired since the beginning of 2009 (referred to as our “new single-family book of business”) comprised 79% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014, while the single-family loans we acquired prior to 2009 (referred to as our “legacy book of business”) comprised 21% of our single-family guaranty book of business. As described below in “Strengthening Our Book of Business—New Book of Business,” we expect that our new single-family book of business will be profitable over its lifetime.
- *Credit Performance.* Our single-family serious delinquency rate, which has decreased each quarter since the first quarter of 2010, was 2.05% as of June 30, 2014, compared with 2.38% as of December 31, 2013. See “Improving the Credit Performance of our Book of Business” below for additional information on the credit performance of the mortgage loans in our single-family guaranty book of business for each of the last six quarters, and for a description of our strategies for reducing credit losses on our legacy book of business.

Although we have improved our financial performance and the quality of our book of business since entering into conservatorship in 2008, we remain under conservatorship and subject to the restrictions of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury. As a result of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement and directives from our conservator, we are not permitted to retain our net worth (other than a limited amount that will decrease to zero by 2018), rebuild our capital position or pay dividends or other distributions to stockholders other than Treasury. See “Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements” in our 2013 Form 10-K for more information regarding our conservatorship and our senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury. In addition, the future of our company remains uncertain. Congress continues to consider options for reform of the housing finance system, including the GSEs, and we cannot predict the prospects for the enactment, timing or final content of housing finance reform legislation. See “Legislative and Regulatory Developments” in this report and in our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q and “Business—Housing Finance Reform” in our 2013 Form 10-K for information on recent proposals for housing finance reform.

Supporting the housing recovery by providing reliable, large-scale access to affordable mortgage credit and helping struggling homeowners

We continued our efforts to support the housing recovery in the second quarter of 2014. We remained the largest single issuer of mortgage-related securities in the secondary market during the second quarter of 2014 and a continuous source of liquidity in the multifamily market. We also continued to help struggling homeowners. In the second quarter of 2014, we provided over 43,000 loan workouts to help homeowners stay in their homes or otherwise avoid foreclosure. We discuss our activities to support the housing and mortgage markets in “Contributions to the Housing and Mortgage Markets” below.

Helping to build a sustainable housing finance system

We also continued our efforts to help lay the foundation for a safer, transparent and sustainable housing finance system, including pursuing the strategic goals identified by our conservator, as well as investing in improvements to our business and infrastructure. We discuss these efforts in “Helping to Build a Sustainable Housing Finance System” below.

Summary of Our Financial Performance

Comprehensive Income

Quarterly Results

We recognized comprehensive income of \$3.7 billion in the second quarter of 2014, consisting of net income of \$3.7 billion and other comprehensive income of \$45 million. In comparison, we recognized comprehensive income of \$10.3 billion in the second quarter of 2013, consisting of net income of \$10.1 billion and other comprehensive income of \$166 million. The decrease in our comprehensive income was primarily due to a decline in credit-related income and the recognition of fair value losses in the second quarter of 2014.

Credit-related income decreased to \$1.9 billion in the second quarter of 2014 from \$5.7 billion in the second quarter of 2013. Our credit results for the second quarters of 2014 and 2013 were primarily driven by increases in home prices. In addition, credit-related income in the second quarter of 2013 benefited from increases in the sales prices of our REO properties, as well as the impact of updates to the assumptions and data used to estimate our allowance for loan losses for individually impaired single-family loans, to reflect faster prepayment and lower default expectations for these loans, which resulted in a decrease to our allowance for loan losses. See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Total Loss Reserves—Single-Family Loss Reserves” in our 2013 Form 10-K for additional information.

Fair value losses of \$934 million in the second quarter of 2014 were primarily driven by derivative fair value losses as longer-term swap rates declined in the second quarter of 2014. Fair value gains of \$829 million in the second quarter of 2013 were primarily driven by derivative fair value gains as swap rates increased in the second quarter of 2013.

Year-to-Date Results

We recognized comprehensive income of \$9.4 billion in the first half of 2014, consisting of net income of \$9.0 billion and other comprehensive income of \$417 million. In comparison, we recognized comprehensive income of \$69.6 billion in the first half of 2013, consisting of net income of \$68.8 billion and other comprehensive income of \$820 million.

Our pre-tax income was \$13.3 billion in the first half of 2014 compared with \$20.2 billion in the first half of 2013. The decrease in our pre-tax income was primarily due to a decrease in credit-related income to \$2.9 billion in the first half of 2014 from \$6.9 billion in the first half of 2013 and the recognition of fair value losses of \$2.1 billion in the first half of 2014 compared with fair value gains of \$1.7 billion in the first half of 2013, due to the same factors that impacted the second quarter of 2014, which are described above.

In addition, net interest income decreased to \$9.6 billion in the first half of 2014 from \$12.0 billion in the first half of 2013, primarily due to a decline in the average balance of our retained mortgage portfolio, partially offset by higher guaranty fee income. Also contributing to the decline in our net interest income in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 was our recognition in the first quarter of 2013 of \$518 million of income from unamortized cost basis adjustments on loans repurchased by Bank of America as part of a resolution agreement that was entered into in January 2013.

These decreases were partially offset by income from settlement agreements resolving certain lawsuits relating to private-label mortgage-related securities (“PLS”) sold to us, resolutions we entered into relating to representation and warranty matters and compensatory fees related to servicing matters. In the first half of 2014, we recognized \$4.9 billion in income related to these arrangements, compared with \$1.5 billion in the first half of 2013.

Our comprehensive income for the first half of 2014 included a provision for federal income taxes of \$4.3 billion. Our comprehensive income for the first half of 2013 included a benefit for federal income taxes of \$48.6 billion resulting from the

release of our valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets in the first quarter of 2013, partially offset by our provision for federal income taxes in the second quarter of 2013. We discuss the factors that led to our conclusion to release the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets in “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Deferred Tax Assets” and “Note 10, Income Taxes” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

We expect volatility from period to period in our financial results from a number of factors, particularly changes in market conditions that result in periodic fluctuations in the estimated fair value of the financial instruments that we mark to market through our earnings. These instruments include derivatives and securities. The estimated fair value of our derivatives and securities may fluctuate substantially from period to period because of changes in interest rates, credit spreads and interest rate volatility, as well as activity related to these financial instruments. While the estimated fair value of our derivatives that serve to mitigate certain risk exposures may fluctuate, some of the financial instruments that generate these exposures are not recorded at fair value in our condensed consolidated financial statements. In addition, our credit-related income or expense can vary substantially from period to period primarily due to changes in home prices, borrower payment behavior and economic conditions.

See “Consolidated Results of Operations” for more information on our results.

Net Worth

Our net worth decreased to \$6.1 billion as of June 30, 2014 from \$9.6 billion as of December 31, 2013 primarily due to our payments to Treasury of \$12.9 billion in senior preferred stock dividends, partially offset by our comprehensive income of \$9.4 billion for the first half of 2014. Our expected dividend payment of \$3.7 billion for the third quarter of 2014 is calculated based on our net worth of \$6.1 billion as of June 30, 2014 less the applicable capital reserve amount of \$2.4 billion.

Strengthening Our Book of Business

New Book of Business

Beginning in 2008, we took actions to significantly strengthen our underwriting and eligibility standards and change our pricing to promote sustainable homeownership and stability in the housing market. These actions have improved the credit quality of our book of business. Given their strong credit risk profile and based on their performance so far, we expect that in the aggregate the loans we have acquired since January 1, 2009, which comprised 79% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014, will be profitable over their lifetime, by which we mean that we expect our guaranty fee income on these loans to exceed our credit losses and administrative costs for them. In contrast, we expect that the single-family loans we acquired from 2005 through 2008, in the aggregate, will not be profitable over their lifetime. See “Outlook—Factors that Could Cause Actual Results to be Materially Different from Our Estimates and Expectations” in this report and “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of factors that could cause our expectations regarding the performance of the loans in our single-family book of business to change. For information on certain credit characteristics of our new single-family book of business as compared with our legacy book of business, see “Table 29: Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Held, by Acquisition Period.” For more information on the credit risk profile of our single-family guaranty book of business, see “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management,” including “Table 30: Risk Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume and Guaranty Book of Business” in that section.

Our new single-family book of business includes loans that are refinancings of loans that were in our legacy book of business, including loans acquired under our Refi Plus™ initiative, which has provided refinancing flexibility to eligible Fannie Mae borrowers since 2009. Our Refi Plus initiative includes loans acquired under the Obama Administration’s Home Affordable Refinance Program (“HARP®”). As of June 30, 2014, of the loans in our single-family guaranty book of business, 59% were non-Refi Plus loans acquired since the beginning of 2009, 20% were Refi Plus loans, and the remaining 21% were acquired prior to 2009. Information about the impact of HARP and Refi Plus on the credit characteristics of our new single-family book of business appears in “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Credit Profile Summary—HARP and Refi Plus Loans” and in “Table 29: Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Held, by Acquisition Period.”

Recently Acquired Single-Family Loans

Table 1 below displays information regarding our average charged guaranty fee on and specified risk characteristics of the single-family loans we acquired in each of the last six quarters. Table 1 also displays the volume of our single-family Fannie Mae MBS issuances for these periods, which is indicative of the volume of single-family loans we acquired for these periods.

Table 1: Single-Family Acquisitions Statistics

| | 2014 | | 2013 | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Q2 | Q1 | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 |
| Single-family average charged guaranty fee on new acquisitions (in basis points) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 62.6 | 63.0 | 61.2 | 58.7 | 56.9 | 54.4 |
| Single-family Fannie Mae MBS issuances (in millions) ⁽³⁾ | \$ 84,096 | \$ 76,972 | \$ 117,809 | \$ 186,459 | \$ 206,978 | \$ 221,865 |
| Select risk characteristics of single-family conventional acquisitions: ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | | |
| Weighted average FICO credit score at origination | 744 | 741 | 745 | 750 | 754 | 757 |
| Weighted average original loan-to-value ratio ⁽⁵⁾ | 77 % | 77 % | 77 % | 76 % | 75 % | 75 % |
| Original loan-to-value ratio over 80% ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ | 32 % | 31 % | 33 % | 31 % | 29 % | 26 % |
| Loan purpose: | | | | | | |
| Purchase | 54 % | 45 % | 49 % | 38 % | 25 % | 17 % |
| Refinance | 46 % | 55 % | 51 % | 62 % | 75 % | 83 % |

⁽¹⁾ Includes the impact of a 10 basis point guaranty fee increase implemented pursuant to the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011 (the “TCCA”), the incremental revenue from which must be remitted to Treasury. The resulting revenue is included in guaranty fee income and the expense is recognized as “TCCA fees.”

⁽²⁾ Calculated based on the average contractual fee rate for our single-family guaranty arrangements entered into during the period plus the recognition of any upfront cash payments ratably over an estimated average life, expressed in basis points.

⁽³⁾ Consists of unpaid principal balance of Fannie Mae MBS issued and guaranteed by the Single-Family segment during the period.

⁽⁴⁾ Calculated based on unpaid principal balance of single-family loans for each category at time of acquisition. Single-family business volume refers to both single-family mortgage loans we purchase for our retained mortgage portfolio and single-family mortgage loans we guarantee.

⁽⁵⁾ The original loan-to-value (“LTV”) ratio generally is based on the original unpaid principal balance of the loan divided by the appraised property value reported to us at the time of acquisition of the loan. Excludes loans for which this information is not readily available.

⁽⁶⁾ We purchase loans with original LTV ratios above 80% as part of our mission to serve the primary mortgage market and provide liquidity to the housing finance system. Except as permitted under HARP, our charter generally requires primary mortgage insurance or other credit enhancement for loans that we acquire that have an LTV ratio over 80%.

The average charged guaranty fee on our newly acquired single-family loans in the second quarter of 2014 was 62.6 basis points, slightly down from 63.0 basis points in the first quarter of 2014 but increased from 56.9 basis points in the second quarter of 2013. These shifts in our average charged guaranty fee are primarily the result of shifts in loan level price adjustments, which are higher when our acquisitions include a higher proportion of loans with higher loan-to-value (“LTV”) ratios or lower FICO credit scores. Loan level price adjustments refer to one-time cash fees that we charge at the time we initially acquire a loan based on the credit characteristics of the loan. See “Legislative and Regulatory Developments—Potential Changes to Our Single-Family Guaranty Fee Pricing” for information on potential future changes to our guaranty fee pricing.

The increase in our acquisitions of loans with higher LTV ratios in the second quarter of 2014 as compared with the second quarter of 2013 was primarily due to a decline in the percentage of our acquisitions consisting of refinance loans and a corresponding increase in the percentage of our acquisitions consisting of home purchase loans, which typically have higher LTV ratios than non-HARP refinance loans. In the second quarter of 2014, refinancings comprised approximately 46% of our single-family conventional business volume, compared with approximately 75% in the second quarter of 2013. In addition, we experienced a decline in the average FICO credit scores of our acquisitions in the second quarter of 2014 as compared with the second quarter of 2013. Despite this shift in the credit risk profile of our acquisitions, the single-family loans we acquired in the second quarter of 2014 continued to have a strong credit profile, with a weighted average original LTV ratio of 77%, a weighted average FICO credit score of 744, and a product mix with a significant percentage of fully amortizing fixed-rate mortgage loans. For more information on the credit risk profile of our single-family conventional loan acquisitions in the second quarter of 2014, see “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk

Management,” including “Table 30: Risk Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume and Guaranty Book of Business” in that section.

We expect refinancings to continue to constitute a smaller portion of our single-family business volume in 2014 than in 2013. As a result, we expect to continue to acquire a higher proportion of loans with higher LTV ratios in 2014 than in 2013. Overall mortgage originations also declined significantly in the first half of 2014 as compared with the first half of 2013, and we expect mortgage originations in 2014 to be lower overall than in 2013.

Whether the loans we acquire in the future will exhibit an overall credit profile and performance similar to our more recent acquisitions will depend on a number of factors, including our future pricing and eligibility standards and those of mortgage insurers, the Federal Housing Administration (“FHA”) and the Department of Veterans Affairs (“VA”), the percentage of loan originations representing refinancings, changes in interest rates, our future objectives and activities in support of those objectives, including actions we may take to reach additional underserved creditworthy borrowers, government policy, market and competitive conditions, and the volume and characteristics of HARP loans we acquire in the future. In addition, if our lender customers retain more of the higher-quality loans they originate, it could negatively affect the credit risk profile of our new single-family acquisitions.

Improving the Credit Performance of our Book of Business

We continue our efforts to improve the credit performance of our book of business. In addition to acquiring loans with strong credit profiles, as we discuss above in “Strengthening Our Book of Business,” we continue to execute on our strategies for reducing credit losses on our legacy book of business, such as helping eligible Fannie Mae borrowers with high LTV ratio loans refinance into more sustainable loans through HARP, offering borrowers loan modifications that can significantly reduce their monthly payments, pursuing foreclosure alternatives and managing our real estate owned (“REO”) inventory to minimize costs and maximize sales proceeds. As we work to reduce credit losses, we also seek to assist struggling homeowners, help stabilize communities and support the housing market.

Table 2 presents information for each of the last six quarters about the credit performance of mortgage loans in our single-family guaranty book of business and our workouts. The term “workouts” refers to both home retention solutions (loan modifications and other solutions that enable a borrower to stay in his or her home) and foreclosure alternatives (short sales and deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure). The workout information in Table 2 does not reflect repayment plans and forbearances that have been initiated but not completed, nor does it reflect trial modifications that have not become permanent.

Table 2: Credit Statistics, Single-Family Guaranty Book of Business⁽¹⁾

| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Q2 YTD | Q2 | Q1 | Full Year | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| As of the end of each period: | | | | | | | | |
| Serious delinquency rate ⁽²⁾ | 2.05 % | 2.05 % | 2.19 % | 2.38 % | 2.38 % | 2.55 % | 2.77 % | 3.02 % |
| Seriously delinquent loan count | 357,267 | 357,267 | 383,810 | 418,837 | 418,837 | 447,840 | 483,253 | 527,529 |
| Troubled debt restructurings on accrual status ⁽³⁾ | \$ 144,911 | \$ 144,911 | \$ 144,077 | \$ 140,512 | \$ 140,512 | \$ 138,165 | \$ 136,558 | \$ 134,325 |
| Nonaccrual loans ⁽⁴⁾ | 69,550 | 69,550 | 73,972 | 81,355 | 81,355 | 86,848 | 93,883 | 102,602 |
| Foreclosed property inventory: | | | | | | | | |
| Number of properties ⁽⁵⁾ | 96,796 | 96,796 | 102,398 | 103,229 | 103,229 | 100,941 | 96,920 | 101,449 |
| Carrying value | \$ 10,347 | \$ 10,347 | \$ 10,492 | \$ 10,334 | \$ 10,334 | \$ 10,036 | \$ 9,075 | \$ 9,263 |
| Combined loss reserves ⁽⁶⁾ | 39,984 | 39,984 | 42,919 | 44,705 | 44,705 | 45,608 | 49,930 | 56,626 |
| Total loss reserves ⁽⁷⁾ | 41,657 | 41,657 | 44,760 | 46,689 | 46,689 | 47,664 | 52,141 | 59,114 |
| During the period: | | | | | | | | |
| Foreclosed property (number of properties): | | | | | | | | |
| Acquisitions ⁽⁵⁾ | 63,574 | 31,678 | 31,896 | 144,384 | 32,208 | 37,353 | 36,106 | 38,717 |
| Dispositions | (70,007) | (37,280) | (32,727) | (146,821) | (29,920) | (33,332) | (40,635) | (42,934) |
| Credit-related income ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 2,783 | \$ 1,781 | \$ 1,002 | \$ 11,205 | \$ 848 | \$ 3,642 | \$ 5,681 | \$ 1,034 |
| Credit losses ⁽⁹⁾ | 2,624 | 1,497 | 1,127 | 4,452 | 325 | 1,083 | 1,541 | 1,503 |
| REO net sales prices to unpaid principal balance ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 68 % | 69 % | 68 % | 67 % | 68 % | 68 % | 68 % | 65 % |
| Short sales net sales price to unpaid principal balance ⁽¹¹⁾ | 71 % | 72 % | 71 % | 67 % | 70 % | 68 % | 67 % | 64 % |
| Loan workout activity (number of loans): | | | | | | | | |
| Home retention loan workouts ⁽¹²⁾ | 71,938 | 33,639 | 38,299 | 172,029 | 41,053 | 39,559 | 43,782 | 47,635 |
| Short sales and deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure | 19,643 | 9,516 | 10,127 | 61,949 | 13,021 | 15,092 | 17,710 | 16,126 |
| Total loan workouts | <u>91,581</u> | <u>43,155</u> | <u>48,426</u> | <u>233,978</u> | <u>54,074</u> | <u>54,651</u> | <u>61,492</u> | <u>63,761</u> |
| Loan workouts as a percentage of the average balance of delinquent loans in our guaranty book of business ⁽¹³⁾ | 24.98 % | 24.69 % | 25.70 % | 26.01 % | 26.59 % | 25.32 % | 26.93 % | 25.88 % |

⁽¹⁾ Our single-family guaranty book of business consists of (a) single-family mortgage loans of Fannie Mae, (b) single-family mortgage loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS, and (c) other credit enhancements that we provide on single-family mortgage assets, such as long-term standby commitments. It excludes non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our retained mortgage portfolio for which we do not provide a guaranty.

⁽²⁾ Calculated based on the number of single-family conventional loans that are 90 days or more past due or in the foreclosure process, divided by the number of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business. We include single-family conventional loans that we own and those that back Fannie Mae MBS in the calculation of the single-family serious delinquency rate.

⁽³⁾ A troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”) is a modification to the contractual terms of a loan in which a concession is granted to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty.

⁽⁴⁾ We generally classify single-family loans as nonaccrual when the payment of principal or interest on the loan is two or more months past due according to its contractual terms. Excludes off-balance sheet loans in unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS trusts that would meet our criteria for nonaccrual status if the loans had been on-balance sheet.

- (5) Includes acquisitions through deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure. Also includes held for use properties, which are reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of “Other assets.”
- (6) Consists of the allowance for loan losses for single-family loans recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and the reserve for guaranty losses related to both loans backing Fannie Mae MBS that we do not consolidate in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and loans that we have guaranteed under long-term standby commitments. For additional information on the change in our loss reserves see “Consolidated Results of Operations—Credit-Related Income—Benefit for Credit Losses.”
- (7) Consists of (a) the combined loss reserves, (b) allowance for accrued interest receivable and (c) allowance for preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivables.
- (8) Consists of (a) the benefit for credit losses and (b) foreclosed property income.
- (9) Consists of (a) charge-offs, net of recoveries and (b) foreclosed property income, adjusted to exclude the impact of fair value losses resulting from credit-impaired loans acquired from MBS trusts.
- (10) Calculated as the amount of sale proceeds received on disposition of REO properties during the respective period, excluding those subject to repurchase requests made to our sellers or servicers, divided by the aggregate unpaid principal balance of the related loans at the time of foreclosure. Net sales price represents the contract sales price less selling costs for the property and other charges paid by the seller at closing.
- (11) Calculated as the amount of sale proceeds received on properties sold in short sale transactions during the respective period divided by the aggregate unpaid principal balance of the related loans. Net sales price represents the contract sales price less the selling costs for the property and other charges paid by the seller at the closing, including borrower relocation incentive payments and subordinate lien(s) negotiated payoffs.
- (12) Consists of (a) modifications, which do not include trial modifications, loans to certain borrowers who have received bankruptcy relief that are classified as TDRs, or repayment plans or forbearances that have been initiated but not completed and (b) repayment plans and forbearances completed. See “Table 34: Statistics on Single-Family Loan Workouts” in “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Problem Loan Management—Loan Workout Metrics” for additional information on our various types of loan workouts.
- (13) Calculated based on annualized problem loan workouts during the period as a percentage of the average balance of delinquent loans in our single-family guaranty book of business.

We provide additional information on our credit-related expense or income in “Consolidated Results of Operations—Credit-Related Income” and on the credit performance of mortgage loans in our single-family book of business in “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management.”

We provide more information on our efforts to reduce our credit losses in “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management” and “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management” in both this report and our 2013 Form 10-K. See also “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K, where we describe factors that may adversely affect the success of our efforts, including our reliance on third parties to service our loans, conditions in the foreclosure environment, and risks relating to our mortgage insurer counterparties.

Contributions to the Housing and Mortgage Markets

Liquidity and Support Activities

As the largest provider of residential mortgage credit in the United States, we indirectly enable families to buy, refinance or rent homes. During the second quarter of 2014, we continued to provide critical liquidity and support to the U.S. mortgage market in a number of important ways:

- We serve as a stable source of liquidity for purchases of homes and financing of multifamily rental housing, as well as for refinancing existing mortgages. The approximately \$96 billion in liquidity we provided to the mortgage market in the second quarter of 2014 through our purchases and guarantees of loans and securities enabled borrowers to complete approximately 212,000 mortgage refinancings and approximately 215,000 home purchases, and provided financing for approximately 93,000 units of multifamily housing.
- Our role in the market enables borrowers to have reliable access to affordable mortgage credit, including a variety of conforming mortgage products such as the prepayable 30-year fixed-rate mortgage that protects homeowners from fluctuations in interest rates.
- We provided over 43,000 loan workouts in the second quarter of 2014 to help homeowners stay in their homes or otherwise avoid foreclosure. These efforts helped to stabilize neighborhoods, home prices and the housing market.
- We helped borrowers refinance loans, including through our Refi Plus initiative. We acquired approximately 77,000 Refi Plus loans in the second quarter of 2014. Refinancings delivered to us through Refi Plus in the second quarter

of 2014 reduced borrowers' monthly mortgage payments by an average of \$150. Some borrowers' monthly payments increased as they took advantage of the ability to refinance through Refi Plus to reduce the term of their loan, to switch from an adjustable-rate mortgage to a fixed-rate mortgage or to switch from an interest-only mortgage to a fully amortizing mortgage.

- We support affordability in the multifamily rental market. Over 85% of the multifamily units we financed in the second quarter of 2014 were affordable to families earning at or below the median income in their area.
- In addition to purchasing and guaranteeing loans, we provide funds to the mortgage market through short-term financing and other activities. These activities are described in more detail in our 2013 Form 10-K in "Business—Business Segments—Capital Markets."

2014 Market Share

We remained the largest single issuer of mortgage-related securities in the secondary market during the second quarter of 2014, with an estimated market share of new single-family mortgage-related securities issuances of 39%, compared with 41% in the first quarter of 2014 and 45% in the second quarter of 2013. See "Outlook—Revenues" for a discussion of the impact on our market share of the decline in originations that are refinancings.

We remained a continuous source of liquidity in the multifamily market in the second quarter and first half of 2014. We owned or guaranteed approximately 20% of the outstanding debt on multifamily properties as of March 31, 2014 (the latest date for which information is available).

Helping to Build a Sustainable Housing Finance System

We have invested significant resources towards helping to build a safer, transparent and sustainable housing finance system, primarily through pursuing the strategic goals identified by our conservator. On May 13, 2014, FHFA released its 2014 Strategic Plan for the Conservatorships of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, along with a set of corporate performance objectives for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, referred to as the 2014 conservatorship scorecard. The new strategic plan, which updates FHFA's 2012 Strategic Plan, and the scorecard identify three reformulated strategic goals:

- **Maintain**, in a safe and sound manner, foreclosure prevention activities and credit availability for new and refinanced mortgages to foster liquid, efficient, competitive and resilient national housing finance markets.
- **Reduce** taxpayer risk through increasing the role of private capital in the mortgage market.
- **Build** a new single-family securitization infrastructure for use by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and adaptable for use by other participants in the secondary market in the future.

In reformulating the goals, FHFA increased emphasis on the **maintain** goal, making it the first goal and doubling the scorecard weight given to this goal, from 20% in the 2013 conservatorship scorecard to 40% in the 2014 conservatorship scorecard. The **reduce** goal is focused on ways to bring additional private capital into the housing finance system in order to reduce taxpayer risk. Finally, development of the common securitization platform, which is the core of the **build** goal, was revised to focus on making the new shared system operational for the existing single-family securitization activities of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The **build** goal also involves working towards the development of a single common security for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, which we discuss in "Legislative and Regulatory Developments—Housing Finance Reform and the Role of the GSEs—Conservator Developments." The **reduce** and **build** goals are each weighted 30% in the 2014 conservatorship scorecard, which is set forth in our current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on May 14, 2014.

In addition to working on FHFA's conservatorship scorecard objectives, we are working on related initiatives to help prepare our business and infrastructure for potential future changes in the structure of the U.S. housing finance system and to help ensure our safety and soundness during conservatorship. These projects will likely take several years to implement.

Housing and Mortgage Market and Economic Conditions

Economic growth strengthened in the second quarter of 2014. According to the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis advance estimate, the inflation-adjusted U.S. gross domestic product, or GDP, rose by 4.0% on an annualized basis in the second quarter of 2014, compared with a decline of 2.1% in the first quarter of 2014. The overall economy gained an estimated 831,000 jobs in the second quarter of 2014. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, over the 12 months ending in June 2014, the economy created an estimated 2.6 million non-farm jobs. The unemployment rate was 6.1% in June 2014, compared with 6.7% in March 2014. In July 2014, non-farm payrolls increased by 209,000 jobs, and the unemployment rate increased to 6.2%.

Total originations in the U.S. single-family mortgage market were an estimated \$317.4 billion in the second quarter of 2014, up from an estimated \$237.2 billion in the first quarter of 2014, driven by an increase in purchase originations to an estimated \$188.0 billion in the second quarter of 2014 from an estimated \$123.4 billion in the first quarter of 2014. According to the Federal Reserve, total U.S. residential mortgage debt outstanding, which includes \$9.85 trillion of single-family debt outstanding, was estimated to be approximately \$10.79 trillion as of March 31, 2014 (the latest date for which information is available), compared with \$10.82 trillion as of December 31, 2013.

Housing activity increased during the second quarter of 2014 as compared with the first quarter of 2014. Total existing home sales averaged 4.9 million units annualized in the second quarter of 2014, a 5.8% increase from the first quarter of 2014, according to data from the National Association of REALTORS®. Sales of foreclosed homes and preforeclosure, or “short,” sales (together, “distressed sales”) accounted for 11% of existing home sales in June 2014, compared with 14% in March 2014 and 15% in June 2013. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, new single-family home sales declined during the second quarter of 2014, averaging an annualized rate of 419,000 units, a 2.8% decrease from the first quarter of 2014.

The number of months’ supply, or the inventory/sales ratio, of available existing homes and of new homes each increased in the second quarter of 2014. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the number of months’ supply of new homes was 5.8 months as of June 30, 2014, compared with 5.7 months as of March 31, 2014. According to data from the National Association of REALTORS®, the months’ supply of existing unsold homes was 5.5 months as of June 30, 2014, compared with a 5.1 months’ supply as of March 31, 2014.

The overall mortgage market serious delinquency rate, which has trended down since peaking in the fourth quarter of 2009, remained historically high at 5.0% as of March 31, 2014 (the latest date for which information was available), according to the Mortgage Bankers Association National Delinquency Survey, compared with 5.4% as of December 31, 2013. We provide information about Fannie Mae’s serious delinquency rate, which also decreased in the first quarter of 2014, in “Improving the Credit Performance of our Book of Business.”

Based on our home price index, we estimate that home prices on a national basis increased by 2.7% in the second quarter of 2014 and by 3.7% in the first half of 2014, following increases of 8.3% in 2013 and 4.1% in 2012. Despite the recent increases in home prices, we estimate that, through June 30, 2014, home prices on a national basis remained 10.7% below their peak in the third quarter of 2006. Our home price estimates are based on preliminary data and are subject to change as additional data become available.

Many homeowners continue to have “negative equity” in their homes as a result of declines in home prices since 2006, which means their principal mortgage balance exceeds the current market value of their home. This increases the likelihood that borrowers will abandon their mortgage obligations and that the loans will become delinquent and proceed to foreclosure. According to CoreLogic, Inc. the number of residential properties with mortgages in a negative equity position in the first quarter of 2014 was approximately 6.3 million, down from 6.6 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 and from 9.8 million in the first quarter of 2013. The percentage of properties with mortgages in a negative equity position in the first quarter of 2014 was 12.7%, down from 13.4% in the fourth quarter of 2013, from 20.2% in the first quarter of 2013 and from its peak of 26.0% reached in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Thirty-year mortgage rates ended the quarter at 4.14% for the week of June 26, 2014, down from 4.40% for the week ended March 27, 2014, according to Freddie Mac.

During the second quarter of 2014, the multifamily sector continued to exhibit solid fundamentals, according to preliminary third-party data, with vacancy levels declining and rent growth increasing. The national multifamily vacancy rate for institutional investment-type apartment properties decreased to an estimated 4.75% as of June 30, 2014, compared with 5.00% as of March 31, 2014 and 5.10% as of June 30, 2013.

National asking rents increased by an estimated 0.75% during the second quarter of 2014, compared with an increase of 0.50% during the first quarter of 2014. Continued demand for multifamily rental units was reflected in the estimated positive net absorption (that is, the net change in the number of occupied rental units during the time period) of approximately 35,000 units during the second quarter of 2014, according to preliminary data from Reis, Inc., compared with approximately 41,000 units during the first quarter of 2014.

As a result of the continued demand for multifamily rental units over the past few years, there has been an increase in the amount of new multifamily construction development nationally. Approximately 279,000 new multifamily units are expected to be completed this year. The bulk of this new supply is concentrated in a limited number of metropolitan areas. As a result, multifamily fundamentals could be impacted in certain localized areas, producing a temporary slowdown in net absorption rates, occupancy levels and effective rents in those areas later in 2014.

Outlook

Uncertainty Regarding our Future Status. We expect continued significant uncertainty regarding the future of our company and the housing finance system, including how long the company will continue to be in its current form, the extent of our role in the market, what form we will have, what ownership interest, if any, our current common and preferred stockholders will hold in us after the conservatorship is terminated and whether we will continue to exist following conservatorship.

We cannot predict the prospects for the enactment, timing or final content of housing finance reform legislation. See “Legislative and Regulatory Developments” in this report and in our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q and “Business—Housing Finance Reform” in our 2013 Form 10-K for discussion of proposals for reform of the housing finance system, including the GSEs, that could materially affect our business, including proposed federal legislation that, among other things, would require the wind down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. See “Risk Factors” in both this report and in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of the risks to our business relating to the uncertain future of our company.

Financial Results. Our financial results continued to be strong in the second quarter of 2014, with net income of \$3.7 billion. We expect to remain profitable for the foreseeable future. While we expect our annual net income to remain strong over the next few years, we expect our annual net income to be substantially lower than our net income for 2013. We discuss the reasons for this expectation, and note our expectation that certain factors that contributed to a large portion of our 2013 net income will not contribute as significantly or at all to our earnings in 2014 or future years, in “Business—Executive Summary—Outlook—Financial Results” in our 2013 Form 10-K. Our earnings will be affected by a number of factors, including: changes in home prices; changes in interest rates; our guaranty fee rates; the volume of single-family mortgage originations in the future; the size, composition and quality of our retained mortgage portfolio and guaranty book of business; and economic and housing market conditions. Some of these factors, such as changes in interest rates or home prices, could result in significant variability in our earnings from quarter to quarter or year to year. Our expectations for our future financial results do not take into account the impact on our business of potential future legislative or regulatory changes, which could have a material impact on our financial results, particularly the enactment of housing finance reform legislation as noted in “Uncertainty Regarding our Future Status” above.

Revenues. While changes in interest rates and home prices may result in volatility in our net income, we expect stable revenues similar to the second quarter of 2014 as we operate in a more normalized business environment. We currently have two primary sources of revenues: (1) the difference between interest income earned on the assets in our retained mortgage portfolio and the interest expense associated with the debt that funds those assets; and (2) the guaranty fees we receive for managing the credit risk on loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties. Our “retained mortgage portfolio” refers to the mortgage-related assets we own (which excludes the portion of assets held by consolidated MBS trusts that back mortgage-related securities owned by third parties). Historically, we have generated the majority of our revenues from the difference between the interest income earned on the assets in our retained mortgage portfolio and the interest expense associated with the debt that funds those assets. As we discuss in our 2013 Form 10-K in “Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements—Treasury Agreements—Covenants under Treasury Agreements,” we are required to reduce the size of our retained mortgage portfolio each year until we hold no more than \$250 billion in mortgage assets by the end of 2018. As a result of both the shrinking of our retained mortgage portfolio and the impact of guaranty fee increases, an increasing portion of our revenues in recent years has been derived from guaranty fees rather than from interest income earned on our retained mortgage portfolio assets. We recognize almost all of our guaranty fee revenue in net interest income in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income due to the consolidation of the substantial majority of our MBS trusts on our balance sheets. The percentage of our net interest income derived from guaranty fees on loans underlying our Fannie Mae MBS has increased in recent periods. We estimate that approximately half of our net interest income for the first half of 2014 was derived from guaranty fees on loans underlying our Fannie Mae MBS, compared with approximately one-third of our net interest income for the first half of 2013. We expect that guaranty fees will continue to account for an increasing portion of our revenues.

The decrease in the balance of mortgage assets held in our retained mortgage portfolio contributed to a decline in our net interest income in the second quarter of 2014 as compared with the second quarter of 2013. We expect continued decreases in the size of our retained mortgage portfolio, which will continue to negatively impact our net interest income and revenues; however, we also expect increases in our guaranty fee revenues will at least partially offset the negative impact of the decline in our retained mortgage portfolio. The extent to which the positive impact of increased guaranty fee revenues will offset the negative impact of the decline in the size of our retained mortgage portfolio will depend on many factors, including: changes to guaranty fee pricing we may make in the future; the size, composition and quality of our guaranty book of business; the life of the loans in our guaranty book of business; the size, composition and quality of our retained mortgage portfolio; economic and housing market conditions; and legislative and regulatory changes.

Although our single-family acquisition volume declined significantly in the first half of 2014 as compared with the first half of 2013, liquidations of loans from our single-family guaranty book of business also declined. Accordingly, the size of our single-family guaranty book of business remained relatively flat during the first half of 2014. The decline in our single-family acquisition volume reflects a decrease in originations of single-family mortgages that are refinancings. The decrease in refinancings as a percentage of originations has reduced our market share. See “Contributions to the Housing and Mortgage Markets—2014 Market Share,” above, for information on our market share and “Overall Market Conditions,” below, for information on our expectations for refinancing originations.

Our single-family guaranty fee revenues increased in the first half of 2014 as compared with the first half of 2013, as loans with higher guaranty fees have become a larger part of our guaranty book of business. We expect our single-family acquisition volumes this year to continue to remain lower than prior year volumes; however, we also expect liquidations of loans from our single-family guaranty book of business to remain lower. As a result, we do not expect these lower volumes to have a material adverse effect on the size of our single-family guaranty book of business or on our single-family guaranty fee revenues in the near term. However, if the current reduction in our acquisition volume accelerates or remains ongoing for a significant period of time or if the rate of liquidations of loans from our single-family guaranty book increases without a corresponding increase in our acquisitions, it could adversely affect the size of our single-family guaranty book of business and our single-family guaranty fee revenues over the long term.

Dividend Obligations to Treasury. We expect to retain only a limited amount of any future net worth because we are required by the dividend provisions of the senior preferred stock and quarterly directives from our conservator to pay Treasury each quarter the amount, if any, by which our net worth as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter exceeds an applicable capital reserve amount. This capital reserve amount is \$2.4 billion for each quarter of 2014 and then decreases by \$600 million annually until it reaches zero in 2018.

From 2009 through the first quarter of 2012, we received a total of \$116.1 billion from Treasury under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement. This funding provided us with the capital and liquidity needed to fulfill our mission of providing liquidity and support to the nation’s housing finance markets and to avoid a trigger of mandatory receivership under the Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008 (the “2008 Reform Act”). In addition, a portion of the \$116.1 billion we received from Treasury was drawn to pay dividends to Treasury because, prior to 2013, our dividend payments on the senior preferred stock accrued at an annual rate of 10%, and we were directed by our conservator to pay these dividends to Treasury each quarter even when we did not have sufficient income to pay the dividend. We have not received funds from Treasury under the agreement since the first quarter of 2012. From 2008 through the second quarter of 2014, we paid a total of \$126.8 billion in dividends to Treasury on the senior preferred stock. Under the terms of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, dividend payments do not offset prior Treasury draws, and we are not permitted to pay down draws we have made under the agreement except in limited circumstances. Accordingly, the current aggregate liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock is \$117.1 billion, due to the initial \$1.0 billion liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock (for which we did not receive cash proceeds) and the \$116.1 billion we have drawn from Treasury.

The Director of FHFA directs us to make dividend payments on the senior preferred stock on a quarterly basis. In September 2014, we expect to pay Treasury additional senior preferred stock dividends of \$3.7 billion for the third quarter of 2014.

Overall Market Conditions. We expect that single-family mortgage loan serious delinquency and severity rates will continue their downward trend, but that single-family serious delinquency and severity rates will remain high compared with pre-housing crisis levels because it will take some time for the remaining delinquent loans with high mark-to-market LTV ratios originated prior to 2009 to work their way through the foreclosure process. Despite steady demand and stable fundamentals at the national level, the multifamily sector may continue to exhibit below average fundamentals in certain local markets and with certain properties. We expect the level of multifamily foreclosures in 2014 will generally remain commensurate with 2013 levels.

The increase in mortgage rates since the first half of 2013 has resulted in a decline in single-family mortgage originations, driven by a decline in refinancings. We forecast that total originations in the U.S. single-family mortgage market in 2014 will decrease from 2013 levels by approximately 41%, from an estimated \$1.91 trillion in 2013 to \$1.13 trillion in 2014, and that the amount of originations in the U.S. single-family mortgage market that are refinancings will decrease from an estimated \$1.18 trillion in 2013 to \$428.6 billion in 2014. We forecast that total single-family mortgage debt outstanding will increase slightly in 2014, increasing from an estimated \$9.89 trillion as of December 31, 2013 to \$9.94 trillion as of December 31, 2014.

In recent years, the Federal Reserve has purchased a significant amount of mortgage-related securities issued by us, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. The Federal Reserve began to taper these purchases in January 2014. The Federal Reserve’s tapering of its mortgage-related securities purchases, or possible future sales of mortgage-related securities by the Federal Reserve, could

result in increases in mortgage interest rates and adversely affect our single-family business volume. See “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a description of the potential risks to our business as a result of increases in mortgage interest rates.

Home Prices. Based on our home price index, we estimate that home prices on a national basis increased by 2.7% in the second quarter of 2014 and by 3.7% in the first half of 2014. Although we expect home price growth to continue in 2014, we expect the rate of home price growth on a national basis in 2014 will be lower than in 2013. Future home price changes may be very different from our expectations as a result of significant inherent uncertainty in the current market environment, including uncertainty about the effect of recent and future changes in mortgage rates; actions the federal government has taken and may take with respect to fiscal policies, mortgage finance programs and policies, and housing finance reform; the Federal Reserve’s purchases and sales of mortgage-related securities; the impact of those actions on and changes generally in unemployment and the general economic and interest rate environment; and the impact on the U.S. economy of global economic conditions. We also expect significant regional variation in the timing and rate of home price growth.

Credit Losses. Our credit losses, which include our charge-offs, net of recoveries, reflect our realization of losses on our loans. We currently realize losses on loans, through our charge-offs, at the time of foreclosure or when we accept short sales or deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure. Our credit losses were \$1.5 billion in the second quarter of 2014, compared with \$1.6 billion in the second quarter of 2013, and \$2.6 billion in the first half of 2014, compared with \$3.1 billion in the first half of 2013. Although our credit losses have declined in recent years, we expect our credit losses in 2014 and 2015 will be higher than in 2013. The amounts we recognized in 2013 pursuant to a number of repurchase and compensatory fee resolution agreements reduced our 2013 credit losses from what they otherwise would have been. Moreover, we expect our implementation of the charge-off provisions required by FHFA’s Advisory Bulletin AB 2012-02 in 2015 will increase our credit losses for 2015 from what they otherwise would be. We expect our credit losses to resume their downward trend beginning in 2016. See “Legislative and Regulatory Developments—FHFA Advisory Bulletin Regarding Framework for Adversely Classifying Loans” for further information about this Advisory Bulletin.

Loss Reserves. Our total loss reserves consist of (1) our allowance for loan losses, (2) our allowance for accrued interest receivable, (3) our allowance for preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivables, and (4) our reserve for guaranty losses. Our total loss reserves were \$42.1 billion as of June 30, 2014, down from \$47.3 billion as of December 31, 2013. We expect our loss reserves will continue to decline in 2014, but at a slower pace than in 2013. Although our loss reserves have declined substantially from their peak and are expected to decline further, we expect our loss reserves will remain elevated relative to the levels experienced prior to the 2008 housing crisis for an extended period because (1) we expect future defaults on loans that we acquired prior to 2009 and the resulting charge-offs will occur over a period of years and (2) a significant portion of our reserves represents concessions granted to borrowers upon modification of their loans and our reserves will continue to reflect these concessions until the loans are fully repaid or default.

Factors that Could Cause Actual Results to be Materially Different from Our Estimates and Expectations. We present a number of estimates and expectations in this executive summary regarding our future performance, including estimates and expectations regarding our future financial results and profitability, the level and sources of our revenues, our future dividend payments to Treasury, the profitability and performance of single-family loans we have acquired, our future acquisitions, future liquidations of loans from our single-family guaranty book of business, our future credit losses and our future loss reserves. We also present a number of estimates and expectations in this executive summary regarding future housing market conditions, including expectations regarding future delinquency and severity rates, future mortgage originations, future refinancings, future single-family mortgage debt outstanding and future home prices. These estimates and expectations are forward-looking statements based on our current assumptions regarding numerous factors. Our future estimates of our performance and housing market conditions, as well as the actual results, may differ materially from our current estimates and expectations as a result of: the timing and level of, as well as regional variation in, home price changes; changes in interest rates, unemployment rates and other macroeconomic and housing market variables; our future guaranty fee pricing and the impact of that pricing on our competitive environment; our future serious delinquency rates; our future objectives and activities in support of those objectives, including actions we may take to reach additional underserved creditworthy borrowers; future legislative or regulatory requirements that have a significant impact on our business, such as a requirement that we implement a principal forgiveness program; future legislative or regulatory changes that have a significant impact on our business, such as the enactment of housing finance reform legislation; actions we may be required to take by FHFA, as our conservator or as our regulator, such as changes in the type of business we do; future updates to our models relating to our loss reserves, including the assumptions used by these models; future changes to our accounting policies; significant changes in modification and foreclosure activity; changes in borrower behavior, such as an increasing number of underwater borrowers who strategically default on their mortgage loans; the effectiveness of our loss mitigation strategies, management of our REO inventory and pursuit of contractual remedies; whether our counterparties meet their obligations in full; resolution or settlement agreements we may enter into with our counterparties; changes in the fiscal and monetary policies of the Federal Reserve, including the effect of the tapering of its program of purchasing mortgage-related securities and any

future sales of such securities; changes in the fair value of our assets and liabilities; impairments of our assets; changes in generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”); credit availability; natural and other disasters; and other factors, including those discussed in “Forward-Looking Statements,” “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this report and in our 2013 Form 10-K. Due to the large size of our guaranty book of business, even small changes in these factors could have a significant impact on our financial results for a particular period.

LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

The information in this section updates and supplements information regarding legislative and regulatory developments set forth in “Business—Housing Finance Reform” and “Business—Our Charter and Regulation of Our Activities” in our 2013 Form 10-K and in “MD&A—Legislative and Regulatory Developments” in our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q. Also see “Risk Factors” in this report and in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of risks relating to legislative and regulatory matters.

Housing Finance Reform and the Role of the GSEs

Legislative Developments

Policymakers and others have focused significant attention in recent years on how to reform the nation’s housing finance system, including what role, if any, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac should play in that system. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), which was signed into law in July 2010, called for enactment of meaningful structural reforms of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. See “Business—Housing Finance Reform” in our 2013 Form 10-K and “MD&A—Legislative and Regulatory Developments” in our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q for a description of activities relating to GSE reform that occurred in 2011 through the first quarter of 2014, including descriptions of: the Administration’s housing policy priorities, which include winding down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac through a responsible transition; the Administration’s February 2011 report on GSE reform, which discusses potential options for a new long-term structure for the housing finance system following the wind-down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; and legislation considered in the current Congress relating to housing finance system reform and the terms of Fannie Mae’s and Freddie Mac’s senior preferred stock purchase agreements with Treasury.

Congress has continued to consider housing finance reform and the future of the GSEs this year. On May 15, 2014, the Senate Banking Committee approved the Housing Finance Reform and Taxpayer Protection Act of 2014, which is also known as the Johnson-Crapo bill. This bill, if enacted in its current form, would result in the wind-down and eventual liquidation of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and would materially affect our business prior to our eventual liquidation. Despite activity at the committee level, neither the full Senate nor the full House of Representatives has considered the Johnson-Crapo bill or any other housing finance reform bill in the current Congress.

We expect Congress to continue to consider housing finance reform legislation. We cannot predict the prospects for the enactment, timing or final content of housing finance reform legislation. As a result, there continues to be significant uncertainty regarding the future of our company. See “Risk Factors” in this report and our 2013 Form 10-K for discussions of the risks to our business relating to the uncertain future of our company and of how the uncertain future of our company may adversely affect our ability to retain and recruit well-qualified employees, including senior management.

Conservator Developments

In addition to the legislative debate, actions taken by our conservator have an impact on the role of the GSEs in the nation’s housing finance system now and in the future. On May 13, 2014, FHFA released its 2014 Strategic Plan for the Conservatorships of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, along with the 2014 conservatorship scorecard. We discuss the reformulated strategic goals in the new strategic plan and the scorecard in “Executive Summary—Helping to Build a Sustainable Housing Finance System.” For a discussion of the prior formulation of FHFA’s strategic goals for the conservatorships, please see “MD&A—Executive Summary—Helping to Build a Sustainable Housing Finance System” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

The strategic plan includes a goal that involves working toward the development of the operational and systems capabilities to issue a single common security for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Development of a single common security could reduce the trading advantage Fannie Mae mortgage-backed securities have over Freddie Mac securities. If this were to occur, it could negatively impact our ability to compete for mortgage assets in the secondary market, and therefore could adversely affect our results of operations.

Potential Changes to Our Single-Family Guaranty Fee Pricing

In December 2013, FHFA directed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to increase base single-family guaranty fees for all mortgages by 10 basis points. FHFA also directed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to make changes to single-family loan level price adjustments, which are one-time cash fees that are charged at the time a loan is acquired based on the credit characteristics of the loan. The changes were to become effective in March and April 2014. In January 2014, FHFA Director Melvin Watt directed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to suspend the implementation of these guaranty fee changes pending further review by FHFA.

FHFA subsequently announced on June 5, 2014 that it was requesting public input on the guaranty fees that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac charge lenders. FHFA's request for input includes questions related to guaranty fee policy and implementation, including what factors and goals should be considered in setting guaranty fees, target return on capital and amount of capital required.

FHFA Determination Not to Reduce Current Conforming Loan Limits

In December 2013, FHFA requested public input on a plan to gradually reduce the conforming loan limits for one-family residences. FHFA's announcement noted that reducing loan limits furthered its goal of contracting the market presence of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac gradually over time, and was in line with President Obama's August 2013 request that FHFA reduce loan limits in order to reduce the government's footprint in the market. In areas where the statutory maximum loan limit for one-family residences is currently \$417,000, FHFA's plan would have reduced the loan limit to \$400,000, a reduction of approximately 4%. The loan limit would be reduced by the same percentage in areas with higher limits. On May 13, 2014, Director Watt announced that FHFA will not use its authority as conservator to reduce current loan limits, indicating that the decision was motivated by concerns about how such a reduction could adversely impact the health of the current housing finance market.

FHFA Advisory Bulletin Regarding Framework for Adversely Classifying Loans

In April 2012, FHFA issued Advisory Bulletin AB 2012-02, "Framework for Adversely Classifying Loans, Other Real Estate Owned, and Other Assets and Listing Assets for Special Mention" (the "Advisory Bulletin"), which is applicable to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Federal Home Loan Banks. The Advisory Bulletin establishes guidelines for adverse classification and identification of specified single-family and multifamily assets and off-balance sheet credit exposures. The Advisory Bulletin indicates that this guidance considers and is generally consistent with the Uniform Retail Credit Classification and Account Management Policy issued by the federal banking regulators in June 2000.

Among other requirements, this Advisory Bulletin requires that we classify the portion of an outstanding single-family loan balance in excess of the fair value of the underlying property, less costs to sell and adjusted for any credit enhancements, as a "loss" no later than when the loan becomes 180 days delinquent, except in certain specified circumstances (such as those involving properly secured loans with an LTV ratio equal to or less than 60%). For multifamily loans, the Advisory Bulletin requires that any portion of a loan balance that exceeds the amount secured by the fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell, for which there is no available and reliable source of repayment other than the sale of the underlying real estate collateral, to be classified as a "loss." The Advisory Bulletin also requires us to charge off the portion of the loan classified as a "loss." The Advisory Bulletin specifies that, if we subsequently receive full or partial payment of a previously charged-off loan, we may report a recovery of the amount, either through our loss reserves or as a reduction in our foreclosed property expenses. In May 2013, FHFA issued an additional Advisory Bulletin clarifying the implementation timeline for AB 2012-02, requiring that: (1) the asset classification provisions of AB 2012-02 should be implemented by January 1, 2014; and (2) the charge-off provisions of AB 2012-02 should be implemented no later than January 1, 2015. Effective January 1, 2014, we implemented the asset classification provisions of AB 2012-02, and we provide FHFA with this information on a quarterly basis.

We establish an allowance for loan losses against our loans either through our collective loss reserve or our loss reserve for individually impaired loans. Thus, at the time single-family loans become 180 days delinquent, we have already established an allowance for loan losses against them. The Advisory Bulletin requires us to change our practice for determining when a loan is deemed uncollectible to the date the loan is classified as a "loss" as described above. This is a change from our current practice for determining when a loan is deemed to be uncollectible, which is based on historical data and results in a loan being deemed to be uncollectible at the date of foreclosure or other liquidation event (such as a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure or a short sale).

In the period in which we adopt the Advisory Bulletin, our allowance for loan losses on the impacted loans will be eliminated and the corresponding recorded investment in the loan will be reduced by the amounts that are charged off. Under our existing accounting practices and upon adoption of the Advisory Bulletin, the ultimate amount of losses we realize on our

loan portfolio will be the same over time; however, the timing of when we recognize the losses in our financial statements will differ.

We are working with FHFA to consider how the Advisory Bulletin may impact our credit risk management practices. During the past twelve months, approximately 40% of our first-time modifications were initiated after loans became 180 days delinquent. This is a result of a number of factors, including servicer backlogs, lack of borrower responsiveness to loss mitigation efforts, and extended foreclosure timelines, which affect the willingness of borrowers to engage regarding loss mitigation options. Given the current rate of modification activity after loans become 180 days delinquent, the benefit we expect from borrower re-performance is significant in estimating the losses for this population of loans. In July 2013, we introduced a streamlined modification program that may accelerate the timing of our modifications; however, we still expect a meaningful number of modifications to be initiated after our loans become 180 days past due. As we obtain incremental information on the performance of this program, we will enhance our loss estimates, as necessary, to reflect the change in the expected timing and volume of modifications.

We are working with FHFA to resolve certain implementation issues related to our adoption of the Advisory Bulletin. We do not expect that the adoption of the Advisory Bulletin will have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

For information on the risks presented by our adoption of the Advisory Bulletin, see “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make a number of judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, income and expenses in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Understanding our accounting policies and the extent to which we use management judgment and estimates in applying these policies is integral to understanding our financial statements. We describe our most significant accounting policies in “Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in this report and in our 2013 Form 10-K.

We evaluate our critical accounting estimates and judgments required by our policies on an ongoing basis and update them as necessary based on changing conditions. Management has discussed any significant changes in judgments and assumptions in applying our critical accounting policies with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. See “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of the risks associated with the need for management to make judgments and estimates in applying our accounting policies and methods. We have identified four of our accounting policies as critical because they involve significant judgments and assumptions about highly complex and inherently uncertain matters, and the use of reasonably different estimates and assumptions could have a material impact on our reported results of operations or financial condition. These critical accounting policies and estimates are as follows:

- Fair Value Measurement;
- Total Loss Reserves;
- Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities; and
- Deferred Tax Assets.

See “MD&A—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of these critical accounting policies and estimates.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section provides a discussion of our condensed consolidated results of operations for the periods indicated and should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements, including the accompanying notes.

Table 3 displays a summary of our condensed consolidated results of operations for the periods indicated.

Table 3: Summary of Condensed Consolidated Results of Operations

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | For the Six Months Ended | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | | June 30, | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | Variance | 2014 | 2013 | Variance |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 4,904 | \$ 5,667 | \$ (763) | \$ 9,642 | \$ 11,971 | \$ (2,329) |
| Fee and other income | 383 | 485 | (102) | 4,738 | 1,053 | 3,685 |
| Net revenues | 5,287 | 6,152 | (865) | 14,380 | 13,024 | 1,356 |
| Investment gains, net | 506 | 290 | 216 | 652 | 408 | 244 |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | (934) | 829 | (1,763) | (2,124) | 1,663 | (3,787) |
| Administrative expenses | (697) | (626) | (71) | (1,369) | (1,267) | (102) |
| Credit-related income | | | | | | |
| Benefit for credit losses | 1,639 | 5,383 | (3,744) | 2,413 | 6,340 | (3,927) |
| Foreclosed property income | 214 | 332 | (118) | 476 | 592 | (116) |
| Total credit-related income | 1,853 | 5,715 | (3,862) | 2,889 | 6,932 | (4,043) |
| Other non-interest expenses ⁽¹⁾ | (596) | (280) | (316) | (1,100) | (566) | (534) |
| Income before federal income taxes | 5,419 | 12,080 | (6,661) | 13,328 | 20,194 | (6,866) |
| (Provision) benefit for federal income taxes | (1,752) | (1,985) | 233 | (4,336) | 48,586 | (52,922) |
| Net income | 3,667 | 10,095 | (6,428) | 8,992 | 68,780 | (59,788) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (1) | (11) | 10 | (1) | (11) | 10 |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | \$ 3,666 | \$ 10,084 | \$ (6,418) | \$ 8,991 | \$ 68,769 | \$ (59,778) |
| Total comprehensive income attributable to Fannie Mae | \$ 3,711 | \$ 10,250 | \$ (6,539) | \$ 9,408 | \$ 69,589 | \$ (60,181) |

⁽¹⁾ Consists of net other-than-temporary impairments, debt extinguishment gains, net, TCCA fees and other expenses, net.

Net Interest Income

We currently have two primary sources of net interest income: (1) the difference between interest income earned on the assets in our retained mortgage portfolio and the interest expense associated with the debt that funds those assets; and (2) the guaranty fees we receive for managing the credit risk on loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties, which we refer to as mortgage loans of consolidated trusts.

Table 4 displays an analysis of our net interest income, average balances, and related yields earned on assets and incurred on liabilities for the periods indicated. For most components of the average balances, we use a daily weighted average of amortized cost. When daily average balance information is not available, such as for mortgage loans, we use monthly averages. Table 5 displays the change in our net interest income between periods and the extent to which that variance is attributable to: (1) changes in the volume of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities or (2) changes in the interest rates of these assets and liabilities.

Table 4: Analysis of Net Interest Income and Yield

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Average Rates Earned/Paid | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Average Rates Earned/Paid |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans of Fannie Mae | \$ 288,904 | \$ 2,632 | 3.64 % | \$ 332,779 | \$ 3,209 | 3.86 % |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | 2,764,340 | 25,533 | 3.69 | 2,690,045 | 24,847 | 3.69 |
| Total mortgage loans ⁽¹⁾ | 3,053,244 | 28,165 | 3.69 | 3,022,824 | 28,056 | 3.71 |
| Mortgage-related securities | 146,632 | 1,719 | 4.69 | 218,313 | 2,489 | 4.56 |
| Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in retained mortgage portfolio | (100,240) | (1,171) | 4.67 | (140,139) | (1,629) | 4.65 |
| Total mortgage-related securities, net | 46,392 | 548 | 4.72 | 78,174 | 860 | 4.40 |
| Non-mortgage securities ⁽²⁾ | 34,410 | 9 | 0.10 | 53,711 | 13 | 0.10 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | 28,731 | 6 | 0.08 | 72,228 | 22 | 0.12 |
| Advances to lenders | 2,896 | 18 | 2.46 | 5,452 | 27 | 1.96 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 3,165,673 | \$ 28,746 | 3.63 % | \$ 3,232,389 | \$ 28,978 | 3.59 % |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt ⁽³⁾ | \$ 80,682 | \$ 20 | 0.10 % | \$ 105,098 | \$ 36 | 0.14 % |
| Long-term debt | 403,082 | 2,129 | 2.11 | 508,768 | 2,552 | 2.01 |
| Total short-term and long-term funding debt | 483,764 | 2,149 | 1.78 | 613,866 | 2,588 | 1.69 |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts | 2,818,331 | 22,864 | 3.25 | 2,772,111 | 22,352 | 3.23 |
| Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in retained mortgage portfolio | (100,240) | (1,171) | 4.67 | (140,139) | (1,629) | 4.65 |
| Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | 2,718,091 | 21,693 | 3.19 | 2,631,972 | 20,723 | 3.15 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 3,201,855 | \$ 23,842 | 2.98 % | \$ 3,245,838 | \$ 23,311 | 2.87 % |
| Net interest income/net interest yield | | \$ 4,904 | 0.62 % | | \$ 5,667 | 0.70 % |

For the Six Months Ended June 30,

| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Average Rates Earned/Paid | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Average Rates Earned/Paid |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans of Fannie Mae | \$ 292,493 | \$ 5,266 | 3.60 % | \$ 339,209 | \$ 7,039 | 4.15 % |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | 2,767,973 | 51,487 | 3.72 | 2,679,643 | 50,241 | 3.75 |
| Total mortgage loans ⁽¹⁾ | 3,060,466 | 56,753 | 3.71 | 3,018,852 | 57,280 | 3.79 |
| Mortgage-related securities | 152,114 | 3,538 | 4.65 | 227,310 | 5,172 | 4.55 |
| Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in retained mortgage portfolio | (104,019) | (2,429) | 4.67 | (146,562) | (3,426) | 4.68 |
| Total mortgage-related securities, net | 48,095 | 1,109 | 4.61 | 80,748 | 1,746 | 4.32 |
| Non-mortgage securities ⁽²⁾ | 34,020 | 15 | 0.09 | 48,325 | 26 | 0.11 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | 31,050 | 11 | 0.07 | 71,023 | 49 | 0.14 |
| Advances to lenders | 3,054 | 37 | 2.41 | 5,767 | 57 | 1.97 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 3,176,685 | \$ 57,925 | 3.65 % | \$ 3,224,715 | \$ 59,158 | 3.67 % |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt ⁽³⁾ | \$ 71,856 | \$ 40 | 0.11 % | \$ 108,923 | \$ 78 | 0.14 % |
| Long-term debt | 422,727 | 4,474 | 2.12 | 511,339 | 5,227 | 2.04 |
| Total short-term and long-term funding debt | 494,583 | 4,514 | 1.83 | 620,262 | 5,305 | 1.71 |
| Debt securities of consolidated trusts | 2,820,316 | 46,198 | 3.28 | 2,763,662 | 45,308 | 3.28 |
| Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in retained mortgage portfolio | (104,019) | (2,429) | 4.67 | (146,562) | (3,426) | 4.68 |
| Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | 2,716,297 | 43,769 | 3.22 | 2,617,100 | 41,882 | 3.20 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 3,210,880 | \$ 48,283 | 3.01 % | \$ 3,237,362 | \$ 47,187 | 2.92 % |
| Net interest income/net interest yield | | \$ 9,642 | 0.61 % | | \$ 11,971 | 0.74 % |

As of June 30,

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|--------|--------|
| Selected benchmark interest rates⁽⁴⁾ | | |
| 3-month LIBOR | 0.23 % | 0.27 % |
| 2-year swap rate | 0.58 | 0.51 |
| 5-year swap rate | 1.70 | 1.57 |
| 30-year Fannie Mae MBS par coupon rate | 3.18 | 3.32 |

(1) Average balance includes mortgage loans on nonaccrual status. Interest income on nonaccrual mortgage loans is recognized when cash is received. Interest income not recognized for loans on nonaccrual status was \$454 million and \$981 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with \$718 million and \$1.5 billion, respectively, for the second quarter and first half of 2013.

(2) Includes cash equivalents.

(3) Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

(4) Data from IntercontinentalExchange Group, Inc., Thomson Reuters and Bloomberg L.P.

Table 5: Rate/Volume Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | For the Six Months Ended | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | June 30, 2014 vs. 2013 | | | June 30, 2014 vs. 2013 | | |
| | Total | Variance Due to: ⁽¹⁾ | | Total | Variance Due to: ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Variance | Volume | Rate | Variance | Volume | Rate | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Interest income: | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans of Fannie Mae | \$ (577) | \$ (407) | \$ (170) | \$ (1,773) | \$ (904) | \$ (869) |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | 686 | 686 | — | 1,246 | 1,646 | (400) |
| Total mortgage loans | 109 | 279 | (170) | (527) | 742 | (1,269) |
| Total mortgage-related securities, net | (312) | (373) | 61 | (637) | (754) | 117 |
| Non-mortgage securities ⁽²⁾ | (4) | (5) | 1 | (11) | (7) | (4) |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | (16) | (11) | (5) | (38) | (20) | (18) |
| Advances to lenders | (9) | (15) | 6 | (20) | (31) | 11 |
| Total interest income | (232) | (125) | (107) | (1,233) | (70) | (1,163) |
| Interest expense: | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt ⁽³⁾ | (16) | (7) | (9) | (38) | (23) | (15) |
| Long-term debt | (423) | (553) | 130 | (753) | (932) | 179 |
| Total short-term and long-term funding debt | (439) | (560) | 121 | (791) | (955) | 164 |
| Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties | 970 | 840 | 130 | 1,887 | 1,921 | (34) |
| Total interest expense | 531 | 280 | 251 | 1,096 | 966 | 130 |
| Net interest income | \$ (763) | \$ (405) | \$ (358) | \$ (2,329) | \$ (1,036) | \$ (1,293) |

(1) Combined rate/volume variances are allocated to both rate and volume based on the relative size of each variance.

(2) Includes cash equivalents.

(3) Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

Net interest income decreased in the second quarter of 2014 compared with the second quarter of 2013, primarily due to a 21% decline in the average balance of our retained mortgage portfolio. The decrease in net interest income from our retained mortgage portfolio was partially offset by increased guaranty fee revenue, as loans with higher guaranty fees have become a larger part of our guaranty book of business. We recognize almost all of our guaranty fee revenue in net interest income due to the consolidation of the substantial majority of loans underlying our MBS trusts on our balance sheet.

The decrease in net interest income in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 was a result of the same factors that impacted our quarterly results described above. Additionally, the first half of 2013 included the recognition of \$518 million of income from unamortized cost basis adjustments on loans repurchased by Bank of America as part of a resolution agreement that was entered into in January 2013.

Net interest yield decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 due to the decline in the percentage of net interest income from our retained mortgage portfolio, which has a higher net interest yield than the net interest yield from guaranty fees.

Fee and Other Income

Fee and other income includes transaction fees, technology fees, multifamily fees and other miscellaneous income. Fee and other income increased in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 primarily as a result of \$4.2 billion recognized as income in the first half of 2014 compared with \$145 million in the first half of 2013, both resulting from settlement agreements resolving certain lawsuits relating to PLS sold to us. See “Legal Proceedings—FHFA Private-Label Mortgage-Related Securities Litigation” for additional information.

Fair Value (Losses) Gains, Net

Table 6 displays the components of our fair value gains and losses.

Table 6: Fair Value (Losses) Gains, Net

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Risk management derivatives fair value (losses) gains attributable to: | | | | |
| Net contractual interest expense accruals on interest rate swaps | \$ (257) | \$ (181) | \$ (456) | \$ (381) |
| Net change in fair value during the period | (679) | 872 | (1,420) | 1,503 |
| Total risk management derivatives fair value (losses) gains, net | (936) | 691 | (1,876) | 1,122 |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives fair value (losses) gains, net | (310) | 497 | (655) | 628 |
| Total derivatives fair value (losses) gains, net | (1,246) | 1,188 | (2,531) | 1,750 |
| Trading securities gains (losses), net | 249 | (228) | 394 | 168 |
| Other, net ⁽¹⁾ | 63 | (131) | 13 | (255) |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | <u>\$ (934)</u> | <u>\$ 829</u> | <u>\$ (2,124)</u> | <u>\$ 1,663</u> |

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| 5-year swap rate: | | |
| As of January 1 | 1.79% | 0.86% |
| As of March 31 | 1.80% | 0.95% |
| As of June 30 | 1.70% | 1.57% |
| 10-year swap rate: | | |
| As of January 1 | 3.09% | 1.84% |
| As of March 31 | 2.84% | 2.01% |
| As of June 30 | 2.63% | 2.70% |

⁽¹⁾ Consists of debt fair value gains (losses), net; debt foreign exchange gains (losses), net; and mortgage loans fair value gains (losses), net.

Risk Management Derivatives Fair Value (Losses) Gains, Net

Risk management derivative instruments are an integral part of our interest rate risk management strategy. We supplement our issuance of debt securities with derivative instruments to further reduce duration risk, which includes prepayment risk. We recognized risk management derivative fair value losses in the second quarter and first half of 2014 primarily as a result of decreases in the fair value of our pay-fixed derivatives due to declines in longer-term swap rates during the periods. We recognized risk management derivative fair value gains in the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily as a result of increases in the fair value of our pay-fixed derivatives due to increases in swap rates during the periods.

We present, by derivative instrument type, the fair value gains and losses, net on our derivatives for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 in "Note 9, Derivative Instruments."

Mortgage Commitment Derivatives Fair Value (Losses) Gains, Net

We recognized fair value losses on our mortgage commitments in the second quarter and first half of 2014 primarily due to losses on commitments to sell mortgage-related securities driven by an increase in prices as interest rates decreased during the commitment period. We recognized fair value gains on our mortgage commitments in the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily due to gains on commitments to sell mortgage-related securities as a result of a decrease in prices as interest rates increased during the commitment period.

Trading Securities Gains, Net

Gains from trading securities in the second quarter and first half of 2014 were driven by higher prices on securities primarily due to a decrease in interest rates, in addition to a narrowing of credit spreads on PLS.

Losses from trading securities in the second quarter of 2013 were primarily driven by lower prices on commercial mortgage-backed securities (“CMBS”) due to a widening of credit spreads and higher interest rates. Gains from trading securities in the first half of 2013 were primarily driven by higher prices on Alt-A and subprime PLS due to the narrowing of credit spreads on these securities as well as improvements in the credit outlook of certain financial guarantors of these securities in the first quarter of 2013. These gains were partially offset by the losses on CMBS in the second quarter of 2013.

Credit-Related Income

We refer to our benefit for loan losses and (benefit) provision for guaranty losses collectively as our “benefit for credit losses.” Credit-related income consists of our benefit for credit losses and foreclosed property income.

Benefit for Credit Losses

Table 7 displays the components of our total loss reserves and our total fair value losses previously recognized on loans purchased out of unconsolidated MBS trusts reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Because these fair value losses lowered our recorded loan balances, we have fewer inherent losses in our guaranty book of business and consequently require lower total loss reserves. For these reasons, we consider these fair value losses as an “effective reserve,” apart from our total loss reserves, to the extent that we expect to realize these amounts as credit losses on the acquired loans in the future. The fair value losses shown in Table 7 represent credit losses we expect to realize in the future or amounts that will eventually be recovered, either through net interest income for loans that cure or through foreclosed property income for loans where the sale of the collateral exceeds our recorded investment in the loan. We exclude these fair value losses from our credit loss calculation as described in “Credit Loss Performance Metrics.”

Table 7: Total Loss Reserves

| | As of | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 39,067 | \$ 43,846 |
| Reserve for guaranty losses ⁽¹⁾ | 1,384 | 1,449 |
| Combined loss reserves | 40,451 | 45,295 |
| Allowance for accrued interest receivable | 944 | 1,156 |
| Allowance for preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivable ⁽²⁾ | 739 | 839 |
| Total loss reserves | 42,134 | 47,290 |
| Fair value losses previously recognized on acquired credit-impaired loans ⁽³⁾ | 10,573 | 11,316 |
| Total loss reserves and fair value losses previously recognized on acquired credit-impaired loans | \$ 52,707 | \$ 58,606 |

⁽¹⁾ Amount included in “Other liabilities” in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

⁽²⁾ Amount included in “Other assets” in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

⁽³⁾ Represents the fair value losses on loans purchased out of unconsolidated MBS trusts reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Table 8 displays changes in the total allowance for loan losses, reserve for guaranty losses and the total combined loss reserves for the periods indicated.

Table 8: Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guaranty Losses (Combined Loss Reserves)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Changes in combined loss reserves: | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 41,911 | \$ 56,461 | \$ 43,846 | \$ 58,795 |
| Benefit for loan losses | (1,558) | (5,449) | (2,430) | (6,433) |
| Charge-offs ⁽¹⁾ | (1,911) | (2,218) | (3,510) | (4,938) |
| Recoveries | 458 | 572 | 849 | 1,844 |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | 167 | 277 | 312 | 375 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 39,067</u> | <u>\$ 49,643</u> | <u>\$ 39,067</u> | <u>\$ 49,643</u> |
| Reserve for guaranty losses: | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 1,520 | \$ 1,203 | \$ 1,449 | \$ 1,231 |
| (Benefit) provision for guaranty losses | (81) | 66 | 17 | 93 |
| Charge-offs | (49) | (39) | (77) | (95) |
| Recoveries | (6) | — | (5) | 1 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 1,384</u> | <u>\$ 1,230</u> | <u>\$ 1,384</u> | <u>\$ 1,230</u> |
| Combined loss reserves: | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 43,431 | \$ 57,664 | \$ 45,295 | \$ 60,026 |
| Benefit for credit losses | (1,639) | (5,383) | (2,413) | (6,340) |
| Charge-offs ⁽¹⁾ | (1,960) | (2,257) | (3,587) | (5,033) |
| Recoveries | 452 | 572 | 844 | 1,845 |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | 167 | 277 | 312 | 375 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 40,451</u> | <u>\$ 50,873</u> | <u>\$ 40,451</u> | <u>\$ 50,873</u> |

| | As of | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | |

Allocation of combined loss reserves:

Balance at end of each period attributable to:

| | | |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| Single-family | \$ 39,984 | \$ 44,705 |
| Multifamily | 467 | 590 |
| Total | <u>\$ 40,451</u> | <u>\$ 45,295</u> |

Single-family and multifamily combined loss reserves as a percentage of applicable guaranty book of business:

| | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|
| Single-family | 1.40% | 1.55% |
| Multifamily | 0.24 | 0.29 |

Combined loss reserves as a percentage of:

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| Total guaranty book of business | 1.32% | 1.47% |
| Recorded investment in nonaccrual loans ⁽³⁾ | 56.84 | 54.20 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes accrued interest of \$75 million and \$122 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$169 million and \$237 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Amounts represent the net activity recorded in our allowances for accrued interest receivable and preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivable from borrowers. The benefit for credit losses, charge-offs and recoveries activity included in this table reflects all changes for both the allowance for loan losses and the valuation allowances for accrued interest and preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivable that relate to the mortgage loans.

⁽³⁾ Excludes off-balance sheet loans in unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS trusts that would meet our criteria for nonaccrual status if the loans had been on-balance sheet.

The amount of our benefit or provision for credit losses varies from period to period based on changes in actual and expected home prices, borrower payment behavior, the types and volumes of loss mitigation activities and foreclosures completed, and actual and estimated recoveries from our lender and mortgage insurer counterparties. See “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management” for information on mortgage insurers and outstanding mortgage seller and servicer repurchase obligations. In addition, our benefit or provision for credit losses and our loss reserves can be impacted by updates to the assumptions and data used in determining our allowance for loan losses.

We recognized a benefit for credit losses of \$1.6 billion in the second quarter of 2014 and \$5.4 billion in the second quarter of 2013. We recognized a benefit for credit losses of \$2.4 billion in the first half of 2014 and \$6.3 billion in the first half of 2013. The following factors contributed to our benefit for credit losses in the second quarter and first half of 2014 and 2013:

- Home prices increased by 2.7% in the second quarter of 2014 and 4.4% in the second quarter of 2013 and increased by 3.7% in the first half of 2014 and 6.1% in the first half of 2013. Higher home prices decrease the likelihood that loans will default and reduce the amount of credit loss on loans that default, which reduces our total loss reserves and provision for credit losses.
- The number of our seriously delinquent single-family loans declined 26% to approximately 357,000 as of June 30, 2014 from approximately 483,000 as of June 30, 2013, and the number of “early stage” delinquent loans (loans that are 30 to 89 days past due) declined 20% to approximately 329,000 as of June 30, 2014 from approximately 410,000 as of June 30, 2013. The reduction in the number of delinquent loans was due to home retention solutions, foreclosure alternatives and completed foreclosures, as well as our acquisition of loans with stronger credit profiles since the beginning of 2009. A decline in the number of loans becoming delinquent or seriously delinquent reduces our total loss reserves and provision for credit losses.

In the second quarter and first half of 2013, our benefit for credit losses was also driven by increases in the sales prices of our REO properties as a result of strong demand. In addition, in the second quarter of 2013, we updated the assumptions and data used to estimate our allowance for loans losses for individually impaired single-family loans, which resulted in a decrease to our allowance for loans losses.

We discuss our expectations regarding our future loss reserves in “Executive Summary—Outlook—Loss Reserves.”

Troubled Debt Restructurings and Nonaccrual Loans

Table 9 displays the composition of loans restructured in a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”) that are on accrual status and loans on nonaccrual status. The table includes held-for-investment and held-for-sale mortgage loans. For information on the impact of TDRs and other individually impaired loans on our allowance for loan losses, see “Note 3, Mortgage Loans.”

Table 9: Troubled Debt Restructurings and Nonaccrual Loans

| | As of | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | |
| TDRs on accrual status: | | |
| Single-family | \$ 144,911 | \$ 140,512 |
| Multifamily | 676 | 715 |
| Total TDRs on accrual status | <u>\$ 145,587</u> | <u>\$ 141,227</u> |
| Nonaccrual loans: | | |
| Single-family | \$ 69,550 | \$ 81,355 |
| Multifamily | 1,618 | 2,209 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | <u>\$ 71,168</u> | <u>\$ 83,564</u> |
| Accruing on-balance sheet loans past due 90 days or more ⁽¹⁾ | <u>\$ 642</u> | <u>\$ 719</u> |
| | For the Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Interest related to on-balance sheet TDRs and nonaccrual loans: | | |
| Interest income forgone ⁽²⁾ | \$ 3,223 | \$ 3,730 |
| Interest income recognized for the period ⁽³⁾ | 3,064 | 3,029 |

⁽¹⁾ Recorded investment in loans that, as of the end of each period, are 90 days or more past due and continuing to accrue interest. The majority of this amount consists of loans insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government and loans for which we have recourse against the seller in the event of a default.

⁽²⁾ Represents the amount of interest income we did not recognize, but would have recognized during the period for nonaccrual loans and TDRs on accrual status as of the end of each period had the loans performed according to their original contractual terms.

⁽³⁾ Represents interest income recognized during the period for loans classified as either nonaccrual loans or TDRs on accrual status as of the end of each period. Includes primarily amounts accrued while the loans were performing and cash payments received on nonaccrual loans.

Foreclosed Property Income

Foreclosed property income decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 due to a decrease in gains recognized on dispositions of our REO properties. During the second quarter and first half of 2014, we experienced a modest increase in REO prices compared with a significant increase in REO prices in the second quarter and first half of 2013. In addition, the amount of foreclosed property income recognized that is related to resolutions we entered into for representation and warranty matters and compensatory fees related to servicing matters decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013, partially offset by the recognition of outstanding deferred payment obligations from one of our mortgage insurers. For more information, see “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management—Mortgage Insurers.”

Credit Loss Performance Metrics

Our credit-related income should be considered in conjunction with our credit loss performance metrics. Our credit loss performance metrics, however, are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be calculated in the same manner as similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Because management does not view changes in the fair value of our mortgage loans as credit losses, we adjust our credit loss performance metrics for the impact associated with our acquisition of credit-impaired loans from unconsolidated MBS trusts. We also exclude interest forgone on nonaccrual loans and TDRs,

other-than-temporary impairment losses resulting from deterioration in the credit quality of our mortgage-related securities and accretion of interest income on acquired credit-impaired loans from credit losses. We believe that credit loss performance metrics may be useful to investors as the losses are presented as a percentage of our book of business and have historically been used by analysts, investors and other companies within the financial services industry. Moreover, by presenting credit losses with and without the effect of fair value losses associated with the acquisition of credit-impaired loans, investors are able to evaluate our credit performance on a more consistent basis among periods. Table 10 displays the components of our credit loss performance metrics as well as our single-family and multifamily initial charge-off severity rates.

Table 10: Credit Loss Performance Metrics

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Amount | Ratio ⁽¹⁾ | Amount | Ratio ⁽¹⁾ | Amount | Ratio ⁽¹⁾ | Amount | Ratio ⁽¹⁾ |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries | \$ 1,508 | 19.7 bps | \$ 1,685 | 22.2 bps | \$ 2,743 | 17.8 bps | \$ 3,188 | 21.0 bps |
| Foreclosed property income | (214) | (2.8) | (332) | (4.4) | (476) | (3.1) | (592) | (3.9) |
| Credit losses including the effect of fair value losses on acquired credit-impaired loans | 1,294 | 16.9 | 1,353 | 17.8 | 2,267 | 14.7 | 2,596 | 17.1 |
| Plus: Impact of acquired credit-impaired loans on charge-offs and foreclosed property income ⁽²⁾ | 175 | 2.3 | 251 | 3.3 | 335 | 2.2 | 506 | 3.3 |
| Credit losses and credit loss ratio | \$ 1,469 | 19.2 bps | \$ 1,604 | 21.1 bps | \$ 2,602 | 16.9 bps | \$ 3,102 | 20.4 bps |
| Credit losses attributable to: | | | | | | | | |
| Single-family | \$ 1,497 | | \$ 1,541 | | \$ 2,624 | | \$ 3,044 | |
| Multifamily | (28) | | 63 | | (22) | | 58 | |
| Total | \$ 1,469 | | \$ 1,604 | | \$ 2,602 | | \$ 3,102 | |
| Single-family initial charge-off severity rate ⁽³⁾ | | 18.89 % | | 24.93 % | | 19.62 % | | 26.09 % |
| Multifamily initial charge-off severity rate ⁽³⁾ | | 16.47 % | | 30.07 % | | 22.02 % | | 28.06 % |

(1) Basis points are based on the annualized amount for each line item presented divided by the average guaranty book of business during the period.

(2) Includes fair value losses from acquired credit-impaired loans.

(3) Single-family and multifamily rates exclude fair value losses on credit-impaired loans acquired from MBS trusts and any costs, gains or losses associated with REO after initial acquisition through final disposition. Single-family rate excludes charge-offs from short sales and third-party sales. Multifamily rate is net of risk-sharing agreements.

Credit losses decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily due to lower REO acquisitions driven by lower delinquencies. In addition, in the first half of 2014, credit losses were positively impacted by the recovery of previously charged off loans resulting from a settlement agreement reached in the first quarter of 2014 with Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. (“Lehman Brothers”) related to representation and warranty matters. For more information on this agreement, see “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management—Other.”

We discuss our expectations regarding our future credit losses in “Executive Summary—Outlook—Credit Losses.”

Regulatory Hypothetical Credit Loss Sensitivities

Under a September 2005 agreement with FHFA’s predecessor, the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight, we are required to disclose on a quarterly basis the present value of the change in future expected credit losses from our existing single-family guaranty book of business from an immediate 5% decline in single-family home prices for the entire United States followed by a return to the average of the possible growth rate paths used in our internal credit pricing models. The sensitivity results represent the difference between future expected credit losses under our base case scenario, which is derived from our internal home price path forecast, and a scenario that assumes an instantaneous nationwide 5% decline in home prices.

Table 11 displays the credit loss sensitivities as of the dates indicated for first-lien single-family loans that are in our retained mortgage portfolio or underlying Fannie Mae MBS, before and after consideration of projected credit risk sharing proceeds, such as private mortgage insurance claims and other credit enhancements.

Table 11: Single-Family Credit Loss Sensitivity⁽¹⁾

| | As of | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Gross single-family credit loss sensitivity | \$ 8,330 | \$ 9,109 |
| Less: Projected credit risk sharing proceeds | (1,008) | (1,062) |
| Net single-family credit loss sensitivity | <u>\$ 7,322</u> | <u>\$ 8,047</u> |
| Single-family loans in our retained mortgage portfolio and loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS | \$ 2,802,989 | \$ 2,828,395 |
| Single-family net credit loss sensitivity as a percentage of outstanding single-family loans in our retained mortgage portfolio and Fannie Mae MBS | 0.26% | 0.28% |

⁽¹⁾ Represents total economic credit losses, which consist of credit losses and forgone interest. Calculations are based on 98% of our total single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that are included in these estimates consist of: (a) single-family Fannie Mae MBS (whether held in our retained mortgage portfolio or held by third parties), excluding certain whole loan Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits (“REMICs”) and private-label wraps; (b) single-family mortgage loans, excluding mortgages secured only by second liens, subprime mortgages, manufactured housing chattel loans and reverse mortgages; and (c) long-term standby commitments. We expect the inclusion in our estimates of the excluded products may impact the estimated sensitivities set forth in this table.

Because these sensitivities represent hypothetical scenarios, they should be used with caution. Our regulatory stress test scenario is limited in that it assumes an instantaneous uniform 5% nationwide decline in home prices, which is not representative of the historical pattern of changes in home prices. Changes in home prices generally vary on a regional, as well as a local, basis. In addition, these stress test scenarios are calculated independently without considering changes in other interrelated assumptions, such as unemployment rates or other economic factors, which are likely to have a significant impact on our future expected credit losses.

Other Non-Interest Expenses

Other non-interest expenses increased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily due to an increase in TCCA fees. TCCA fees increased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 due to an increase in the percentage of loans in our single-family book of business subject to the TCCA. We expect the guaranty fees collected and expenses incurred under the TCCA to continue to increase in the future.

Federal Income Taxes

We recognized a provision for federal income taxes of \$1.8 billion in the second quarter of 2014 and \$4.3 billion for the first half of 2014. We recognized a provision for federal income taxes of \$2.0 billion in the second quarter of 2013 and a benefit for federal income taxes of \$48.6 billion for the first half of 2013. In calculating our interim provision for federal income taxes, we use an estimate of our annual effective tax rate, which we update each quarter based on actual financial results and forward-looking estimates. In the first quarter of 2013, we released the substantial majority of the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets, which fully offset the calculation of tax expense and resulted in the \$50.6 billion benefit reported in the first quarter of 2013. See “MD&A—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Deferred Tax Assets” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of the factors that led us to release our valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets in 2013.

BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS

Results of our three business segments are intended to reflect each segment as if it were a stand-alone business. Under our segment reporting structure, the sum of the results for our three business segments does not equal our condensed consolidated results of operations as we separate the activity related to our consolidated trusts from the results generated by our three segments. In addition, because we apply accounting methods that differ from our condensed consolidated results for segment reporting purposes, we include an eliminations/adjustments category to reconcile our business segment results and the activity related to our consolidated trusts to our condensed consolidated results of operations. We describe the management reporting and allocation process used to generate our segment results in “Note 13, Segment Reporting” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

In this section, we summarize our segment results for the second quarter and first half of 2014 and 2013 in the tables below and provide a comparative discussion of these results. This section should be read together with our comparative discussion of our condensed consolidated results of operations in “Consolidated Results of Operations.” See “Note 12, Segment Reporting” for a reconciliation of our segment results to our condensed consolidated results.

Single-Family Business Results

Table 12 displays the financial results of our Single-Family business for the periods indicated. For a discussion of Single-Family credit risk management, including information on serious delinquency rates and loan workouts, see “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management.” The primary source of revenue for our Single-Family business is guaranty fee income. Other items that impact income or loss primarily include credit-related income (expense), net interest income (loss), TCCA fees and administrative expenses.

Table 12: Single-Family Business Results⁽¹⁾

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Variance | 2014 | 2013 | Variance |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) ⁽²⁾ | \$ 5 | \$ (50) | \$ 55 | \$ (43) | \$ 470 | \$ (513) |
| Guaranty fee income ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2,893 | 2,544 | 349 | 5,763 | 4,919 | 844 |
| Credit-related income ⁽⁵⁾ | 1,781 | 5,681 | (3,900) | 2,783 | 6,715 | (3,932) |
| TCCA fees ⁽⁴⁾ | (335) | (233) | (102) | (657) | (419) | (238) |
| Other expenses ⁽⁶⁾ | (517) | (394) | (123) | (983) | (816) | (167) |
| Income before federal income taxes | 3,827 | 7,548 | (3,721) | 6,863 | 10,869 | (4,006) |
| (Provision) benefit for federal income taxes ⁽⁷⁾ | (1,133) | (1,050) | (83) | (2,060) | 30,528 | (32,588) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | \$ 2,694 | \$ 6,498 | \$ (3,804) | \$ 4,803 | \$ 41,397 | \$ (36,594) |

Other key performance data:**Securitization Activity/New Business**

| | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Single-family Fannie Mae MBS issuances ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 84,096 | \$ 206,978 | \$ 161,068 | \$ 428,843 |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|------------|

Credit Guaranty Activity

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Average single-family guaranty book of business ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 2,870,663 | \$ 2,838,865 | \$ 2,877,082 | \$ 2,837,002 |
| Single-family effective guaranty fee rate (in basis points) ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁰⁾ | 40.3 | 35.8 | 40.1 | 34.7 |
| Single-family average charged guaranty fee on new acquisitions (in basis points) ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹¹⁾ | 62.6 | 56.9 | 62.8 | 55.7 |
| Single-family serious delinquency rate, at end of period ⁽¹²⁾ | 2.05 % | 2.77 % | 2.05 % | 2.77 % |

Market

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Single-family mortgage debt outstanding, at end of period (total U.S. market) ⁽¹³⁾ | \$ 9,851,321 | \$ 9,905,566 | \$ 9,851,321 | \$ 9,905,566 |
| 30-year mortgage rate, at end of period ⁽¹⁴⁾ | 4.14 % | 4.46 % | 4.14 % | 4.46 % |

⁽¹⁾ Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation.

⁽²⁾ Includes the cost to reimburse the Capital Markets group for interest income not recognized for loans in our retained mortgage portfolio on nonaccrual status, the cost to reimburse MBS trusts for interest income not recognized for loans in consolidated trusts on nonaccrual status and income from cash payments received on loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status.

⁽³⁾ Guaranty fee income related to unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS trusts and other credit enhancement arrangements is included in fee and other income in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes the impact of a 10 basis point guaranty fee increase implemented pursuant to the TCCA, the incremental revenue from which must be remitted to Treasury. The resulting revenue is included in guaranty fee income and the expense is recognized as "TCCA fees."

⁽⁵⁾ Consists of the benefit for credit losses and foreclosed property income.

⁽⁶⁾ Consists of investment (losses) gains, net, fair value losses, net, fee and other income, administrative expenses and other expenses.

⁽⁷⁾ The benefit for the first half of 2013 primarily represented the release in the first quarter of 2013 of the substantial majority of our valuation allowance against the portion of our deferred tax assets that we attribute to our Single-Family segment based on the nature of the item.

⁽⁸⁾ Consists of unpaid principal balance of Fannie Mae MBS issued and guaranteed by the Single-Family segment during the period.

⁽⁹⁾ Our single-family guaranty book of business consists of (a) single-family mortgage loans of Fannie Mae, (b) single-family mortgage loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS, and (c) other credit enhancements that we provide on single-family mortgage assets, such as long-term standby commitments. It excludes non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our retained mortgage portfolio for which we do not provide a guaranty.

- (10) Calculated based on annualized Single-Family segment guaranty fee income divided by the average single-family guaranty book of business, expressed in basis points.
- (11) Calculated based on the average contractual fee rate for our single-family guaranty arrangements entered into during the period plus the recognition of any upfront cash payments ratably over an estimated average life, expressed in basis points.
- (12) Calculated based on the number of single-family conventional loans that are 90 days or more past due or in the foreclosure process, divided by the number of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business. We include single-family conventional loans that we own and those that back Fannie Mae MBS in the calculation of the single-family serious delinquency rate.
- (13) Information labeled as of June 30, 2014 is as of March 31, 2014 and is based on the Federal Reserve's June 2014 mortgage debt outstanding release, the latest date for which the Federal Reserve has estimated mortgage debt outstanding for single-family residences. Prior period amounts may have been changed to reflect revised historical data from the Federal Reserve.
- (14) Based on Freddie Mac's Primary Mortgage Market Survey[®] rate for the last week in the period, which represents the national average mortgage commitment rate to a qualified borrower exclusive of any fees and points required by the lender.

Pre-tax income decreased in the second quarter of 2014 compared with the second quarter of 2013 primarily due to a decrease in credit-related income, which was partially offset by an increase in guaranty fee income. Pre-tax income decreased in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 primarily due to the factors discussed above as well as the recognition of a net interest loss in the first half of 2014 compared with net interest income in the first half of 2013.

Our credit-related income decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013. Our single-family credit-related results for the second quarter and first half of 2014 and 2013 were primarily driven by increases in home prices. In addition, in the second quarter and first half of 2013, our single-family credit-related income was driven by increases in the sales prices of our REO properties, as well as the impact of updates to the assumptions and data used to estimate our allowance for loan losses for individually impaired single-family loans to reflect faster prepayment and lower default expectations for these loans, which resulted in a decrease to our allowance for loan losses. Our single-family credit-related income represents the substantial majority of our consolidated activity. We provide a discussion of our credit-related income and credit losses in "Consolidated Results of Operations—Credit-Related Income."

Guaranty fee income and our effective guaranty fee rate increased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 as loans with higher guaranty fees have become a larger part of our single-family guaranty book of business due to the cumulative impact of guaranty fee price increases implemented in 2012 and also due to higher amortization income on loan level price adjustments.

In December 2011, Congress enacted the TCCA which, among other provisions, required that we increase our single-family guaranty fees by at least 10 basis points and remit this increase to Treasury, rather than retaining the incremental revenue. This TCCA-related revenue is included in guaranty fee income, and the expense is recognized as "TCCA fees." TCCA fees increased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013, as single-family loans acquired since the implementation of the TCCA-related guaranty fee increase constituted a larger portion of our single-family guaranty book of business in the second quarter and first half of 2014.

We recognized a net interest loss in the first half of 2014 compared with net interest income in the first half of 2013 primarily due to our resolution agreement with Bank of America during the first quarter of 2013, which resulted in the recognition of unamortized cost basis adjustments on the loans repurchased by Bank of America in that period.

We recognized a provision for federal income taxes in the first half of 2014 compared with a benefit for federal income taxes in the first half of 2013. The benefit for federal income taxes in the first half of 2013 primarily represented the release in the first quarter of 2013 of the substantial majority of the valuation allowance against the portion of our deferred tax assets that we attributed to our Single-Family segment.

Our single-family acquisition volume and single-family Fannie Mae MBS issuances decreased significantly in the second quarter and first half of 2014 as compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013; however, liquidations of loans from our single-family guaranty book of business also declined due to lower refinance activity. Accordingly, the size of our single-family guaranty book of business has remained relatively flat.

Our average charged guaranty fee on newly acquired single-family loans increased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 as compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily as the result of an increase in loan level price adjustments charged on our acquisitions in the second quarter and first half of 2014, as these acquisitions included a higher proportion of loans with higher LTV ratios or lower FICO credit scores than our acquisitions in the second quarter and first half of 2013.

Multifamily Business Results

Multifamily business results primarily reflect our multifamily guaranty business. Our multifamily business results also include activity relating to our low-income housing tax credit (“LIHTC”) investments and equity investments. Although we are not currently making new LIHTC or equity investments, we continue to make contractually required contributions for our legacy investments. Activity from multifamily products is also reflected in the Capital Markets group results, which include net interest income related to multifamily loans and securities held in our retained mortgage portfolio, gains and losses from the sale of multifamily Fannie Mae MBS, mortgage loans and re-securitizations, and other miscellaneous income.

Table 13 displays the financial results of our Multifamily business for the periods indicated. The primary sources of revenue for our Multifamily business are guaranty fee income and fee and other income. Other items that impact income or loss primarily include credit-related income (expense) and administrative expenses.

Table 13: Multifamily Business Results

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Variance | 2014 | 2013 | Variance |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Guaranty fee income ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 317 | \$ 300 | \$ 17 | \$ 628 | \$ 591 | \$ 37 |
| Fee and other income | 31 | 38 | (7) | 55 | 89 | (34) |
| Gains from partnership investments ⁽²⁾ | 34 | 104 | (70) | 79 | 163 | (84) |
| Credit-related income ⁽³⁾ | 72 | 34 | 38 | 106 | 217 | (111) |
| Other expenses ⁽⁴⁾ | (69) | (80) | 11 | (162) | (153) | (9) |
| Income before federal income taxes | 385 | 396 | (11) | 706 | 907 | (201) |
| (Provision) benefit for federal income taxes ⁽⁵⁾ | (9) | (10) | 1 | — | 7,978 | (7,978) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | \$ 376 | \$ 386 | \$ (10) | \$ 706 | \$ 8,885 | \$ (8,179) |

Other key performance data:**Securitization Activity/New Business**

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--|------------|------------|
| Multifamily new business volume ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 4,643 | \$ 7,765 | | \$ 8,163 | \$ 15,981 |
| Multifamily units financed from new business volume | 93,000 | 140,000 | | 165,000 | 283,000 |
| Multifamily Fannie Mae MBS issuances ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 5,519 | \$ 8,201 | | \$ 10,398 | \$ 17,275 |
| Multifamily Fannie Mae structured securities issuances (issued by Capital Markets group) | \$ 3,161 | \$ 2,972 | | \$ 6,423 | \$ 6,208 |
| Multifamily Fannie Mae MBS outstanding, at end of period ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 153,246 | \$ 140,182 | | \$ 153,246 | \$ 140,182 |

Credit Guaranty Activity

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--|------------|------------|
| Average multifamily guaranty book of business ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 198,302 | \$ 205,466 | | \$ 199,074 | \$ 205,704 |
| Multifamily effective guaranty fee rate (in basis points) ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 63.9 | 58.4 | | 63.1 | 57.5 |
| Multifamily credit loss ratio (in basis points) ⁽¹¹⁾ | (5.6) | 12.3 | | (2.2) | 5.6 |
| Multifamily serious delinquency rate, at end of period | 0.10 % | 0.28 % | | 0.10 % | 0.28 % |
| Percentage of multifamily guaranty book of business with credit enhancement, at end of period | 92 % | 91 % | | 92 % | 91 % |
| Fannie Mae percentage of total multifamily mortgage debt outstanding, at end of period ⁽¹²⁾ | 20 % | 21 % | | 20 % | 21 % |

Portfolio Data

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| Additional net interest income earned on Fannie Mae multifamily mortgage loans and MBS (included in Capital Markets group's results) ⁽¹³⁾ | \$ 136 | \$ 178 | | \$ 257 | \$ 376 |
| Average Fannie Mae multifamily mortgage loans and Fannie Mae MBS in Capital Markets group's portfolio ⁽¹⁴⁾ | \$ 50,934 | \$ 78,409 | | \$ 53,870 | \$ 82,166 |

⁽¹⁾ Guaranty fee income related to unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS trusts and other credit enhancement arrangements is included in fee and other income in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

- (2) Gains from partnership investments are included in other expenses in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Gains from partnership investments are reported using the equity method of accounting. As a result, net income attributable to noncontrolling interest from partnership investments is not included in income for the Multifamily segment.
- (3) Consists of the benefit for credit losses and foreclosed property income.
- (4) Consists of net interest loss, investment gains, net, administrative expenses and other (expenses) income.
- (5) The benefit for the first half of 2013 primarily represented the release in the first quarter of 2013 of the substantial majority of our valuation allowance against the portion of our deferred tax assets that we attribute to our Multifamily segment based on the nature of the item.
- (6) Reflects unpaid principal balance of multifamily Fannie Mae MBS issued (excluding portfolio securitizations) and multifamily loans purchased during the period.
- (7) Reflects unpaid principal balance of multifamily Fannie Mae MBS issued during the period. Includes (a) issuances of new MBS, (b) Fannie Mae portfolio securitization transactions of \$905 million and \$602 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$2.3 billion and \$1.4 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and (c) conversions of adjustable-rate loans to fixed-rate loans and discount MBS (“DMBS”) to MBS of \$44 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. We did not have any conversions of adjustable-rate loans to fixed-rate loans or DMBS to MBS during the first half of 2014 or the second quarter of 2013.
- (8) Includes \$18.4 billion and \$25.0 billion of Fannie Mae multifamily MBS held in the retained mortgage portfolio, the vast majority of which have been consolidated to loans in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$1.2 billion of Fannie Mae MBS collateralized by bonds issued by state and local housing finance agencies as of June 30, 2014 and 2013.
- (9) Our Multifamily guaranty book of business consists of (a) multifamily mortgage loans of Fannie Mae, (b) multifamily mortgage loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS, and (c) other credit enhancements that we provide on multifamily mortgage assets. It excludes non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our retained mortgage portfolio for which we do not provide a guaranty.
- (10) Calculated based on annualized Multifamily segment guaranty fee income divided by the average multifamily guaranty book of business, expressed in basis points.
- (11) Calculated based on annualized Multifamily segment credit losses divided by the average multifamily guaranty book of business, expressed in basis points. The credit loss ratio may be negative as a result of recoveries on previously charged off amounts.
- (12) Includes mortgage loans and Fannie Mae MBS guaranteed by the Multifamily segment. Information labeled as of June 30, 2014 is as of March 31, 2014 and is based on the Federal Reserve’s June 2014 mortgage debt outstanding release, the latest date for which the Federal Reserve has estimated mortgage debt outstanding for multifamily residences. Prior period amounts may have been changed to reflect revised historical data from the Federal Reserve.
- (13) Interest expense estimate is based on allocated duration-matched funding costs. Net interest income was reduced by guaranty fees allocated to Multifamily from the Capital Markets group on multifamily loans in our retained mortgage portfolio.
- (14) Based on unpaid principal balance.

Pre-tax income decreased slightly in the second quarter of 2014 compared with the second quarter of 2013 primarily due to a decrease in gains on partnership investments, partially offset by increases in credit-related income and guaranty fee income. Pre-tax income decreased in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 primarily due to decreases in gains on partnership investments and credit-related income, partially offset by an increase in guaranty fee income.

Credit-related income increased in the second quarter of 2014 compared with the second quarter of 2013 primarily due to an increase in gains recognized on dispositions of our REO properties. Credit-related income decreased in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 primarily as a result of smaller improvements in default and loss severity trends in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013.

Guaranty fee income increased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 as loans with higher guaranty fees have become a larger part of our multifamily guaranty book of business, while loans with lower guaranty fees continue to liquidate.

Gains from partnership investments decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily as a result of lower sales activity.

The benefit for federal income taxes in the first half of 2013 primarily represented the release in the first quarter of 2013 of the substantial majority of the valuation allowance against the portion of our deferred tax assets that we attributed to our Multifamily segment.

Capital Markets Group Results

Table 14 displays the financial results of our Capital Markets group for the periods indicated. Following the table we discuss the Capital Markets group’s financial results and describe the Capital Markets group’s retained mortgage portfolio. For a

discussion of the debt issued by the Capital Markets group to fund its investment activities, see “Liquidity and Capital Management.” For a discussion of the derivative instruments that the Capital Markets group uses to manage interest rate risk, see “Risk Management—Market Risk Management, Including Interest Rate Risk Management—Measurement of Interest Rate Risk” in our 2013 Form 10-K and “Note 9, Derivative Instruments” in this report and our 2013 Form 10-K. The primary sources of revenue for our Capital Markets group are net interest income and fee and other income. Other items that impact income or loss primarily include fair value gains and losses, investment gains and losses, other-than-temporary impairments, allocated guaranty fee expense and administrative expenses.

Table 14: Capital Markets Group Results⁽¹⁾

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Variance | 2014 | 2013 | Variance |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Net interest income ⁽²⁾ | \$ 1,917 | \$ 2,680 | \$ (763) | \$ 3,747 | \$ 5,422 | \$ (1,675) |
| Investment gains, net ⁽³⁾ | 1,648 | 898 | 750 | 2,984 | 2,247 | 737 |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net ⁽⁴⁾ | (1,098) | 841 | (1,939) | (2,435) | 1,716 | (4,151) |
| Fee and other income | 136 | 255 | (119) | 4,269 | 604 | 3,665 |
| Other expenses ⁽⁵⁾ | (444) | (434) | (10) | (905) | (869) | (36) |
| Income before federal income taxes | 2,159 | 4,240 | (2,081) | 7,660 | 9,120 | (1,460) |
| (Provision) benefit for federal income taxes ⁽⁶⁾ | (610) | (925) | 315 | (2,276) | 10,080 | (12,356) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | <u>\$ 1,549</u> | <u>\$ 3,315</u> | <u>\$ (1,766)</u> | <u>\$ 5,384</u> | <u>\$ 19,200</u> | <u>\$ (13,816)</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation.

⁽²⁾ Includes contractual interest income, excluding recoveries, on nonaccrual loans received from the Single-Family segment of \$678 million and \$1.0 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$1.4 billion and \$2.1 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Capital Markets group’s net interest income is reported based on the mortgage-related assets held in the segment’s retained mortgage portfolio and excludes interest income on mortgage-related assets held by consolidated MBS trusts that are owned by third parties and the interest expense on the corresponding debt of such trusts.

⁽³⁾ We include the securities that we own regardless of whether the trust has been consolidated in reporting of gains and losses on securitizations and sales of available-for-sale securities.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes fair value gains or losses on derivatives and trading securities that we own, regardless of whether the trust has been consolidated.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes allocated guaranty fee expense, debt extinguishment gains (losses), net, administrative expenses, net other-than-temporary impairments and other (expenses) income. Gains or losses related to the extinguishment of debt issued by consolidated trusts are excluded from the Capital Markets group’s results because purchases of securities are recognized as such.

⁽⁶⁾ The benefit for the first half of 2013 primarily represented the release in the first quarter of 2013 of the substantial majority of our valuation allowance against the portion of our deferred tax assets that we attribute to our Capital Markets group based on the nature of the item.

Pre-tax income decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily due to fair value losses recognized in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with fair value gains recognized in the second quarter and first half of 2013 and a decrease in net interest income, partially offset by an increase in investment gains. Additionally, the decrease in pre-tax income in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 was partially offset by an increase in fee and other income.

Fair value losses in the second quarter and first half of 2014 were primarily due to fair value losses on our risk management derivatives. The derivatives fair value gains and losses that are reported for the Capital Markets group are consistent with the gains and losses reported in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. We discuss our derivatives fair value gains and losses in “Consolidated Results of Operations—Fair Value (Losses) Gains, Net.”

The decrease in net interest income in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 was primarily due to a decline in the average balance of our retained mortgage portfolio as we continued to reduce this portfolio pursuant to the requirements of our senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury.

We supplement our issuance of debt securities with derivative instruments to further reduce duration risk, which includes prepayment risk. The effect of these derivatives, in particular the periodic net interest expense accruals on interest rate swaps, is not reflected in the Capital Markets group's net interest income but is included in our results as a component of "Fair value (losses) gains, net" and is displayed in "Table 6: Fair Value (Losses) Gains, Net."

Investment gains increased in the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily due to gains on the sale of PLS.

Fee and other income increased in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 primarily as a result of income in the first quarter of 2014 resulting from settlement agreements resolving certain lawsuits relating to PLS sold to us. See "Legal Proceedings—FHFA Private-Label Mortgage-Related Securities Litigation" in this report and in our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q for additional information.

We recognized a provision for federal income taxes in the first half of 2014 compared with a benefit for federal income taxes in the first half of 2013. The benefit for federal income taxes in the first half of 2013 primarily represented the release in the first quarter of 2013 of the substantial majority of the valuation allowance against the portion of our deferred tax assets that we attributed to our Capital Markets group.

The Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio

The Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio, which we also refer to as our retained mortgage portfolio, consists of mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that we own. Mortgage-related securities held by the Capital Markets group include Fannie Mae MBS and non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities. The Fannie Mae MBS that we own are maintained as securities on the Capital Markets group's balance sheets. The portion of assets held by consolidated MBS trusts that back mortgage-related securities owned by third parties are not included in the Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio.

The amount of mortgage assets that we may own is restricted by our senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury. By December 31 of each year, we are required to reduce our mortgage assets to 85% of the maximum allowable amount that we were permitted to own as of December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year, until the amount of our mortgage assets reaches \$250 billion in 2018. Under the agreement, the maximum allowable amount of mortgage assets we are permitted to own as of December 31, 2014 is \$469.6 billion. As we reduce the size of our retained mortgage portfolio, our revenues generated by our retained mortgage portfolio will decrease. As of June 30, 2014, we owned \$452.8 billion in mortgage assets, compared with \$490.7 billion as of December 31, 2013. For additional information on the terms of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury, see "Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements—Treasury Agreements" in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Table 15 displays our Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio activity for the periods indicated.

Table 15: Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio Activity⁽¹⁾

| | For the Three Months | | For the Six Months | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | Ended June 30, | | Ended June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Mortgage loans: | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 305,989 | \$ 351,999 | \$ 314,664 | \$ 371,708 |
| Purchases | 36,346 | 67,667 | 67,246 | 139,931 |
| Securitizations ⁽²⁾ | (30,598) | (56,760) | (57,141) | (121,547) |
| Liquidations and sales ⁽³⁾ | (13,054) | (19,164) | (26,086) | (46,350) |
| Mortgage loans, ending balance | 298,683 | 343,742 | 298,683 | 343,742 |
| Mortgage securities: | | | | |
| Beginning balance | 161,723 | 245,780 | 176,037 | 261,346 |
| Purchases ⁽⁴⁾ | 4,516 | 9,722 | 8,046 | 19,184 |
| Securitizations ⁽²⁾ | 30,598 | 56,760 | 57,141 | 121,547 |
| Sales | (35,845) | (75,853) | (73,087) | (151,060) |
| Liquidations ⁽³⁾ | (6,903) | (14,953) | (14,048) | (29,561) |
| Mortgage securities, ending balance | 154,089 | 221,456 | 154,089 | 221,456 |
| Total Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio | \$ 452,772 | \$ 565,198 | \$ 452,772 | \$ 565,198 |

⁽¹⁾ Based on unpaid principal balance.

⁽²⁾ Includes portfolio securitization transactions that do not qualify for sale treatment under GAAP.

⁽³⁾ Includes scheduled repayments, prepayments, foreclosures, and lender repurchases.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes purchases of Fannie Mae MBS issued by consolidated trusts.

Table 16 displays the composition of the Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 16: Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio Composition⁽¹⁾

| | As of | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Capital Markets group's mortgage loans: | | |
| Single-family loans: | | |
| Government insured or guaranteed | \$ 38,053 | \$ 39,399 |
| Conventional: | | |
| Long-term, fixed-rate | 210,788 | 215,945 |
| Intermediate-term, fixed-rate | 8,038 | 8,385 |
| Adjustable-rate | 11,435 | 13,171 |
| Total single-family conventional | 230,261 | 237,501 |
| Total single-family loans | 268,314 | 276,900 |
| Multifamily loans: | | |
| Government insured or guaranteed | 256 | 267 |
| Conventional: | | |
| Long-term, fixed-rate | 2,160 | 2,687 |
| Intermediate-term, fixed-rate | 21,444 | 27,325 |
| Adjustable-rate | 6,509 | 7,485 |
| Total multifamily conventional | 30,113 | 37,497 |
| Total multifamily loans | 30,369 | 37,764 |
| Total Capital Markets group's mortgage loans | 298,683 | 314,664 |
| Capital Markets group's mortgage-related securities: | | |
| Fannie Mae | 114,396 | 129,841 |
| Freddie Mac | 7,387 | 8,124 |
| Ginnie Mae | 680 | 899 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 9,362 | 11,153 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 9,840 | 12,322 |
| CMBS | 3,839 | 3,983 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 5,365 | 6,319 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | 3,220 | 3,396 |
| Total Capital Markets group's mortgage-related securities ⁽²⁾ | 154,089 | 176,037 |
| Total Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio | \$ 452,772 | \$ 490,701 |

⁽¹⁾ Based on unpaid principal balance.

⁽²⁾ The fair value of these mortgage-related securities was \$160.7 billion and \$179.5 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio decreased as of June 30, 2014 compared with December 31, 2013, primarily due to sales and liquidations outpacing purchases in the first half of 2014. Purchase activity declined in the first half of 2014 compared with the first half of 2013 primarily due to fewer loan purchases as a result of an increase in mortgage interest rates.

The loans we purchased in the first half of 2014 included \$9.7 billion in delinquent loans we purchased from our single-family MBS trusts. As a result of purchasing delinquent loans from MBS trusts as they become four or more consecutive monthly payments delinquent and decreasing our retained mortgage portfolio to meet the requirements of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, an increasing portion of the Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio is comprised of loans restructured in a TDR and nonaccrual loans. Table 17 displays the composition of loans restructured in a TDR that were on accrual status, loans on nonaccrual status and all other mortgage-related assets in our Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 17: Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio

| | As of | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | |
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Percent of total | Unpaid Principal Balance | Percent of total |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| TDRs on accrual status | \$ 140,957 | 31% | \$ 136,237 | 28% |
| Nonaccrual loans | 64,451 | 14 | 75,006 | 15 |
| All other mortgage-related assets | 247,364 | 55 | 279,458 | 57 |
| Total Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio | <u>\$ 452,772</u> | <u>100%</u> | <u>\$ 490,701</u> | <u>100%</u> |

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

This section provides a discussion of our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of the dates indicated and should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements, including the accompanying notes.

Table 18 displays a summary of our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of the dates indicated.

Table 18: Summary of Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | As of | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 | Variance |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents and federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | \$ 37,547 | \$ 58,203 | \$ (20,656) |
| Restricted cash | 29,587 | 28,995 | 592 |
| Investments in securities ⁽¹⁾ | 60,656 | 68,939 | (8,283) |
| Mortgage loans: | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | 285,209 | 300,508 | (15,299) |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,758,644 | 2,769,578 | (10,934) |
| Allowance for loan losses | (39,067) | (43,846) | 4,779 |
| Mortgage loans, net of allowance for loan losses | 3,004,786 | 3,026,240 | (21,454) |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 44,809 | 47,560 | (2,751) |
| Other assets ⁽²⁾ | 41,432 | 40,171 | 1,261 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 3,218,817</u> | <u>\$ 3,270,108</u> | <u>\$ (51,291)</u> |
| Liabilities and equity | | | |
| Debt: | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | \$ 477,535 | \$ 529,434 | \$ (51,899) |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,712,010 | 2,705,089 | 6,921 |
| Other liabilities ⁽³⁾ | 23,160 | 25,994 | (2,834) |
| Total liabilities | 3,212,705 | 3,260,517 | (47,812) |
| Total equity ⁽⁴⁾ | 6,112 | 9,591 | (3,479) |
| Total liabilities and equity | <u>\$ 3,218,817</u> | <u>\$ 3,270,108</u> | <u>\$ (51,291)</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$13.1 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$16.3 billion as of December 31, 2013 of non-mortgage-related securities that are included in our other investments portfolio, which we present in “Table 26: Cash and Other Investments Portfolio.”

⁽²⁾ Consists of accrued interest receivable, net; acquired property, net; and other assets.

⁽³⁾ Consists of accrued interest payable, federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other liabilities.

⁽⁴⁾ Consists of preferred stock, senior preferred stock, common stock, accumulated deficit, accumulated other comprehensive income, treasury stock, and noncontrolling interest.

Cash and Other Investments Portfolio

Our cash and other investments portfolio consists of cash and cash equivalents, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements, and investments in non-mortgage-related securities. See “Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management—Cash and Other Investments Portfolio” for additional information on our cash and other investments portfolio.

Investments in Mortgage-Related Securities

Our investments in mortgage-related securities are classified in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as either trading or available-for-sale and are measured at fair value. Table 19 displays the fair value of our investments in mortgage-related securities, including trading and available-for-sale securities, as of the dates indicated. We classify PLS as Alt-A, subprime or CMBS if the securities were labeled as such when issued. We have also invested in subprime private-label mortgage-related securities that we have resecuritized to include our guaranty (which we refer to as “wraps”).

Table 19: Summary of Mortgage-Related Securities at Fair Value

| | As of | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 11,708 | \$ 12,443 |
| Freddie Mac | 7,968 | 8,681 |
| Ginnie Mae | 762 | 995 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 7,875 | 8,865 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 6,987 | 8,516 |
| CMBS | 4,149 | 4,324 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 5,203 | 5,821 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | 2,921 | 2,988 |
| Total | <u>\$ 47,573</u> | <u>\$ 52,633</u> |

The decrease in mortgage-related securities at fair value from December 31, 2013 to June 30, 2014 was primarily driven by a decrease in PLS due to the transfer of certain PLS to Bank of America as a result of our settlement agreement with Bank of America in the first quarter of 2014, in addition to the sale of other PLS during the first half of 2014.

Mortgage Loans

The decrease in mortgage loans, net of the allowance for loan losses, in the first half of 2014 was primarily due to liquidations outpacing acquisition volumes. For additional information on our mortgage loans, see “Note 3, Mortgage Loans.” For additional information on the mortgage loan purchase and sale activities reported by our Capital Markets group, see “Business Segment Results—Capital Markets Group Results.”

Debt

Debt of Fannie Mae is the primary means of funding our mortgage investments. The decrease in debt of Fannie Mae in the first half of 2014 was primarily driven by lower funding needs. We provide a summary of the activity of the debt of Fannie Mae and a comparison of the mix between our outstanding short-term and long-term debt in “Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management—Debt Funding.” Also see “Note 8, Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt” for additional information on our outstanding debt.

Debt of consolidated trusts represents the amount of Fannie Mae MBS issued from consolidated trusts and held by third-party certificate holders. The increase in debt of consolidated trusts in the first half of 2014 was primarily driven by sales of Fannie Mae MBS, which are accounted for as reissuances of debt of consolidated trusts in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, since the MBS certificate ownership is transferred from us to a third party.

Total Equity

Total equity decreased as of June 30, 2014 compared with December 31, 2013 due to our payment of senior preferred stock dividends to Treasury during the first half of 2014, partially offset by comprehensive income recognized during the first half of 2014.

SUPPLEMENTAL NON-GAAP INFORMATION—FAIR VALUE BALANCE SHEETS

As part of our disclosure requirements with FHFA, we disclose on a quarterly basis supplemental non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets, which reflect our assets and liabilities at estimated fair value.

Table 20 summarizes changes in our stockholders' equity reported in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets and in the estimated fair value of our net assets in our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The estimated fair value of our net assets is calculated based on the difference between the fair value of our assets and the fair value of our liabilities, adjusted for noncontrolling interests. We use various valuation techniques to estimate fair value, some of which incorporate internal assumptions that are subjective and involve a high degree of management judgment. We describe the specific valuation techniques used to determine fair value and disclose the carrying value and fair value of our financial assets and liabilities in "Note 16, Fair Value."

Table 20: Comparative Measures—GAAP Change in Stockholders' Equity and Non-GAAP Change in Fair Value of Net Assets

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 |
|--|---|
| | (Dollars in millions) |
| GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets: | |
| Fannie Mae stockholders' equity as of December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 9,541 |
| Total comprehensive income | 9,409 |
| Senior preferred stock dividend paid | (12,882) |
| Other | (6) |
| Fannie Mae stockholders' equity as of June 30, 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | <u>\$ 6,062</u> |
| Non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets: | |
| Estimated fair value of net assets as of December 31, 2013 | \$ (33,368) |
| Senior preferred stock dividend paid based on our net worth as of March 31, 2014 | (5,692) |
| Senior preferred stock dividends payable ⁽²⁾ | (3,712) |
| Change in estimated fair value of net assets excluding the senior preferred stock dividend | <u>30,882</u> |
| Increase in estimated fair value of net assets, net | <u>21,478</u> |
| Estimated fair value of net assets as of June 30, 2014 | <u>\$ (11,890)</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Our net worth, as defined under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, is equivalent to the "Total equity" amount reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, which consists of "Total Fannie Mae stockholders' equity" and "Noncontrolling interest."

⁽²⁾ Represents the dividend we expect to pay Treasury in the third quarter of 2014 on the senior preferred stock, which, for purposes of our non-GAAP fair value balance sheets, we present as a liability. Under the terms of the senior preferred stock, effective January 1, 2013, we are required to pay Treasury each quarter a dividend, when, as and if declared, equal to the excess of our net worth as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter over an applicable capital reserve amount. The applicable capital reserve amount is \$2.4 billion for all quarterly dividend periods in 2014 and will be reduced by \$600 million each year until it reaches zero on January 1, 2018. The Director of FHFA directs us to make dividend payments on the senior preferred stock on a quarterly basis.

During the first half of 2014, the estimated fair value of our net assets (excluding the senior preferred stock dividend) increased by approximately \$31 billion. This increase was due to an improvement in credit-related items, mostly driven by lower delinquencies and a decline in our legacy book of business, which reduced our expected losses, while the fair value of our non-performing loans improved due to limited supply and higher market demand.

Also contributing to the increase in the estimated fair value of our net assets was income from the interest spread between our mortgage assets and associated debt and derivatives and the resolution of certain lawsuits relating to PLS sold to us.

Cautionary Language Relating to Supplemental Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In reviewing our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets, there are a number of important factors and limitations to consider. The estimated fair value of our net assets is calculated as of a particular point in time based on our existing assets and liabilities. It does not incorporate other factors that may have a significant impact on our long-term fair value, including revenues generated from future business activities in which we expect to engage, the value from our foreclosure and loss

mitigation efforts or the impact that legislation or potential regulatory actions may have on us. As a result, the estimated fair value of our net assets presented in our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets does not represent an estimate of our net realizable value, liquidation value or our market value as a whole. Amounts we ultimately realize from the disposition of assets or settlement of liabilities may vary materially from the estimated fair values presented in our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets.

In addition, the fair value of our net assets presented in our fair value balance sheet does not represent an estimate of the value we expect to realize from operating the company, primarily because:

- The estimated fair value of our guaranty obligations on mortgage loans significantly exceeds the projected credit losses we would expect to incur, as fair value takes into account certain assumptions about liquidity and required rates of return that a market participant may demand in assuming a credit obligation, and
- The fair value of our net assets reflects a point in time estimate of the fair value of our existing assets and liabilities, and does not incorporate the value associated with new business that may be added in the future.

The fair value of our net assets is not a measure defined within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

Supplemental Non-GAAP Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets

We display our non-GAAP fair value balance sheets as of the dates indicated in Table 21.

Table 21: Supplemental Non-GAAP Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets

| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | As of December 31, 2013 | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | GAAP Carrying Value | Fair Value Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Estimated Fair Value | GAAP Carrying Value | Fair Value Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Estimated Fair Value |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 50,434 | \$ — | \$ 50,434 | \$ 48,223 | \$ — | \$ 48,223 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | 16,700 | — | 16,700 | 38,975 | — | 38,975 |
| Trading securities | 26,630 | — | 26,630 | 30,768 | — | 30,768 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 34,026 | — | 34,026 | 38,171 | — | 38,171 |
| Mortgage loans: | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 625 | 36 | 661 | 380 | — | 380 |
| Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | 247,966 | 446 | 248,412 | 259,638 | (13,758) | 245,880 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,756,195 | 55,776 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 2,811,971 | 2,766,222 | (20,080) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 2,746,142 |
| Total mortgage loans | 3,004,786 | 56,258 | 3,061,044 ⁽⁴⁾ | 3,026,240 | (33,838) | 2,992,402 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Advances to lenders | 4,741 | (16) | 4,725 ⁽⁵⁾ | 3,727 | (39) | 3,688 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Derivative assets at fair value | 1,264 | — | 1,264 ⁽⁵⁾ | 2,073 | — | 2,073 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Guaranty assets and buy-ups, net | 253 | 513 | 766 ⁽⁵⁾ | 267 | 439 | 706 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Total financial assets | 3,138,834 | 56,755 | 3,195,589 ⁽⁶⁾ | 3,188,444 | (33,438) | 3,155,006 ⁽⁶⁾ |
| Credit enhancements | 546 | 611 | 1,157 ⁽⁵⁾ | 548 | 984 | 1,532 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 44,809 | — | 44,809 ⁽⁷⁾ | 47,560 | — | 47,560 ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Other assets | 34,628 | (240) | 34,388 ⁽⁵⁾ | 33,556 | (235) | 33,321 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Total assets | \$ 3,218,817 | \$ 57,126 | \$ 3,275,943 | \$ 3,270,108 | \$ (32,689) | \$ 3,237,419 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | \$ 90,926 | \$ 9 | \$ 90,935 | \$ 72,295 | \$ 9 | \$ 72,304 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 1,844 | — | 1,844 | 2,154 | — | 2,154 |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | 386,609 | 12,595 | 399,204 | 457,139 | 8,409 | 465,548 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,710,166 | 59,008 ⁽²⁾ | 2,769,174 | 2,702,935 | (5,349) ⁽²⁾ | 2,697,586 |
| Derivative liabilities at fair value | 736 | — | 736 ⁽⁸⁾ | 1,469 | — | 1,469 ⁽⁸⁾ |
| Guaranty obligations | 453 | 1,596 | 2,049 ⁽⁸⁾ | 485 | 1,948 | 2,433 ⁽⁸⁾ |
| Total financial liabilities | 3,190,734 | 73,208 | 3,263,942 ⁽⁶⁾ | 3,236,477 | 5,017 | 3,241,494 ⁽⁶⁾ |
| Senior preferred stock dividend ⁽⁹⁾ | — | 3,712 | 3,712 | — | 7,191 | 7,191 |
| Other liabilities | 21,971 | (1,842) | 20,129 ⁽⁸⁾ | 24,040 | (1,988) | 22,052 ⁽⁸⁾ |
| Total liabilities | 3,212,705 | 75,078 | 3,287,783 | 3,260,517 | 10,220 | 3,270,737 |
| Equity (deficit): | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae stockholders' equity (deficit): | | | | | | |
| Senior preferred ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 117,149 | — | 117,149 | 117,149 | — | 117,149 |
| Preferred | 19,130 | (11,559) | 7,571 | 19,130 | (13,004) | 6,126 |
| Common | (130,217) | (6,393) | (136,610) | (126,738) | (29,905) | (156,643) |
| Total Fannie Mae stockholders' equity (deficit)/non-GAAP fair value of net assets | \$ 6,062 | \$ (17,952) | \$ (11,890) | \$ 9,541 | \$ (42,909) | \$ (33,368) |
| Noncontrolling interest | 50 | — | 50 | 50 | — | 50 |
| Total equity (deficit) | 6,112 | (17,952) | (11,840) | 9,591 | (42,909) | (33,318) |
| Total liabilities and equity (deficit) | \$ 3,218,817 | \$ 57,126 | \$ 3,275,943 | \$ 3,270,108 | \$ (32,689) | \$ 3,237,419 |

Explanation and Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures to GAAP Measures

- (1) Each of the amounts listed as a “fair value adjustment” represents the difference between the carrying value included in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets and our best judgment of the estimated fair value of the listed item.
- (2) Fair value of consolidated trust loans is impacted by credit risk, which has no corresponding impact on the consolidated trust debt.
- (3) Includes the estimated fair value of our liability to Treasury for TCCA-related guaranty fee payments over the expected life of the loans.
- (4) Performing loans had a fair value of \$3.0 trillion and an unpaid principal balance of \$2.9 trillion as of June 30, 2014 compared with a fair value and an unpaid principal balance of \$2.9 trillion as of December 31, 2013. Nonperforming loans, which for the purposes of our non-GAAP fair value balance sheets consists of loans that are delinquent by one or more payments, had a fair value of \$97.7 billion and an unpaid principal balance of \$127.2 billion as of June 30, 2014, compared with a fair value of \$103.8 billion and an unpaid principal balance of \$149.3 billion as of December 31, 2013. See “Note 16, Fair Value” for additional information on valuation techniques for performing and nonperforming loans.
- (5) “Other assets” include (a) Accrued interest receivable, net and (b) Acquired property, net as reported in our GAAP consolidated balance sheets. “Other assets” in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets include the following: (a) Advances to lenders; (b) Derivative assets at fair value; (c) Guaranty assets and buy-ups, net; and (d) Credit enhancements. The carrying value of these items totaled \$6.8 billion and \$6.6 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.
- (6) We estimated the fair value of these financial instruments in accordance with the fair value accounting guidance as described in “Note 16, Fair Value.”
- (7) The amount included in “estimated fair value” of deferred tax assets, net represents the GAAP carrying value and does not reflect fair value.
- (8) “Other liabilities” include Accrued interest payable as reported in our GAAP consolidated balance sheets. “Other liabilities” in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets include the following: (a) Derivative liabilities at fair value and (b) Guaranty obligations. The carrying value of these items totaled \$1.2 billion and \$2.0 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.
- (9) Represents the dividend we expect to pay to Treasury in the subsequent quarter on the senior preferred stock, which, for purposes of our non-GAAP fair balance sheets, we present as a liability.
- (10) The amount included in “estimated fair value” of the senior preferred stock is the liquidation preference, which is the same as the GAAP carrying value and does not reflect fair value.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

Liquidity Management

Our business activities require that we maintain adequate liquidity to fund our operations. Liquidity risk is the risk that we will not be able to meet our funding obligations in a timely manner. Our liquidity risk management framework is designed to address our liquidity risk. Liquidity risk management involves forecasting funding requirements, maintaining sufficient capacity to meet our needs based on our ongoing assessment of financial market liquidity and adhering to our regulatory requirements.

Our treasury function is responsible for implementing our liquidity and contingency planning strategies. We hold a portfolio of highly liquid investments and maintain access to alternative sources of liquidity which are designed to provide near term availability of cash in the event that our access to the debt markets becomes limited. While our liquidity contingency planning attempts to address stressed market conditions, we believe that our liquidity contingency plan may be difficult or impossible to execute for a company of our size and in our circumstances.

Our liquidity position could be adversely affected by many factors, both internal and external to our business, including: actions taken by our conservator, the Federal Reserve, U.S. Treasury or other government agencies; legislation relating to us or our business; a U.S. government payment default on its debt obligations; a downgrade in the credit ratings of our senior unsecured debt or the U.S. government’s debt from the major ratings organizations; a systemic event leading to the withdrawal of liquidity from the market; an extreme market-wide widening of credit spreads; public statements by key policy makers; a significant decline in our net worth; potential investor concerns about the adequacy of funding available to us under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement; loss of demand for our debt, or certain types of our debt, from a major group of investors; a significant credit event involving one of our major institutional counterparties; a sudden catastrophic operational failure in the financial sector; or elimination of our GSE status.

This section supplements and updates information regarding liquidity risk management contained in our 2013 Form 10-K. See “MD&A—Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management” and “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for

additional information, including discussions of our primary sources and uses of funds, our liquidity risk management practices and liquidity contingency planning, factors that influence our debt funding activity, factors that may impact our access to or the cost of our debt funding, and factors that could adversely affect our liquidity.

Debt Funding

We fund our business primarily through the issuance of short-term and long-term debt securities in the domestic and international capital markets. Because debt issuance is our primary funding source, we are subject to “roll over,” or refinancing, risk on our outstanding debt.

Our debt funding needs may vary from quarter to quarter depending on market conditions and are influenced by anticipated liquidity needs, the size of our retained mortgage portfolio and our dividend payment obligations to Treasury. Under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, we are required to reduce our retained mortgage portfolio to \$469.6 billion by December 31, 2014 and, by December 31 of each year thereafter, to 85% of the maximum allowable amount that we were permitted to own as of December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year, until the amount of our mortgage assets reaches \$250 billion.

Fannie Mae Debt Funding Activity

Table 22 displays the activity in debt of Fannie Mae for the periods indicated. This activity excludes the debt of consolidated trusts and intraday loans. The reported amounts of debt issued and paid off during the period represent the face amount of the debt at issuance and redemption, respectively. Activity for short-term debt of Fannie Mae relates to borrowings with an original contractual maturity of one year or less while activity for long-term debt of Fannie Mae relates to borrowings with an original contractual maturity of greater than one year.

Table 22: Activity in Debt of Fannie Mae

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Issued during the period: | | | | |
| Short-term: | | | | |
| Amount | \$ 62,274 | \$ 47,154 | \$ 94,712 | \$ 131,865 |
| Weighted-average interest rate | 0.06% | 0.10% | 0.06% | 0.12% |
| Long-term: | | | | |
| Amount | \$ 5,100 | \$ 38,589 | \$ 13,160 | \$ 101,297 |
| Weighted-average interest rate | 1.95% | 1.05% | 1.75% | 1.02% |
| Total issued: | | | | |
| Amount | \$ 67,374 | \$ 85,743 | \$ 107,872 | \$ 233,162 |
| Weighted-average interest rate | 0.20% | 0.52% | 0.26% | 0.51% |
| Paid off during the period:⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| Short-term: | | | | |
| Amount | \$ 36,826 | \$ 59,841 | \$ 76,098 | \$ 134,260 |
| Weighted-average interest rate | 0.08% | 0.13% | 0.08% | 0.14% |
| Long-term: | | | | |
| Amount | \$ 35,282 | \$ 53,309 | \$ 84,399 | \$ 111,960 |
| Weighted-average interest rate | 1.68% | 1.68% | 1.78% | 1.92% |
| Total paid off: | | | | |
| Amount | \$ 72,108 | \$ 113,150 | \$ 160,497 | \$ 246,220 |
| Weighted-average interest rate | 0.86% | 0.86% | 0.97% | 0.95% |

(1) Consists of all payments on debt, including regularly scheduled principal payments, payments at maturity, payments resulting from calls and payments for any other repurchases. Repurchases of debt and early retirements of zero-coupon debt are reported at original face value, which does not equal the amount of actual cash payment.

Debt issuances decreased during the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 primarily due to lower funding needs as our retained mortgage portfolio decreased. Redemptions of callable debt decreased during the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2013 due to higher interest rates.

Outstanding Debt

Total outstanding debt of Fannie Mae includes short-term and long-term debt, excluding debt of consolidated trusts. Short-term debt of Fannie Mae consists of borrowings with an original contractual maturity of one year or less and, therefore, does not include the current portion of long-term debt. Long-term debt of Fannie Mae consists of borrowings with an original contractual maturity of greater than one year.

Our outstanding short-term debt, as a percentage of our total outstanding debt, was 19% as of June 30, 2014 compared with 14% as of December 31, 2013. The weighted-average interest rate on our long-term debt increased to 2.21% as of June 30, 2014 from 2.14% as of December 31, 2013.

Pursuant to the terms of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, we are prohibited from issuing debt without the prior consent of Treasury if it would result in our aggregate indebtedness exceeding our outstanding debt limit, which is 120% of the amount of mortgage assets we were allowed to own on December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year. Our debt limit under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement was reduced to \$663.0 billion in 2014. As of June 30, 2014, our aggregate indebtedness totaled \$481.6 billion, which was \$181.4 billion below our debt limit. The calculation of our indebtedness for purposes of complying with our debt limit reflects the unpaid principal balance and excludes debt basis adjustments and debt of consolidated trusts. Because of our debt limit, we may be restricted in the amount of debt we issue to fund our operations.

Table 23 displays information as of the dates indicated on our outstanding short-term and long-term debt based on its original contractual terms.

Table 23: Outstanding Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt⁽¹⁾

| | As of | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
| | Maturities | Outstanding | Weighted-Average Interest Rate | Maturities | Outstanding | Weighted-Average Interest Rate |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Fixed-rate: | | | | | | |
| Discount notes | — | \$ 90,926 | 0.10% | — | \$ 71,933 | 0.12% |
| Foreign exchange discount notes | — | — | — | — | 362 | 1.07 |
| Total short-term debt of Fannie Mae | | 90,926 | 0.10 | | 72,295 | 0.13 |
| Debt of consolidated trusts | — | 1,844 | 0.10 | — | 2,154 | 0.09 |
| Total short-term debt | | <u>\$ 92,770</u> | 0.10% | | <u>\$ 74,449</u> | 0.13% |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Senior fixed: | | | | | | |
| Benchmark notes and bonds | 2014 - 2030 | \$ 186,556 | 2.40% | 2014 - 2030 | \$ 212,234 | 2.45% |
| Medium-term notes ⁽²⁾ | 2014 - 2024 | 124,483 | 1.36 | 2014 - 2023 | 161,445 | 1.28 |
| Foreign exchange notes and bonds | 2021 - 2028 | 680 | 5.25 | 2021 - 2028 | 682 | 5.41 |
| Other ⁽³⁾ | 2014 - 2038 | 36,061 | 5.02 | 2014 - 2038 | 38,444 | 4.99 |
| Total senior fixed | | 347,780 | 2.31 | | 412,805 | 2.24 |
| Senior floating: | | | | | | |
| Medium-term notes ⁽²⁾ | 2014 - 2019 | 31,493 | 0.18 | 2014 - 2019 | 38,441 | 0.20 |
| Other ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2020 - 2037 | 3,432 | 3.18 | 2020 - 2037 | 955 | 5.18 |
| Total senior floating | | 34,925 | 0.46 | | 39,396 | 0.32 |
| Subordinated fixed: | | | | | | |
| Qualifying subordinated | — | — | — | 2014 | 1,169 | 5.27 |
| Subordinated debentures | 2019 | 3,674 | 9.92 | 2019 | 3,507 | 9.92 |
| Total subordinated fixed | | 3,674 | 9.92 | | 4,676 | 8.76 |
| Secured borrowings ⁽⁵⁾ | 2021 - 2022 | 230 | 1.88 | 2021 - 2022 | 262 | 1.86 |
| Total long-term debt of Fannie Mae | | 386,609 | 2.21 | | 457,139 | 2.14 |
| Debt of consolidated trusts ⁽³⁾ | 2014 - 2054 | 2,710,166 | 3.17 | 2014 - 2053 | 2,702,935 | 3.26 |
| Total long-term debt | | <u>\$ 3,096,775</u> | 3.05% | | <u>\$ 3,160,074</u> | 3.10% |
| Outstanding callable debt of Fannie Mae ⁽⁶⁾ | | \$ 125,366 | 1.70% | | \$ 168,397 | 1.59% |

⁽¹⁾ Outstanding debt amounts and weighted-average interest rates reported in this table include the effects of discounts, premiums and other cost basis adjustments. Reported amounts include fair value gains and losses associated with debt that we elected to carry at fair value. Reported amounts for total debt of Fannie Mae include unamortized discounts and premiums, other cost basis adjustments and fair value adjustments of \$4.1 billion and \$4.9 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The unpaid principal balance of outstanding debt of Fannie Mae, which excludes unamortized discounts and premiums, other cost basis adjustments, fair value adjustments and debt of consolidated trusts, totaled \$481.7 billion and \$534.3 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Includes long-term debt with an original contractual maturity of greater than 1 year and up to 10 years, excluding zero-coupon debt.

⁽³⁾ Includes a portion of structured debt instruments that is reported at fair value.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes credit risk sharing securities issued under our Connecticut Avenue Securities series, which transfers some of the credit risk on our mortgage loans to the investors in these securities.


(5) Represents remaining liability resulting from the transfer of financial assets from our condensed consolidated balance sheets that did not qualify as a sale.

(6) Consists of the unpaid principal balance of long-term callable debt of Fannie Mae that can be paid off in whole or in part at our option or the option of the investor at any time on or after a specified date.

Maturity Profile of Outstanding Debt of Fannie Mae

Table 24 displays the maturity profile, as of June 30, 2014, of our outstanding debt maturing within one year, including the current portion of our long-term debt and amounts we have announced for early redemption. Our outstanding debt maturing within one year, as a percentage of our total outstanding debt, excluding debt of consolidated trusts, was 33% as of June 30, 2014 and 31% as of December 31, 2013. The weighted-average maturity of our outstanding debt that is maturing within one year was 110 days as of June 30, 2014, compared with 151 days as of December 31, 2013.


Table 24: Maturity Profile of Outstanding Debt of Fannie Mae Maturing Within One Year⁽¹⁾



⁽¹⁾ Includes unamortized discounts and premiums and other cost basis adjustments of \$62 million as of June 30, 2014. Excludes debt of consolidated trusts maturing within one year of \$3.4 billion as of June 30, 2014.

Table 25 displays the maturity profile, as of June 30, 2014, of the portion of our long-term debt that matures in more than one year, on a quarterly basis for one year and on an annual basis thereafter, excluding amounts we have announced for early redemption within one year. The weighted-average maturity of our outstanding debt maturing in more than one year was approximately 58 months as of June 30, 2014 and approximately 59 months as of December 31, 2013.

Table 25: Maturity Profile of Outstanding Debt of Fannie Mae Maturing in More Than One Year⁽¹⁾



⁽¹⁾ Includes unamortized discounts and premiums, other cost basis adjustments and fair value adjustments of \$4.1 billion as of June 30, 2014. Excludes debt of consolidated trusts of \$2.7 trillion as of June 30, 2014.

We intend to repay our short-term and long-term debt obligations as they become due primarily through proceeds from the issuance of additional debt securities. We also may use proceeds from our mortgage assets to pay our debt obligations.

Cash and Other Investments Portfolio

Our cash and other investments portfolio decreased from December 31, 2013 to June 30, 2014. This decrease was primarily driven by lower liquidity needs as our retained mortgage portfolio decreased. The balance of our cash and other investments portfolio fluctuates based on changes in our cash flows, overall liquidity in the fixed income markets and our liquidity risk management policies and practices. See “Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management—Issuers of Investments Held in our Cash and Other Investments Portfolio” for additional information on the risks associated with the assets in our cash and other investments portfolio.

Table 26 displays information on the composition of our cash and other investments portfolio as of the dates indicated.

Table 26: Cash and Other Investments Portfolio

| | As of | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 20,847 | \$ 19,228 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | 16,700 | 38,975 |
| U.S. Treasury securities ⁽¹⁾ | 13,083 | 16,306 |
| Total cash and other investments | <u>\$ 50,630</u> | <u>\$ 74,509</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Excludes U.S. Treasury securities that had a maturity at the date of acquisition of three months or less and would therefore be included in cash and cash equivalents.

Credit Ratings

Our credit ratings from the major credit ratings organizations, as well as the credit ratings of the U.S. government, are primary factors that could affect our ability to access the capital markets and our cost of funds. In addition, our credit ratings are important when we seek to engage in certain long-term transactions, such as derivative transactions. Standard & Poor’s Ratings Services (“S&P”), Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”) and Fitch Ratings Ltd. (“Fitch”) have all indicated that, if they were to lower the sovereign credit ratings on the U.S., they would likely lower their ratings on the debt of Fannie Mae

and certain other government-related entities. We cannot predict whether one or more of these ratings agencies will lower our debt ratings in the future. See “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of the risks to our business relating to a decrease in our credit ratings, which could include an increase in our borrowing costs, limits on our ability to issue debt, and additional collateral requirements under our derivatives contracts.

Table 27 displays the credit ratings issued by the three major credit rating agencies as of July 30, 2014.

Table 27: Fannie Mae Credit Ratings

| | As of July 30, 2014 | | |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| | S&P | Moody's | Fitch |
| Long-term senior debt | AA+ | Aaa | AAA |
| Short-term senior debt | A-1+ | P-1 | F1+ |
| Subordinated debt | AA- | Aa2 | AA- |
| Preferred stock | D | Ca | C/RR6 |
| Outlook | Stable | Stable | Stable |
| | (for Long-Term Senior Debt and Subordinated Debt) | (for Long-Term Senior Debt and Preferred Stock) | (for AAA rated Long-Term Issuer Default Rating) |

In March 2014, Fitch removed the Rating Watch Negative that was previously placed on our long-term senior debt, short-term senior debt, and subordinated debt ratings, following a similar action on the debt ratings of the U.S. government. Fitch also affirmed our ‘AAA’ Long-term Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) and the rating outlook is Stable.

Cash Flows

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014. Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$1.6 billion from \$19.2 billion as of December 31, 2013 to \$20.8 billion as of June 30, 2014. This increase in the balance was primarily driven by cash provided by (1) the sale of our REO inventory, (2) the sale of Fannie Mae MBS and (3) proceeds from repayments of loans.

Partially offsetting these cash inflows were cash outflows from (1) the redemption of funding debt, which outpaced issuances, due to lower funding needs and (2) the payment of dividends to Treasury.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013. Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$3.6 billion from \$21.1 billion as of December 31, 2012 to \$24.7 billion as of June 30, 2013. This increase in the balance was primarily driven by cash provided by (1) the sale of Fannie Mae MBS, (2) proceeds from repayments of loans of Fannie Mae, (3) the sale of our REO inventory and (4) proceeds from resolution and settlement agreements related to representation and warranty, compensatory fee, and PLS matters.

Partially offsetting these cash inflows were cash outflows from (1) the payment of dividends to Treasury, (2) the acquisition of delinquent loans out of MBS trusts and (3) payments to redeem debt, which outpaced issuances due to lower funding needs as we reduced our retained mortgage portfolio.

Capital Management

Regulatory Capital

FHFA has announced that, during the conservatorship, our existing statutory and FHFA-directed regulatory capital requirements will not be binding and that FHFA will not issue quarterly capital classifications. We submit capital reports to FHFA and FHFA monitors our capital levels. The deficit of our core capital over statutory minimum capital was \$140.0 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$137.3 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Under the terms of the senior preferred stock, starting January 1, 2013, we are required to pay Treasury each quarter a dividend, when, as and if declared, equal to the excess of our net worth as of the end of the preceding quarter over an applicable capital reserve amount. Therefore, we do not expect to eliminate our deficit of core capital over statutory minimum capital. We expect to pay Treasury a dividend of \$3.7 billion by September 30, 2014.

Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement

As a result of the covenants under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, Treasury’s ownership of the warrant to purchase up to 79.9% of the total shares of our common stock outstanding and the significant uncertainty regarding our

future, we effectively no longer have access to equity funding except through draws under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement.

Under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, Treasury made a commitment to provide funding, under certain conditions, to eliminate deficiencies in our net worth. We have received a total of \$116.1 billion from Treasury pursuant to the senior preferred stock purchase agreement as of June 30, 2014. The aggregate liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock, including the initial aggregate liquidation preference of \$1.0 billion, remains at \$117.1 billion.

While we had a positive net worth as of June 30, 2014 and have not received funds from Treasury under the agreement since the first quarter of 2012, we will be required to obtain additional funding from Treasury pursuant to the senior preferred stock purchase agreement if we have a net worth deficit in future periods. As of the date of this filing, the amount of remaining available funding under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement is \$117.6 billion. For additional information, see “Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements—Treasury Agreements—Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement and Related Issuance of Senior Preferred Stock and Common Stock Warrant—Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Our second quarter 2014 dividend of \$5.7 billion was declared by FHFA and subsequently paid by us on June 30, 2014. For each dividend period from January 1, 2013 through and including December 31, 2017, when, as and if declared, the dividend amount will be the amount, if any, by which our net worth as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter exceeds an applicable capital reserve amount. The capital reserve amount is \$2.4 billion for dividend periods in 2014 and will be reduced by \$600 million each year until it reaches zero on January 1, 2018. For each dividend period beginning in 2018, the dividend amount will be the entire amount of our net worth, if any, as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter. Based on the terms of the senior preferred stock, we expect to pay Treasury a dividend for the third quarter of 2014 of \$3.7 billion by September 30, 2014. The Director of FHFA directs us to make dividend payments on the senior preferred stock on a quarterly basis.

See “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of the risks relating to our dividend obligations to Treasury on the senior preferred stock. See “Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements—Treasury Agreements” in our 2013 Form 10-K for more information on the terms of the senior preferred stock and our senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Our maximum potential exposure to credit losses relating to our outstanding and unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS and other financial guarantees is primarily represented by the unpaid principal balance of the mortgage loans underlying outstanding and unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS and other financial guarantees of \$42.6 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$44.3 billion as of December 31, 2013.

For a description of our off-balance sheet arrangements, see “MD&A—Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

RISK MANAGEMENT

Our business activities expose us to the following three major categories of financial risk: credit risk, market risk (including interest rate and liquidity risk) and operational risk. We seek to actively monitor and manage these risks by using an established risk management framework. In addition to our exposure to credit, market and operational risks, there is significant uncertainty regarding the future of our company, including how long we will continue to be in existence, which we discuss in more detail in “Legislative and Regulatory Developments—Housing Finance Reform and the Role of the GSEs” and “Risk Factors” in this report, in “MD&A—Legislative Regulatory Developments—Housing Finance Reform” in our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q and in “Business—Housing Finance Reform” in our 2013 Form 10-K. This uncertainty, along with limitations on our employee compensation arising from our conservatorship, could affect our ability to retain and hire qualified employees.

We are also subject to a number of other risks that could adversely impact our business, financial condition, earnings and cash flow, including human capital, model, legal, regulatory and compliance, reputational, strategic and execution risks. These risks may arise due to a failure to comply with laws, regulations or ethical standards and codes of conduct applicable to our business activities and functions.

In this section we provide an update on our management of our major risk categories. For a more complete discussion of the primary risks we face and how we manage credit risk, market risk and operational risk, see “MD&A—Risk Management” in our 2013 Form 10-K and “Risk Factors” in this report and our 2013 Form 10-K.

Credit Risk Management

We are generally subject to two types of credit risk: mortgage credit risk and institutional counterparty credit risk. Mortgage credit risk is the risk that a borrower will fail to make required mortgage payments. Institutional counterparty credit risk is the risk that our institutional counterparties may fail to fulfill their contractual obligations to us, including mortgage sellers and servicers who are obligated to repurchase loans from us or reimburse us for losses in certain circumstances.

Mortgage Credit Risk Management

We are exposed to credit risk on our mortgage credit book of business because we either hold mortgage assets, have issued a guaranty in connection with the creation of Fannie Mae MBS backed by mortgage assets or provided other credit enhancements on mortgage assets. While our mortgage credit book of business includes all of our mortgage-related assets, both on- and off-balance sheet, our guaranty book of business excludes non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our retained mortgage portfolio for which we do not provide a guaranty. We provide information on the performance of non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our retained mortgage portfolio, including the impairment that we have recognized on these securities, in “Note 5, Investments in Securities.”

Mortgage Credit Book of Business

Table 28 displays the composition of our mortgage credit book of business as of the dates indicated. Our single-family mortgage credit book of business accounted for 93% of our mortgage credit book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 28: Composition of Mortgage Credit Book of Business⁽¹⁾

| | As of | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
| | Single-Family | Multifamily | Total | Single-Family | Multifamily | Total |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans and Fannie Mae MBS ⁽²⁾ | \$ 2,835,560 | \$ 181,295 | \$ 3,016,855 | \$ 2,862,306 | \$ 183,891 | \$ 3,046,197 |
| Unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS, held by third parties ⁽³⁾ | 12,410 | 1,288 | 13,698 | 12,430 | 1,314 | 13,744 |
| Other credit guarantees ⁽⁴⁾ | 13,969 | 14,982 | 28,951 | 15,183 | 15,414 | 30,597 |
| Guaranty book of business | \$ 2,861,939 | \$ 197,565 | \$ 3,059,504 | \$ 2,889,919 | \$ 200,619 | \$ 3,090,538 |
| Agency mortgage-related securities ⁽⁵⁾ | 8,050 | 18 | 8,068 | 8,992 | 32 | 9,024 |
| Other mortgage-related securities ⁽⁶⁾ | 22,850 | 8,776 | 31,626 | 27,563 | 9,640 | 37,203 |
| Mortgage credit book of business | \$ 2,892,839 | \$ 206,359 | \$ 3,099,198 | \$ 2,926,474 | \$ 210,291 | \$ 3,136,765 |
| Guaranty Book of Business Detail: | | | | | | |
| Conventional Guaranty Book of Business ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 2,801,894 | \$ 195,936 | \$ 2,997,830 | \$ 2,827,169 | \$ 198,906 | \$ 3,026,075 |
| Government Guaranty Book of Business ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 60,045 | \$ 1,629 | \$ 61,674 | \$ 62,750 | \$ 1,713 | \$ 64,463 |

⁽¹⁾ Based on unpaid principal balance.

⁽²⁾ Consists of mortgage loans and Fannie Mae MBS recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The principal balance of resecutitized Fannie Mae MBS is included only once in the reported amount.

⁽³⁾ Reflects unpaid principal balance of unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS, held by third-party investors. The principal balance of resecutitized Fannie Mae MBS is included only once in the reported amount.

⁽⁴⁾ Consists of single-family and multifamily credit enhancements that we have provided and that are not otherwise reflected in the table.

⁽⁵⁾ Consists of mortgage-related securities issued by Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae.

⁽⁶⁾ Consists primarily of mortgage revenue bonds, Alt-A and subprime private-label securities and CMBS.

⁽⁷⁾ Refers to mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that are not guaranteed or insured, in whole or in part, by the U.S. government or one of its agencies.

⁽⁸⁾ Refers to mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities guaranteed or insured, in whole or in part, by the U.S. government or one of its agencies.

In the following sections, we discuss the mortgage credit risk of the single-family and multifamily loans in our guaranty book of business. The credit statistics reported below, unless otherwise noted, pertain generally to the portion of our guaranty book of business for which we have access to detailed loan-level information, which constituted approximately 99% of each of our single-family conventional guaranty book of business and our multifamily guaranty book of business, excluding defeased loans, as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. We typically obtain this data from the sellers or servicers of the mortgage loans in our guaranty book of business and receive representations and warranties from them as to the accuracy of the information. While we perform various quality assurance checks by sampling loans to assess compliance with our underwriting and eligibility criteria, we do not independently verify all reported information and we rely on lender representations regarding the accuracy of the characteristics of loans in our guaranty book of business. See “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of the risk that we could experience mortgage fraud as a result of this reliance on lender representations.

Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management

Our strategy in managing single-family mortgage credit risk consists of four primary components: (1) our acquisition and servicing policies along with our underwriting and servicing standards, including the use of credit enhancements; (2) portfolio diversification and monitoring; (3) management of problem loans; and (4) REO management. These approaches may increase our expenses and may not be effective in reducing our credit-related expense or credit losses. We provide information on our credit-related income (expense) and credit losses in “Consolidated Results of Operations—Credit-Related Income.” For information on how we evaluate and factors that affect our single-family mortgage credit risk, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

The single-family credit statistics we focus on and report in the sections below generally relate to our single-family conventional guaranty book of business, which represents the substantial majority of our total single-family guaranty book of business.

Single-Family Acquisition and Servicing Policies and Underwriting and Servicing Standards

Our Single-Family business, with the oversight of our Enterprise Risk Management division, is responsible for pricing and managing credit risk relating to the portion of our single-family mortgage credit book of business consisting of single-family mortgage loans and Fannie Mae MBS backed by single-family mortgage loans (whether held in our portfolio or held by third parties). Desktop Underwriter[®], our proprietary automated underwriting system which measures credit risk by assessing the primary risk factors of a mortgage, is used to evaluate the majority of the loans we purchase or securitize. For information on our single-family acquisition and servicing policies and on our underwriting and servicing standards, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Acquisition and Servicing Policies and Underwriting and Servicing Standards” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Table 29 below displays information regarding the credit characteristics of the loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 by acquisition period, which illustrates the improvement in the credit risk profile of loans we acquired beginning in 2009 compared with loans we acquired in 2005 through 2008. We initiated underwriting and eligibility changes that became effective for deliveries in late 2008 and 2009 that focused on strengthening our underwriting and eligibility standards to promote sustainable homeownership.

Table 29: Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Held, by Acquisition Period

| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | Percentage of New Book | % of Single-Family Conventional Guaranty Book of Business ⁽¹⁾ | Current Estimated Mark-to-Market LTV Ratio ⁽²⁾ | Current Estimated Mark-to-Market LTV Ratio > 100% ⁽³⁾ | Serious Delinquency Rate ⁽⁴⁾ |
| New Single-Family Book of Business: | | | | | |
| HARP ⁽⁵⁾ | 14 % | 11 % | 87 % | 20 % | 0.89 % |
| Other Refi Plus ⁽⁶⁾ | 11 | 9 | 51 | * | 0.33 |
| Total Refi Plus | 25 | 20 | 72 | 11 | 0.61 |
| Non-Refi Plus ⁽⁷⁾ | 75 | 59 | 59 | * | 0.22 |
| Total New Single-Family Book of Business ⁽⁸⁾ | 100 % | 79 | 62 | 3 | 0.33 |
| Legacy Book of Business: | | | | | |
| 2005-2008 | | 14 | 82 | 23 | 8.45 |
| 2004 and prior | | 7 | 48 | 2 | 3.28 |
| Total Single-Family Book of Business | | 100 % | 64 % | 6 % | 2.05 % |

* Represents less than 0.5%

- (1) Calculated based on the aggregate unpaid principal balance of single-family loans for each category divided by the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014.
- (2) The aggregate estimated mark-to-market LTV ratio is based on the unpaid principal balance of the loans as of the end of the period divided by the estimated current value of the properties, which we calculate using an internal valuation model that estimates periodic changes in home value. Excludes loans for which this information is not readily available.
- (3) The majority of loans in our new single-family book of business as of June 30, 2014 with mark-to-market LTV ratios over 100% were loans acquired under the Administration's Home Affordable Refinance Program. See "HARP and Refi Plus Loans" below for more information on our recent acquisitions of loans with high LTV ratios.
- (4) The serious delinquency rates for loans acquired in more recent years will be higher after the loans have aged, but we do not expect them to approach the levels of the June 30, 2014 serious delinquency rates of loans in our legacy book of business. The serious delinquency rate as of June 30, 2014 for loans we acquired in 2009, the oldest vintage in our new book of business, was 1.02%.
- (5) HARP loans have LTV ratios at origination in excess of 80%. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we revised our presentation of the data to reflect all loans under our Refi Plus program with LTV ratios at origination in excess of 80% as HARP loans. Previously we did not reflect loans that were backed by second homes or investor properties as HARP loans.
- (6) Other Refi Plus includes all other Refi Plus loans that are not HARP loans.
- (7) Includes other refinancings and home purchase mortgages.
- (8) Refers to single-family mortgage loans we have acquired since the beginning of 2009.

As part of our credit risk management process, we review random samples of performing loans soon after acquisition in order to identify loans that may not have met our underwriting or eligibility requirements. From these reviews, we statistically derive an eligibility defect rate, which represents the proportion of loans in the sample population with underwriting defects that would make them potentially ineligible for delivery to us. The eligibility defect rate does not necessarily indicate how well the loans will ultimately perform. Instead, we use it to estimate the percentage of loans we acquired that potentially had a significant error in the underwriting process.

As of June 30, 2014, the eligibility defect rate for our single-family non-Refi Plus loan acquisitions during the first half of 2013 was 1.70%. Because of enhancements to the sampling methodology of our random reviews that we implemented in 2013, the eligibility defect rate for our 2013 loan acquisitions is not directly comparable to the "significant findings rate" we reported on our acquisitions in prior periods.

In May 2014, at FHFA's direction, we and Freddie Mac announced changes to our representation and warranty framework effective for conventional loan settlements on or after July 1, 2014. The primary change to the framework includes relaxing the 36-month timely payment history requirement for relief from certain selling representations and warranties to permit two instances of 30-day delinquency. These loans may also qualify for relief from certain selling representations and warranties after a satisfactory conclusion of a quality control review. We continue to work with FHFA to identify opportunities to enhance our framework, providing the mortgage finance industry with more certainty and transparency regarding selling representation and warranty obligations. For a description of these changes in our quality control process and our

representation and warranty framework, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Acquisition and Servicing Policies and Underwriting and Servicing Standards” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

FHFA’s 2014 conservatorship scorecard includes an objective to demonstrate the viability of multiple types of risk transfer transactions involving single-family mortgages with at least \$90 billion of unpaid principal balance, adjusted for the amount of credit risk transferred. In contrast to our typical Fannie Mae MBS transaction, where we retain all of the credit risk associated with losses on the underlying mortgage loans, our credit risk-sharing securities transfer some of this credit risk to the investors in these securities, in exchange for sharing a portion of the guaranty fee payments. During the first half of 2014, we issued approximately \$2.4 billion in credit risk-sharing securities, transferring some of the credit risk on residential mortgages with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$90.3 billion. A transaction completed in May 2014 included loans with LTV ratios of up to 97% in the reference pool.

Single-Family Portfolio Diversification and Monitoring

Diversification within our single-family mortgage credit book of business by product type, loan characteristics and geography is an important factor that influences credit quality and performance and may reduce our credit risk. We monitor various loan attributes, in conjunction with housing market and economic conditions, to determine if our pricing, eligibility and underwriting criteria accurately reflect the risk associated with loans we acquire or guarantee. For additional information on key loan attributes, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Portfolio Diversification and Monitoring” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Table 30 displays our single-family conventional business volumes and our single-family conventional guaranty book of business for the periods indicated, based on certain key risk characteristics that we use to evaluate the risk profile and credit quality of our single-family loans.

Table 30: Risk Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume and Guaranty Book of Business⁽¹⁾

| | Percent of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume ⁽²⁾ | | | | Percent of Single-Family Conventional Guaranty Book of Business ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | |
|--|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------|
| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | As of | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| Original LTV ratio:⁽⁵⁾ | | | | | | |
| <= 60% | 16 % | 23 % | 16 % | 24 % | 22 % | 22 % |
| 60.01% to 70% | 12 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 15 |
| 70.01% to 80% | 40 | 34 | 40 | 34 | 38 | 38 |
| 80.01% to 90% ⁽⁶⁾ | 13 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 90.01% to 100% ⁽⁶⁾ | 17 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 10 |
| 100.01% to 125% ⁽⁶⁾ | 1 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Greater than 125% ⁽⁶⁾ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| Weighted-average | 77 % | 75 % | 77 % | 75 % | 74 % | 74 % |
| Average loan amount | \$ 199,451 | \$ 205,155 | \$ 196,374 | \$ 208,324 | \$ 160,031 | \$ 160,357 |
| Estimated mark-to-market LTV ratio:⁽⁷⁾ | | | | | | |
| <= 60% | | | | | 42 % | 38 % |
| 60.01% to 70% | | | | | 20 | 19 |
| 70.01% to 80% | | | | | 17 | 19 |
| 80.01% to 90% | | | | | 10 | 11 |
| 90.01% to 100% | | | | | 5 | 6 |
| 100.01% to 125% | | | | | 4 | 5 |
| Greater than 125% | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| Total | | | | | 100 % | 100 % |
| Weighted-average | | | | | 64 % | 67 % |
| Product type: | | | | | | |
| Fixed-rate:⁽⁸⁾ | | | | | | |
| Long-term | 76 % | 77 % | 75 % | 76 % | 73 % | 72 % |
| Intermediate-term | 19 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 18 | 18 |
| Interest-only | — | * | — | * | 1 | 1 |
| Total fixed-rate | 95 | 98 | 95 | 98 | 92 | 91 |
| Adjustable-rate: | | | | | | |
| Interest-only | * | * | * | * | 2 | 2 |
| Other ARMs | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| Total adjustable-rate | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| Number of property units: | | | | | | |
| 1 unit | 97 % | 97 % | 97 % | 97 % | 97 % | 97 % |
| 2-4 units | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| Property type: | | | | | | |
| Single-family homes | 89 % | 89 % | 89 % | 90 % | 91 % | 91 % |
| Condo/Co-op | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |

| | Percent of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume ⁽²⁾ | | | | Percent of Single-Family Conventional Guaranty Book of Business ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | |
|---|---|-------|--|-------|---|-------------------|
| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | As of | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| Occupancy type: | | | | | | |
| Primary residence | 87 % | 87 % | 86 % | 87 % | 88 % | 88 % |
| Second/vacation home | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Investor | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| FICO credit score at origination: | | | | | | |
| < 620 ⁽⁹⁾ | 1 % | 1 % | 2 % | 1 % | 3 % | 3 % |
| 620 to < 660 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| 660 to < 700 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 12 |
| 700 to < 740 | 21 | 18 | 21 | 17 | 19 | 19 |
| >= 740 | 59 | 69 | 58 | 70 | 61 | 61 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| Weighted-average | 744 | 754 | 743 | 756 | 744 | 744 |
| Loan purpose: | | | | | | |
| Purchase | 54 % | 25 % | 50 % | 21 % | 29 % | 28 % |
| Cash-out refinance | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 21 |
| Other refinance | 31 | 60 | 35 | 64 | 50 | 51 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| Geographic concentration:⁽¹⁰⁾ | | | | | | |
| Midwest | 14 % | 14 % | 14 % | 14 % | 15 % | 15 % |
| Northeast | 14 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 19 |
| Southeast | 21 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 22 |
| Southwest | 21 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| West | 30 | 33 | 30 | 33 | 28 | 28 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| Origination year: | | | | | | |
| <= 2004 | | | | | 8 % | 9 % |
| 2005 | | | | | 3 | 4 |
| 2006 | | | | | 3 | 3 |
| 2007 | | | | | 4 | 5 |
| 2008 | | | | | 3 | 3 |
| 2009 | | | | | 7 | 7 |
| 2010 | | | | | 9 | 10 |
| 2011 | | | | | 11 | 11 |
| 2012 | | | | | 25 | 26 |
| 2013 | | | | | 22 | 22 |
| 2014 | | | | | 5 | — |
| Total | | | | | 100 % | 100 % |

* Represents less than 0.5% of single-family conventional business volume or book of business.

⁽¹⁾ Second lien mortgage loans held by third parties are not reflected in the original LTV or mark-to-market LTV ratios in this table. Second lien mortgage loans represented less than 0.5% of our single-family conventional guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

- (2) Calculated based on unpaid principal balance of single-family loans for each category at time of acquisition. Single-family business volume refers to both single-family mortgage loans we purchase for our retained mortgage portfolio and single-family mortgage loans we guarantee.
- (3) Calculated based on the aggregate unpaid principal balance of single-family loans for each category divided by the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business as of the end of each period.
- (4) Our single-family conventional guaranty book of business includes jumbo-conforming and high-balance loans, which together represented approximately 5% of our single-family conventional guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. See “Business—Our Charter and Regulation of Our Activities—Charter Act—Loan Standards” and “MD&A—Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Credit Profile Summary—Jumbo Conforming and High-Balance Loans” in our 2013 Form 10-K for information on our loan limits.
- (5) The original LTV ratio generally is based on the original unpaid principal balance of the loan divided by the appraised property value reported to us at the time of acquisition of the loan. Excludes loans for which this information is not readily available.
- (6) We purchase loans with original LTV ratios above 80% as part of our mission to serve the primary mortgage market and provide liquidity to the housing finance system. Except as permitted under HARP, our charter generally requires primary mortgage insurance or other credit enhancement for loans that we acquire that have an LTV ratio over 80%.
- (7) The aggregate estimated mark-to-market LTV ratio is based on the unpaid principal balance of the loan as of the end of each reported period divided by the estimated current value of the property, which we calculate using an internal valuation model that estimates periodic changes in home value. Excludes loans for which this information is not readily available.
- (8) Long-term fixed-rate consists of mortgage loans with maturities greater than 15 years, while intermediate-term fixed-rate loans have maturities equal to or less than 15 years. Loans with interest-only terms are included in the interest-only category regardless of their maturities.
- (9) Loans acquired after 2009 with FICO credit scores below 620 consist primarily of the refinance of existing loans under our Refi Plus initiative.
- (10) Midwest consists of IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, NE, ND, OH, SD and WI. Northeast consists of CT, DE, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, PR, RI, VT and VI. Southeast consists of AL, DC, FL, GA, KY, MD, MS, NC, SC, TN, VA and WV. Southwest consists of AZ, AR, CO, KS, LA, MO, NM, OK, TX and UT. West consists of AK, CA, GU, HI, ID, MT, NV, OR, WA and WY.

Credit Profile Summary

Overview

Our acquisition of loans with original LTV ratios over 80% increased to 32% in the first half of 2014, compared with 27% in the first half of 2013. This increase was primarily due to an increase in our acquisitions of home purchase mortgage loans, which increased to 50% in the first half of 2014, compared with 21% in the first half of 2013, and a corresponding decline in our acquisitions of refinance loans. Our acquisitions of loans with FICO credit scores at origination of 740 or above decreased to 58% in the first half of 2014, compared with 70% in the first half of 2013. Our acquisition of loans with FICO credit scores at origination of less than 700 increased to 21% in the first half of 2014, compared with 13% in the first half of 2013. The weighted average FICO credit score at origination of our acquisitions decreased to 743 in the first half of 2014, compared with 756 in the first half of 2013.

Although our first half of 2014 acquisitions included a greater proportion of loans with higher LTV ratios or lower FICO credit scores than our first half of 2013 acquisitions, our first half of 2014 single-family acquisitions continued to have a strong credit profile with a weighted average original LTV ratio of 77%, a weighted average FICO credit score of 743, and a product mix with a significant percentage of fully amortizing fixed-rate mortgage loans. The average original LTV ratio of single-family loans we acquired in the first half of 2014, excluding HARP loans, was 75%, compared with 102% for HARP loans. The weighted average FICO credit score at origination of the single-family mortgage loans we acquired in the first half of 2014, excluding HARP loans, was 746, compared with 705 for HARP loans.

The credit profile of our future acquisitions will depend on many factors, including our future pricing and eligibility standards and those of mortgage insurers, the FHA and the VA, changes in interest rates, the percentage of loan originations representing refinancings, our future objectives and activities in support of those objectives, including actions we may take to reach additional underserved creditworthy borrowers, government policy, market and competitive conditions, and the volume and characteristics of loans we acquire under HARP. We expect the ultimate performance of all our loans will be affected by borrower behavior, public policy and macroeconomic trends, including unemployment, the economy and home prices. In addition, if lender customers retain more of the higher-quality loans they originate, it could negatively affect the credit profile of our new single-family acquisitions.

HARP and Refi Plus Loans

Since 2009, our acquisitions have included a significant number of loans that are refinancings of existing Fannie Mae loans under HARP, which was designed to expand refinancing opportunities for borrowers who may otherwise be unable to refinance their mortgage loans due to a decline in home values. We offer HARP under our Refi Plus initiative, which offers additional refinancing flexibility to eligible borrowers who are current on their loans and whose loans are owned or guaranteed by us and meet certain additional criteria. Under HARP, we allow our borrowers who have mortgage loans with current LTV ratios greater than 80% to refinance their mortgages without obtaining new mortgage insurance in excess of what is already in place. Accordingly, HARP loans have LTV ratios at origination in excess of 80%. HARP loans cannot (1) be an adjustable-rate mortgage loan, if the initial fixed period is less than five years; (2) have an interest only feature, which permits the payment of interest without a payment of principal; (3) be a balloon mortgage loan; or (4) have the potential for negative amortization.

The loans we acquire under HARP have higher LTV ratios than we would otherwise permit, greater than 100% in some cases. Under HARP, we were previously authorized to acquire loans only if their current LTV ratios did not exceed 125% for fixed-rate loans or 105% for adjustable-rate mortgages. Changes to HARP implemented in the first half of 2012 extended refinancing flexibility to eligible borrowers with loans that have LTV ratios greater than 125% for fixed-rate loans, which made the benefits of HARP available to a greater number of borrowers. In addition to the high LTV ratios that characterize HARP loans, borrowers for HARP and Refi Plus loans may also have lower FICO credit scores and may provide less documentation than we would otherwise require. As of June 30, 2014, HARP loans, which make up 14% of our new single-family book of business, had a weighted average FICO credit score of 733 at origination compared with 755 for loans in our new single-family book of business overall.

Loans we acquire under Refi Plus and HARP represent refinancings of loans that are already in our guaranty book of business. The credit risk associated with the acquired loans essentially replaces the credit risk that we already held prior to the refinancing. These loans have higher risk profiles and higher serious delinquency rates and may not perform as well as the other loans we have acquired since the beginning of 2009. However, we expect these loans will perform better than the loans they replace because HARP and Refi Plus loans should either reduce the borrowers' monthly payments or provide more stable terms than the borrowers' old loans (for example, by refinancing into a mortgage with a fixed interest rate instead of an adjustable rate).

Although mortgage rates remain low by historical standards, they were higher in the first half of 2014 than in the first half of 2013. As a result, the percentage of acquisitions that are refinanced loans, including loans acquired under our Refi Plus initiative, which includes HARP, has declined. HARP loans constituted approximately 8% of our total single-family acquisitions in the first half of 2014, compared with approximately 15% of total single-family acquisitions in the first half of 2013.

We expect the volume of refinancings under HARP to continue to decline, due to the increase in interest rates and a decrease in the population of borrowers with loans that have high LTV ratios who are willing to refinance and would benefit from refinancing. With the decline in the volume of HARP refinancings, approximately 1% of our total single-family conventional business volume for the first half of 2014 consisted of HARP refinanced loans with LTV ratios greater than 125% at the time of acquisition, compared with 3% for the first half of 2013. In addition, approximately 2% of our single-family conventional guaranty book of business consisted of loans with an estimated mark-to-market LTV ratio greater than 125% as of June 30, 2014 compared with 4% as of June 30, 2013.

For information on the serious delinquency rates and current mark-to-market LTV ratios as of June 30, 2014 of single-family loans we acquired under HARP and Refi Plus, compared with other single-family loans we have acquired, see "Table 29: Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Held, by Acquisition Period."

Alt-A and Subprime Loans

We classify certain loans as subprime or Alt-A so that we can discuss our exposure to subprime and Alt-A loans in this Form 10-Q and elsewhere. However, there is no universally accepted definition of subprime or Alt-A loans. Our single-family conventional guaranty book of business includes loans with some features that are similar to Alt-A loans or subprime loans that we have not classified as Alt-A or subprime because they do not meet our classification criteria.

We do not rely solely on our classifications of loans as Alt-A or subprime to evaluate the credit risk exposure relating to these loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business. For more information about the credit risk characteristics of loans in our single-family guaranty book of business, see "Note 3, Mortgage Loans," and "Note 6, Financial Guarantees."

Our exposure to Alt-A and subprime loans included in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business, based on the classification criteria described in this section, does not include (1) our investments in private-label mortgage-related

securities backed by Alt-A and subprime loans or (2) securitizations, or wraps, of private-label mortgage-related securities backed by Alt-A mortgage loans that we have guaranteed. As a result of our decision to discontinue the purchase of newly originated Alt-A loans, except for those that represent the refinancing of a loan we acquired prior to 2009, we expect our acquisitions of Alt-A mortgage loans to continue to be minimal in future periods and the percentage of the book of business attributable to Alt-A to continue to decrease over time. We are also not currently acquiring newly originated subprime loans, although we are acquiring refinancings of existing Fannie Mae subprime loans in connection with our Refi Plus initiative. Unlike the loans they replace, these refinancings are not included in our reported subprime loans because they do not meet our classification criteria for subprime loans.

We have classified a mortgage loan as Alt-A if and only if the lender that delivered the loan to us classified the loan as Alt-A, based on documentation or other features. We have classified a mortgage loan as subprime if and only if the loan was originated by a lender specializing in subprime business or by a subprime division of a large lender; however, we exclude loans originated by these lenders from the subprime classification if we acquired the loans in accordance with our standard underwriting criteria, which typically require compliance by the seller with our Selling Guide (including standard representations and warranties) and/or evaluation of the loans through our Desktop Underwriter[®] system. The unpaid principal balance of Alt-A loans included in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business of \$123.4 billion as of June 30, 2014, represented approximately 4% of our single-family conventional guaranty book of business. The unpaid principal balance of subprime loans included in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business of \$3.9 billion as of June 30, 2014, represented approximately 0.1% of our single-family conventional guaranty book of business.

See “MD&A—Risk Management—Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Portfolio Diversification and Monitoring” in our 2013 Form 10-K for a discussion of other types of loans, including jumbo conforming loans, high balance loans, adjustable-rate mortgages and fixed-rate interest only mortgages.

Problem Loan Management

Our problem loan management strategies are primarily focused on reducing defaults to avoid losses that would otherwise occur and pursuing foreclosure alternatives to attempt to minimize the severity of the losses we incur. If a borrower does not make required payments, or is in jeopardy of not making payments, we work with the servicers of our loans to offer workout solutions to minimize the likelihood of foreclosure as well as the severity of loss. Our loan workouts reflect our various types of home retention solutions, including loan modifications, repayment plans and forbearances, and foreclosure alternatives, including short sales and deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure. When appropriate, we seek to move to foreclosure expeditiously.

Loan modifications involve changes to the original mortgage terms such as product type, interest rate, amortization term, maturity date and/or unpaid principal balance. Additionally, we currently offer up to twelve months of forbearance for those homeowners who are unemployed as an additional tool to help homeowners avoid foreclosure.

Foreclosure alternatives may be more appropriate if the borrower has experienced a significant adverse change in financial condition due to events such as unemployment or reduced income, divorce, or unexpected issues like medical bills and is therefore no longer able to make the required mortgage payments. Since the cost of foreclosure can be significant to both the borrower and Fannie Mae, to avoid foreclosure and satisfy the first-lien mortgage obligation, our servicers work with a borrower to accept a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure, whereby the borrower voluntarily signs over the title to their property to the servicer or sells the home prior to foreclosure in a short sale, whereby the borrower sells the home for less than the full amount owed to Fannie Mae under the mortgage loan. These alternatives are designed to reduce our credit losses while helping borrowers avoid having to go through a foreclosure. We work to obtain the highest price possible for the properties sold in short sales and, in the first half of 2014, we received net sales proceeds from our short sale transactions equal to 71% of the loans' unpaid principal balance, compared with 66% in the first half of 2013.

In the following section, we present statistics on our problem loans, describe specific efforts undertaken to manage these loans and prevent foreclosures, and provide metrics regarding the performance of our loan workout activities. Unless otherwise noted, single-family delinquency data is calculated based on number of loans. We include single-family conventional loans that we own and those that back Fannie Mae MBS in the calculation of the single-family delinquency rate. Seriously delinquent loans are loans that are 90 days or more past due or in the foreclosure process. Percentage of book outstanding calculations are based on the unpaid principal balance of loans for each category divided by the unpaid principal balance of our total single-family guaranty book of business for which we have detailed loan-level information.

Problem Loan Statistics

The following table displays the delinquency status of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business (based on number of loans) as of the dates indicated.

Table 31: Delinquency Status of Single-Family Conventional Loans

| | As of | | |
|---|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 | June 30, 2013 |
| Delinquency status: | | | |
| 30 to 59 days delinquent | 1.46% | 1.64% | 1.85% |
| 60 to 89 days delinquent | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.51 |
| Seriously delinquent | 2.05 | 2.38 | 2.77 |
| Percentage of seriously delinquent loans that have been delinquent for more than 180 days | 74% | 73% | 75% |

Our single-family serious delinquency rate has decreased each quarter since the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in our serious delinquency rate is the result of home retention solutions, foreclosure alternatives and completed foreclosures, as well as our acquisition of loans with stronger credit profiles since the beginning of 2009.

Although our serious delinquency rate has decreased, this rate and the period of time that loans remain seriously delinquent continue to be negatively impacted by the length of time required to complete a foreclosure. High levels of foreclosures, changes in state foreclosure laws, new federal and state servicing requirements imposed by regulatory actions and legal settlements, and the need for servicers to adapt to these changes have lengthened the time it takes to foreclose on a mortgage loan in a number of states. Longer foreclosure timelines result in these loans remaining in our book of business for a longer time, which has caused our serious delinquency rate to decrease more slowly in the last few years than it would have if the pace of foreclosures had been faster. We believe the slow pace of foreclosures in certain areas of the country will continue to negatively affect our single-family serious delinquency rates, foreclosure timelines and credit-related income (expense). Other factors such as the pace of loan modifications, changes in home prices, unemployment levels and other macroeconomic conditions also influence serious delinquency rates. We expect the number of our single-family loans in our book of business that are seriously delinquent to remain above pre-2008 levels for years.

Table 32 displays a comparison, by geographic region and by loans with and without credit enhancement, of the serious delinquency rates as of the dates indicated for single-family conventional loans in our single-family guaranty book of business. Serious delinquency rates vary by geographic region due to many factors including regional home prices, unemployment, economic conditions and state foreclosure timelines.

Table 32: Single-Family Serious Delinquency Rates

| | As of | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | June 30, 2013 | |
| | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate |
| Single-family conventional delinquency rates by geographic region: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| Midwest | 15% | 1.69% | 15% | 2.00% | 15% | 2.37% |
| Northeast | 19 | 3.65 | 19 | 3.88 | 19 | 4.13 |
| Southeast | 22 | 2.74 | 22 | 3.33 | 23 | 3.99 |
| Southwest | 16 | 1.03 | 16 | 1.23 | 16 | 1.41 |
| West | 28 | 1.14 | 28 | 1.40 | 27 | 1.78 |
| Total single-family conventional loans | <u>100%</u> | <u>2.05%</u> | <u>100%</u> | <u>2.38%</u> | <u>100%</u> | <u>2.77%</u> |
| Single-family conventional loans: | | | | | | |
| Credit enhanced ⁽²⁾ | 15% | 3.91% | 15% | 4.75% | 14% | 5.79% |
| Non-credit enhanced | 85 | 1.74 | 85 | 2.00 | 86 | 2.30 |
| Total single-family conventional loans | <u>100%</u> | <u>2.05%</u> | <u>100%</u> | <u>2.38%</u> | <u>100%</u> | <u>2.77%</u> |

⁽¹⁾ See footnote 9 to "Table 30: Risk Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume and Guaranty Book of Business" for states included in each geographic region.

(2) Refers to loans included in an agreement used to reduce credit risk by requiring collateral, letters of credit, mortgage insurance, corporate guarantees, or other agreements to provide an entity with some assurance that it will be compensated to some degree in the event of a financial loss.

Certain higher-risk loan categories, such as Alt-A loans and loans with higher mark-to-market LTV ratios, and our 2005 through 2008 loan vintages continue to exhibit higher than average delinquency rates and/or account for a higher share of our credit losses. In addition, loans in certain states such as Florida, Illinois, New Jersey and New York have exhibited higher than average delinquency rates and/or account for a higher share of our credit losses.

Table 33 displays the serious delinquency rates and other financial information for our single-family conventional loans with some of these higher-risk characteristics and in some of these higher-risk states as of the dates indicated. We also include information for our loans in California, as this state accounts for the largest share of our single-family conventional guaranty book of business. The reported categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table 33: Single-Family Conventional Serious Delinquent Loan Concentration Analysis

| | As of | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | | June 30, 2013 | | |
| | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate | Estimated Mark-to-Market LTV Ratio ⁽¹⁾ | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate | Estimated Mark-to-Market LTV Ratio ⁽¹⁾ | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate | Estimated Mark-to-Market LTV Ratio ⁽¹⁾ |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | |
| States: | | | | | | | | | |
| California | 20% | 0.77% | 54% | 20% | 0.98% | 58% | 19% | 1.29% | 63% |
| Florida | 6 | 5.46 | 75 | 6 | 6.89 | 80 | 6 | 8.47 | 87 |
| Illinois | 4 | 2.60 | 72 | 4 | 3.12 | 76 | 4 | 3.83 | 81 |
| New Jersey | 4 | 5.94 | 68 | 4 | 6.25 | 69 | 4 | 6.64 | 72 |
| New York | 5 | 4.24 | 60 | 5 | 4.42 | 61 | 6 | 4.57 | 63 |
| All other states | 61 | 1.60 | 66 | 61 | 1.85 | 68 | 61 | 2.13 | 70 |
| Product type: | | | | | | | | | |
| Alt-A | 4 | 8.37 | 80 | 5 | 9.23 | 83 | 5 | 10.19 | 89 |
| Subprime | * | 15.65 | 91 | * | 16.93 | 95 | * | 18.33 | 100 |
| Vintages: | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | 3 | 6.47 | 75 | 4 | 7.26 | 78 | 4 | 7.62 | 83 |
| 2006 | 3 | 10.11 | 88 | 3 | 11.26 | 92 | 4 | 11.79 | 97 |
| 2007 | 4 | 11.08 | 90 | 5 | 12.18 | 94 | 6 | 12.59 | 99 |
| 2008 | 3 | 6.27 | 74 | 3 | 6.69 | 77 | 3 | 6.77 | 82 |
| All other vintages | 87 | 0.91 | 61 | 85 | 1.02 | 63 | 83 | 1.15 | 65 |
| Estimated mark-to-market LTV ratio: | | | | | | | | | |
| Greater than 100% ⁽¹⁾ | 6 | 11.40 | 120 | 7 | 12.22 | 122 | 10 | 13.01 | 125 |
| Select combined risk characteristics: | | | | | | | | | |
| Original LTV ratio > 90% and FICO score < 620 | 1 | 9.34 | 99 | 1 | 10.90 | 103 | 1 | 12.02 | 107 |

* Percentage is less than 0.5%.

⁽¹⁾ Second lien mortgage loans held by third parties are not included in the calculation of the estimated mark-to-market LTV ratios.

Loan Workout Metrics

Table 34 displays statistics on our single-family loan workouts that were completed, by type, for the periods indicated. These statistics include loan modifications but do not include trial modifications, loans to certain borrowers who have received bankruptcy relief that are classified as TDRs, or repayment or forbearance plans that have been initiated but not completed.

Table 34: Statistics on Single-Family Loan Workouts

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Number of Loans | Unpaid Principal Balance | Number of Loans |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Home retention strategies: | | | | |
| Modifications | \$ 11,584 | 68,054 | \$ 15,130 | 83,511 |
| Repayment plans and forbearances completed ⁽¹⁾ | 511 | 3,884 | 1,030 | 7,906 |
| Total home retention strategies | 12,095 | 71,938 | 16,160 | 91,417 |
| Foreclosure alternatives: | | | | |
| Short sales | 2,760 | 13,347 | 5,452 | 25,642 |
| Deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure | 996 | 6,296 | 1,352 | 8,194 |
| Total foreclosure alternatives | 3,756 | 19,643 | 6,804 | 33,836 |
| Total loan workouts | \$ 15,851 | 91,581 | \$ 22,964 | 125,253 |
| Loan workouts as a percentage of single-family guaranty book of business ⁽²⁾ | 1.11 % | 1.05 % | 1.62 % | 1.43 % |

⁽¹⁾ Repayment plans reflect only those plans associated with loans that were 60 days or more delinquent. Forbearances reflect loans that were 90 days or more delinquent.

⁽²⁾ Calculated based on loan workouts during the period as a percentage of our single-family guaranty book of business as of the end of the period.

The volume of home retention solutions completed in the first half of 2014 decreased compared with the first half of 2013, primarily due to a decline in the number of delinquent loans in the first half of 2014, compared with the first half of 2013.

During the first half of 2014, we initiated approximately 66,100 first time trial modifications, including Home Affordable Modification Program (“HAMPSM”) and non-HAMP modifications, compared with approximately 84,500 first time trial modifications during the first half of 2013. We also initiated other types of workouts, such as repayment plans and forbearances.

We continue to work with our servicers to implement our home retention and foreclosure prevention initiatives. Our approach to workouts continues to focus on the large number of borrowers facing financial hardships. Accordingly, the vast majority of loan modifications we have completed since 2009 have been concentrated on deferring or lowering the borrowers’ monthly mortgage payments to allow borrowers to work through their hardships. Approximately 60% of our performing loan modifications include a reduction in the borrower’s interest rate. This interest rate is fixed for an initial period and may be followed by one or more annual interest rate increases. The majority of these modifications with pending interest rate resets, including HAMP modifications, will begin to experience interest rate increases in late 2014 through 2015. These interest rate increases could adversely affect the performance of these modifications.

We provide information about the post-modification performance of single-family loans we modified in recent years in “MD&A—Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Problem Loan Management” in our 2013 Form 10-K. More recent performance of our loan modifications has been similar to the performance we reported in our 2013 Form 10-K.

REO Management

Foreclosure and REO activity affect the amount of credit losses we realize in a given period. Table 35 displays our foreclosure activity, by region, for the periods indicated. Regional REO acquisition and charge-off trends generally follow a pattern that is similar to, but lags, that of regional delinquency trends.

Table 35: Single-Family Foreclosed Properties

| | For the Six Months | |
|--|--------------------|----------|
| | Ended June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Single-family foreclosed properties (number of properties): | | |
| Beginning of period inventory of single-family foreclosed properties (REO) ⁽¹⁾ | 103,229 | 105,666 |
| Acquisitions by geographic area: ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Midwest | 14,544 | 21,331 |
| Northeast | 7,368 | 5,767 |
| Southeast | 26,403 | 28,795 |
| Southwest | 7,969 | 10,146 |
| West | 7,290 | 8,784 |
| Total properties acquired through foreclosure ⁽¹⁾ | 63,574 | 74,823 |
| Dispositions of REO | (70,007) | (83,569) |
| End of period inventory of single-family foreclosed properties (REO) ⁽¹⁾ | 96,796 | 96,920 |
| Carrying value of single-family foreclosed properties (dollars in millions) ⁽³⁾ | \$ 10,347 | \$ 9,075 |
| Single-family foreclosure rate ⁽⁴⁾ | 0.73 % | 0.86 % |

(1) Includes acquisitions through deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure. Also includes held for use properties, which are reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of "Other assets."

(2) See footnote 9 to "Table 30: Risk Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume and Guaranty Book of Business" for states included in each geographic region.

(3) Excludes foreclosed property claims receivables, which are reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of "Acquired property, net."

(4) Estimated based on the annualized total number of properties acquired through foreclosure or deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure as a percentage of the total number of loans in our single-family guaranty book of business as of the end of each respective period.

The continued decrease in the number of our seriously delinquent single-family loans, as well as the slower pace of completed foreclosures we are experiencing due to lengthy foreclosure timelines in a number of states, have resulted in a reduction in the number of REO acquisitions and fewer REO dispositions in the first half of 2014 as compared with the first half of 2013.

We continue to manage our REO inventory to minimize costs and maximize sales proceeds. However, we are unable to market and sell a large portion of our inventory, primarily due to occupancy and state or local redemption or confirmation periods, which extends the amount of time it takes to bring our properties to a marketable state and eventually dispose of them. This results in higher foreclosed property expenses, which include costs related to maintaining the property and ensuring that the property is vacant.

Table 36 displays the current status of our single-family foreclosed property inventory, including the percentage of our inventory that we are unable to market, as of the dates indicated.

Table 36: Single-Family Foreclosed Property Status

| | Percent of Single-Family Foreclosed Properties | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | As of | |
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| Available-for-sale | 27 % | 33 % |
| Offer accepted ⁽¹⁾ | 18 | 14 |
| Appraisal stage ⁽²⁾ | 14 | 17 |
| Unable to market: | | |
| Occupied status ⁽³⁾ | 12 | 10 |
| Redemption status ⁽⁴⁾ | 8 | 9 |
| Properties being repaired | 13 | 9 |
| Rental property ⁽⁵⁾ | 2 | 3 |
| Other | 6 | 5 |
| Total unable to market | 41 | 36 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % |

(1) Properties for which an offer has been accepted, but the property has not yet been sold.

(2) Properties that are pending appraisals and being prepared to be listed for sale.

(3) Properties that are still occupied, and for which the eviction process is not yet complete.

(4) Properties that are within the period during which state laws allow the former mortgagor and second lien holders to redeem the property.

(5) Properties with a tenant living in the home under our tenant in place or deed for lease programs.

Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management

The credit risk profile of our multifamily mortgage credit book of business is influenced by the structure of the financing, the type and location of the property, the condition and value of the property, the financial strength of the borrower, market and sub-market trends and growth, the current and anticipated cash flows from the property, as well as the financial strength of the lender. These and other factors affect both the amount of expected credit loss on a given loan and the sensitivity of that loss to changes in the economic environment. We provide information on our credit-related income and credit losses in “Business Segment Results—Multifamily Business Results.”

Multifamily Acquisition Policy and Underwriting Standards

Our Multifamily business, together with our Enterprise Risk Management division, which provides independent risk oversight of the Multifamily business, is responsible for pricing and managing the credit risk on multifamily mortgage loans we purchase and on Fannie Mae MBS backed by multifamily loans (whether held in our retained mortgage portfolio or held by third parties). Our primary multifamily delivery channel is the Delegated Underwriting and Servicing, or DUS[®], program, which consists of large financial institutions and independent mortgage lenders. Multifamily loans that we purchase or that back Fannie Mae MBS are either underwritten by a Fannie Mae-approved lender or subject to our underwriting review prior to closing, depending on the product type and/or loan size. Loans delivered to us by DUS lenders and their affiliates represented 93% of our multifamily guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

We use various types of credit enhancement arrangements for our multifamily loans including lender risk-sharing, lender repurchase agreements, pool insurance, subordinated participations in mortgage loans or structured pools, cash and letter of credit collateral agreements, and cross-collateralization/cross-default provisions. The most prevalent form of credit enhancement on multifamily loans is lender risk-sharing. Lenders in the DUS program typically share in loan-level credit losses in one of two ways: (1) they bear losses up to the first 5% of the unpaid principal balance of the loan and share in remaining losses up to a prescribed limit; or (2) they share up to one-third of the credit losses on an equal basis with us. Non-DUS lenders typically share or absorb credit losses based on a negotiated percentage of the loan or the pool balance.

Table 37 displays the percentage of the unpaid principal balance of loans in our multifamily guaranty book of business with lender risk-sharing and with no recourse to the lender as of the dates indicated.

Table 37: Multifamily Lender Risk-Sharing

| | As of | |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| Lender risk-sharing: | | |
| DUS | 82% | 80% |
| Non-DUS negotiated | 4 | 5 |
| No recourse to the lender | 14 | 15 |

At the time of our purchase or guarantee of multifamily mortgage loans, we and our lenders rely on sound underwriting standards, which often include third-party appraisals and cash flow analysis. Our standards for multifamily loans specify maximum original LTV ratio and minimum original debt service coverage ratio (“DSCR”) values that vary based on loan characteristics. Our experience has been that original LTV ratio and DSCR values have been reliable indicators of future credit performance.

Table 38 displays original LTV ratios and DSCR values for our multifamily guaranty book of business as of the dates indicated.

Table 38: Multifamily Guaranty Book of Business Key Risk Characteristics

| | As of | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 | June 30, 2013 |
| Weighted average original LTV ratio | 66 % | 66 % | 66 % |
| Original LTV ratio greater than 80% | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Original DSCR less than or equal to 1.10 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

Multifamily Portfolio Diversification and Monitoring

Diversification within our multifamily mortgage credit book of business by geographic concentration, term to maturity, interest rate structure, borrower concentration and credit enhancement coverage are important factors that influence credit performance and help reduce our credit risk.

We and our lenders monitor the performance and risk characteristics of our multifamily loans and the underlying properties on an ongoing basis throughout the life of the loan: at the loan, property, and portfolio levels. We closely monitor loans with an estimated current DSCR below 1.0, as that is an indicator of heightened default risk. The percentage of loans in our multifamily guaranty book of business with a current DSCR less than 1.0 was approximately 3% as of June 30, 2014 and 4% as of December 31, 2013. Our estimates of current DSCRs are based on the latest available income information for these properties. Although we use the most recently available results from our multifamily borrowers, there is a lag in reporting, which typically can range from 3 to 6 months but in some cases may be longer.

Multifamily Problem Loan Management and Foreclosure Prevention

We periodically refine our underwriting standards in response to market conditions and implement proactive portfolio management and monitoring which are each designed to keep credit losses to a low level relative to our multifamily guaranty book of business.

Multifamily Problem Loan Statistics

We classify multifamily loans as seriously delinquent when payment is 60 days or more past due. We include the unpaid principal balance of multifamily loans that we own or that back Fannie Mae MBS and any housing bonds for which we provide credit enhancement in the calculation of the multifamily serious delinquency rate.

Table 39 displays a comparison of our multifamily serious delinquency rates for loans acquired through our DUS program versus loans not acquired through our DUS program.

Table 39: Multifamily Concentration Analysis

| | As of | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | June 30, 2013 | |
| | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate | Percentage of Book Outstanding | Serious Delinquency Rate |
| DUS small balance loans ⁽¹⁾ | 8 % | 0.22 % | 8 % | 0.24 % | 8 % | 0.25 % |
| DUS non small balance loans ⁽²⁾ | 83 | 0.07 | 82 | 0.06 | 78 | 0.26 |
| Non-DUS small balance loans ⁽¹⁾ | 4 | 0.45 | 5 | 0.50 | 6 | 0.62 |
| Non-DUS non small balance loans ⁽²⁾ | 5 | 0.15 | 5 | 0.17 | 8 | 0.15 |
| Total multifamily loans | 100 % | 0.10 % | 100 % | 0.10 % | 100 % | 0.28 % |

⁽¹⁾ Loans with original unpaid principal balances of up to \$3 million as well as loans in high cost markets with original unpaid principal balances up to \$5 million.

⁽²⁾ Loans with original unpaid principal balances greater than \$3 million as well as loans in high cost markets with original unpaid principal balances greater than \$5 million.

The multifamily serious delinquency rate remained unchanged from December 31, 2013 to June 30, 2014. The DUS loans in our guaranty book of business have lower delinquency rates when compared with the non-DUS loans in our guaranty book primarily due to the DUS model, which has several features that more closely align our interests with those of the lenders. Multifamily loans with an original balance of up to \$3 million nationwide or \$5 million in high cost markets, which we refer to as small balance loans, that were not acquired through our DUS program, continue to represent a larger share of delinquencies, but they are generally covered by loss sharing arrangements that limit the credit losses we incur and represent a small portion of our book.

REO Management

Foreclosure and REO activity affect the level of our credit losses. Table 40 displays our held for sale multifamily REO activity for the periods indicated.

Table 40: Multifamily Foreclosed Properties

| | For the Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------------|--------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Multifamily foreclosed properties held for sale (number of properties): | | |
| Beginning of period inventory of multifamily foreclosed properties (REO) | 118 | 128 |
| Total properties acquired through foreclosure | 28 | 51 |
| Transfers (from) to held for sale ⁽¹⁾ | (1) | 27 |
| Dispositions of REO | (40) | (63) |
| End of period inventory of multifamily foreclosed properties (REO) | 105 | 143 |
| Carrying value of multifamily foreclosed properties (dollars in millions) | \$ 512 | \$ 515 |

⁽¹⁾ Represents the transfer of properties between held for use and held for sale. Held for use properties are reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of "Other assets."

Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management

Institutional counterparty credit risk is the risk that our institutional counterparties may fail to fulfill their contractual obligations to us, including mortgage sellers and servicers who are obligated to repurchase loans from us or reimburse us for

losses in certain circumstances and service our loans based on established guidelines. Defaults by a counterparty with significant obligations to us could result in significant financial losses to us.

See “MD&A—Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management” and “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for additional information about institutional counterparty risk, including counterparty risk we face from mortgage originators, investors and dealers, from debt security dealers, from document custodians and from mortgage fraud.

Mortgage Sellers and Servicers

One of our primary exposures to institutional counterparty risk is with mortgage servicers that service the loans we hold in our retained mortgage portfolio or that back our Fannie Mae MBS, as well as mortgage sellers and servicers that are obligated to repurchase loans from us or reimburse us for losses in certain circumstances. We rely on mortgage servicers to meet our servicing standards and fulfill their servicing obligations. We also rely on mortgage sellers and servicers to fulfill their repurchase obligations.

Our five largest single-family mortgage servicers, including their affiliates, serviced approximately 48% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014, compared with approximately 49% as of December 31, 2013. Our largest mortgage servicer is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., which, together with its affiliates, serviced approximately 19% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, one additional mortgage servicer, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., with its affiliates, serviced over 10% of our single-family guaranty book of business.

We have seen an increasing shift in our servicing book from depository financial institution servicers to non-depository servicers. As of June 30, 2014, 13% of our total single-family guaranty book of business, including 33% of our delinquent single-family loans, were serviced by our three largest non-depository servicers, compared with 11% of our total single-family guaranty book of business, including 26% of our delinquent single-family loans, as of June 30, 2013. These three servicers’ growth is due to acquisitions from both depository and other non-depository servicers. The shift from depository to non-depository servicers poses additional risks to us because non-depository servicers may have a greater reliance on third-party sources of liquidity and may, in the event of significant increases in delinquent loan volumes, have less financial capacity to advance funds on our behalf or satisfy repurchase requests or compensatory fee obligations. As with any party experiencing such growth, there is increased operational risk, which could negatively impact their ability to effectively manage their servicing portfolios. In addition, regulatory bodies such as the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and/or New York State Department of Financial Services have been reviewing the activities of our three largest non-depository servicers. We monitor the performance and capacity of our servicers on an ongoing basis. Our three largest non-depository servicers have been performing at an above average level based on our Servicer Total Achievement and Rewards program, which was created to measure servicers across key operational and performance areas relative to their peers. While these servicers may have less financial capacity than our large depository counterparties, we believe our use of these servicers will result in a decrease in our credit losses from what they otherwise would have been through more effective servicing and an improved experience for the borrower while producing limited repurchase request and compensatory fee claims.

Our ten largest multifamily mortgage servicers, including their affiliates, serviced approximately 66% of our multifamily guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014, compared with approximately 65% as of December 31, 2013. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. serviced over 10% of our multifamily guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

We are acquiring a greater portion of our business volume directly from smaller depository and non-depository financial institutions, which may not have the same financial strength or operational capacity as our largest mortgage seller counterparties. In addition, although a number of our largest single-family mortgage seller counterparties have reduced or eliminated their purchases of mortgage loans from mortgage brokers and correspondent lenders, our business with our mortgage sellers remains concentrated. We could be required to absorb losses on defaulted loans that a failed mortgage seller is obligated to repurchase from us if we determine there was an underwriting or eligibility breach. See “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K for more information on the impact to our business due to changes in the mortgage industry.

Our five largest single-family mortgage sellers, including their affiliates, accounted for approximately 34% of our single-family business acquisition volume in the first half of 2014, compared with approximately 42% in the first half of 2013. Our largest mortgage seller is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., which, together with its affiliates, accounted for approximately 13% of our single-family business acquisition volume in the first half of 2014, compared with approximately 18% in the first half of 2013.

If we determine that a mortgage loan did not meet our underwriting or eligibility requirements, loan representations or warranties were violated, or a mortgage insurer rescinded coverage, then our mortgage sellers and/or servicers are obligated to either repurchase the loan or foreclosed property, or reimburse us for our losses. We refer to our demands that mortgage sellers and servicers meet these obligations collectively as “repurchase requests.” See “MD&A—Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management—Mortgage Sellers and Servicers” in our 2013 Form 10-K for more information on our mortgage sellers and servicers’ repurchase obligations.

Mortgage sellers and servicers may not meet the terms of their repurchase obligations, and we may be unable to recover on all outstanding loan repurchase obligations resulting from their breaches of contractual obligations. Failure by a significant mortgage seller or servicer, or a number of mortgage sellers or servicers, to fulfill repurchase obligations to us could result in an increase in our credit losses and credit-related expense, and have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, actions we take to pursue our contractual remedies could increase our costs, reduce our revenues, or otherwise have an adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Total outstanding repurchase requests as of June 30, 2014 were \$1.1 billion, compared with \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2013. The dollar amounts of our outstanding repurchase requests are based on the unpaid principal balance of the loans underlying the repurchase request, not the actual amount we have requested from the lenders. In some cases, we allow lenders to remit payment equal to our loss, including imputed interest, on the loan after we have disposed of the related REO, which is substantially less than the unpaid principal balance of the loan. As a result, we expect our actual cash receipts relating to these outstanding repurchase requests to be significantly lower than the unpaid principal balance of the loans. Amounts relating to repurchase requests originating from missing documentation or loan files are excluded from the total requests outstanding until we receive the missing documentation or loan files and a full underwriting review is completed.

Mortgage Insurers

We are generally required, pursuant to our charter, to obtain credit enhancements on single-family conventional mortgage loans that we purchase or securitize with LTV ratios over 80% at the time of purchase. We use several types of credit enhancements to manage our single-family mortgage credit risk, including primary and pool mortgage insurance coverage. Table 41 displays our risk in force for the primary and pool mortgage insurance coverage on single-family loans in our guaranty book of business and our insurance in force for our mortgage insurer counterparties as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The table includes our top ten mortgage insurer counterparties, which provided over 99% of our total mortgage insurance coverage on single-family loans in our guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 41: Mortgage Insurance Coverage

| | Risk in Force ⁽¹⁾ | | | | Insurance in Force ⁽²⁾ | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | As of December 31, | As of June 30, 2014 | | | As of December 31, |
| | Primary | Pool | Total | 2013 | Primary | Pool | Total | 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Counterparty:⁽³⁾ | | | | | | | | |
| Radian Guaranty, Inc. | \$ 23,083 | \$ 114 | \$ 23,197 | \$ 22,435 | \$ 91,501 | \$ 561 | \$ 92,062 | \$ 89,644 |
| United Guaranty Residential Insurance Co. | 23,275 | 43 | 23,318 | 22,096 | 90,714 | 201 | 90,915 | 86,936 |
| Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corp. | 20,977 | 269 | 21,246 | 21,000 | 81,498 | 1,136 | 82,634 | 82,823 |
| Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corp. | 14,779 | 23 | 14,802 | 14,602 | 58,955 | 54 | 59,009 | 58,475 |
| PMI Mortgage Insurance Co. ⁽⁴⁾ | 6,461 | 40 | 6,501 | 7,123 | 25,919 | 393 | 26,312 | 29,034 |
| Republic Mortgage Insurance Co. ⁽⁴⁾ | 5,105 | 197 | 5,302 | 5,801 | 20,021 | 1,716 | 21,737 | 23,970 |
| Essent Guaranty, Inc. | 5,271 | — | 5,271 | 4,394 | 21,044 | — | 21,044 | 17,748 |
| Arch Mortgage Insurance Co. ⁽⁵⁾ | 2,840 | — | 2,840 | 2,868 | 11,463 | — | 11,463 | 11,825 |
| Triad Guaranty Insurance Corp. ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,554 | 180 | 1,734 | 1,908 | 5,767 | 879 | 6,646 | 7,523 |
| National Mortgage Insurance Corp. | 114 | 93 | 207 | 109 | 489 | 4,898 | 5,387 | 5,142 |
| Others | 174 | — | 174 | 180 | 1,001 | — | 1,001 | 1,032 |
| Total | \$ 103,633 | \$ 959 | \$ 104,592 | \$ 102,516 | \$ 408,372 | \$ 9,838 | \$ 418,210 | \$ 414,152 |
| Total as a percentage of single-family guaranty book of business | | | 4 % | 4 % | | | 15 % | 14 % |

-
- (1) Risk in force is generally the maximum potential loss recovery under the applicable mortgage insurance policies in force and is based on the loan level insurance coverage percentage and, if applicable, any aggregate pool loss limit, as specified in the policy.
 - (2) Insurance in force represents the unpaid principal balance of single-family loans in our guaranty book of business covered under the applicable mortgage insurance policies.
 - (3) Insurance coverage amounts provided for each counterparty may include coverage provided by consolidated affiliates and subsidiaries of the counterparty.
 - (4) These mortgage insurers are under various forms of supervised control by their state regulators and are in run-off.
 - (5) In January 2014, we approved the acquisition of CMG Mortgage Insurance Company (“CMG”) and its affiliates by Arch U.S. MI Holdings, Inc. CMG has since changed its name to Arch Mortgage Insurance Company in Wisconsin, its state of domicile.

On June 24, 2014, we announced the implementation of new mortgage insurance master primary policies. Upon implementation, loans delivered to us that require primary mortgage insurance must be insured under one of the new policies. These policies provide the terms of coverage under which loans having LTV ratios greater than 80% are insured. Also, these new master policies provide specific timelines for mortgage insurers to review and pay claims, and also include terms for when mortgage insurers must sunset certain rescission rights. Finalization of these new policies will help us meet one of our 2014 conservatorship scorecard goals.

On July 10, 2014, FHFA posted for public input proposed revisions by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to their eligibility standards for approved private mortgage insurers. The proposed standards include enhanced financial requirements, including risk-based and minimum asset standards and are designed to ensure that mortgage insurers have sufficient liquid assets to pay all claims under a hypothetical future stress scenario. The proposed standards also set forth enhanced operational performance expectations and define remedial actions that may be imposed should an approved mortgage insurer fail to comply with the revised requirements. In addition, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have established a framework and timelines for existing approved mortgage insurers to come into compliance with the new standards while they continue to insure new business eligible for delivery to us.

Although the financial condition of our primary mortgage insurer counterparties currently approved to write new business has improved, there is still risk that these counterparties may fail to fulfill their obligations to pay our claims under insurance policies. If we determine that it is probable that we will not collect all of our claims from one or more of these mortgage insurer counterparties, or if we have already made that determination but our estimate of the shortfall increases, it could result in an increase in our loss reserves, which could adversely affect our earnings, liquidity, financial condition and net worth.

PMI Mortgage Insurance Co. (“PMI”), Republic Mortgage Insurance Company (“RMIC”) and Triad Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“Triad”) are under various forms of supervised control by their state regulators and are in run-off. A mortgage insurer that is in run-off continues to collect renewal premiums and process claims on its existing insurance business, but no longer writes new insurance, which increases the risk that the mortgage insurer will pay claims only in part or fail to pay claims at all under existing insurance policies. These three mortgage insurers provided a combined \$13.5 billion, or 13%, of our risk in force mortgage insurance coverage of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014.

Effective March 7, 2014, the terms of PMI’s order regarding its deferred payment arrangements changed to increase its cash payments on policyholder claims from 55% to 67%. In addition, PMI has paid us sufficient amounts of its outstanding deferred payment obligations to bring payment on our claims to 67%. It is uncertain whether PMI will be permitted in the future to pay any remaining deferred policyholder claims or increase or decrease the amount of cash they pay on claims.

Effective July 1, 2014, the terms of RMIC’s order regarding its deferred payment arrangements changed to no longer defer payments on policyholder claims and to increase its cash payments from 60% to 100%. In addition, RMIC has paid us sufficient amounts of its outstanding deferred payment obligations to bring payment on our claims to 100%. Even though RMIC is no longer deferring payments on policyholder claims, RMIC remains in run-off and under the supervisory control of the North Carolina Department of Insurance.

The number of mortgage loans for which mortgage insurer counterparties have rescinded coverage has decreased since 2012, and we expect this trend to continue. In addition, as a result of our efforts to resolve the substantial majority of our outstanding repurchase requests to our mortgage seller and servicer counterparties, the unpaid principal balance of our outstanding repurchase requests has declined substantially, from \$16.0 billion as of December 31, 2012 to \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2013 and \$1.1 billion as of June 30, 2014. With the resolution of our repurchase requests, we believe we have reduced the risk that a lender would fail to make us whole for losses resulting from rescissions. As a result, at this time we do not expect mortgage insurance rescissions to materially impact our financial results.

When we estimate the credit losses that are inherent in our mortgage loans and under the terms of our guaranty obligations we also consider the recoveries that we will receive on primary mortgage insurance, as mortgage insurance recoveries would reduce the severity of the loss associated with defaulted loans. We evaluate the financial condition of our mortgage insurer counterparties and adjust the contractually due recovery amounts to ensure that only probable losses as of the balance sheet date are included in our loss reserve estimate. As a result, if our assessment of one or more of our mortgage insurer counterparties' ability to fulfill their respective obligations to us worsens, it could result in an increase in our loss reserves.

The following table displays the amount by which our estimated benefit from mortgage insurance as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 reduced our total loss reserves as of those dates.

Table 42: Estimated Mortgage Insurance Benefit

| | As of | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Contractual mortgage insurance benefit | \$ 5,886 | \$ 6,751 |
| Less: Collectibility adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | 354 | 431 |
| Estimated benefit included in total loss reserves | <u>\$ 5,532</u> | <u>\$ 6,320</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Represents an adjustment that reduces the contractual benefit for our assessment of our mortgage insurer counterparties' inability to fully pay the contractual mortgage insurance claims.

When an insured loan held in our retained mortgage portfolio subsequently goes into foreclosure, we charge off the loan, eliminating any previously-recorded loss reserves, and record REO and a mortgage insurance receivable for the claim proceeds deemed probable of recovery, as appropriate. However, if a mortgage insurer rescinds, cancels or denies insurance coverage, the initial receivable becomes due from the mortgage seller or servicer. We had outstanding receivables of \$2.0 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$2.1 billion as of December 31, 2013 related to amounts claimed on insured, defaulted loans excluding government insured loans. Of this amount, \$328 million as of June 30, 2014 and \$402 million as of December 31, 2013 was due from our mortgage sellers or servicers. We assessed the total outstanding receivables for collectibility, and they are recorded net of a valuation allowance of \$611 million as of June 30, 2014 and \$655 million as of December 31, 2013 in "Other assets" in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The valuation allowance reduces our claim receivable to the amount that we consider probable of collection.

Financial Guarantors

We are the beneficiary of non-governmental financial guarantees on non-agency securities held in our retained mortgage portfolio and on non-agency securities that have been resecuritized to include a Fannie Mae guaranty and sold to third parties. Table 43 displays the total unpaid principal balance of guaranteed non-agency securities in our retained mortgage portfolio as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 43: Unpaid Principal Balance of Financial Guarantees

| | As of | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Alt-A private-label securities | \$ 369 | \$ 511 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 851 | 868 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 3,667 | 3,911 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | 250 | 264 |
| Total | <u>\$ 5,137</u> | <u>\$ 5,554</u> |

With the exception of Ambac Assurance Corporation ("Ambac"), which is operating under a deferred payment obligation, none of our remaining non-governmental financial guarantor counterparties have failed to repay us for claims under guaranty contracts. Effective July 21, 2014, the terms of Ambac's order regarding its deferred payment arrangements changed to increase its cash payments on policyholder claims from 25% to 45% and to provide for payment of sufficient amounts of its outstanding deferred payment obligations to bring payment on those claims to 45%. Based on the stressed financial condition of many of these counterparties, we are expecting full cash payment from half of the non-governmental financial guarantors,

and we are uncertain of the level of payments we will ultimately receive from the remaining counterparties. Ambac provided coverage on \$2.4 billion, or 47%, of our total non-governmental financial guarantees as of June 30, 2014. When assessing our securities for impairment, we consider the benefit of non-governmental financial guarantees from those guarantors that we determine are creditworthy, although we continue to seek collection of any amounts due to us from all counterparties. See “Note 5, Investments in Securities” for a further discussion of our model methodology and key inputs used to determine other-than-temporary impairments.

We are also the beneficiary of financial guarantees included in securities issued by Freddie Mac, the federal government and its agencies that totaled \$20.9 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$22.5 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Lenders with Risk Sharing

We enter into risk sharing agreements with lenders pursuant to which the lenders agree to bear all or some portion of the credit losses on the covered loans. Our maximum potential loss recovery from lenders under these risk sharing agreements on single-family loans was \$9.5 billion as of June 30, 2014, compared with \$10.7 billion as of December 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2014, 53% of our maximum potential loss recovery on single-family loans was from three lenders, compared with 52% as of December 31, 2013. Our maximum potential loss recovery from lenders under risk sharing agreements on DUS and non-DUS multifamily loans was \$39.6 billion as of June 30, 2014, compared with \$39.4 billion as of December 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, 32% of our maximum potential loss recovery on multifamily loans was from three DUS lenders.

The percentage of single-family recourse obligations from lenders with investment grade credit ratings (based on the lower of S&P, Moody’s and Fitch ratings) was 51% as of June 30, 2014, compared with 55% as of December 31, 2013. The recourse obligations from lender counterparties rated below investment grade was 23% as of June 30, 2014, compared with 21% as of December 31, 2013. The percentage of remaining recourse obligations from lender counterparties that were not rated by rating agencies was 26% as of June 30, 2014, compared with 24% as of December 31, 2013. Given the stressed financial condition of some of our single-family lenders, we expect in some cases we will recover less than the amount the lender is obligated to provide us under our risk sharing arrangement with them. Depending on the financial strength of the counterparty, we may require a lender to pledge collateral to secure its recourse obligations.

As noted above in “Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Multifamily Acquisition Policy and Underwriting Standards,” our primary multifamily delivery channel is our DUS program, which consists of lenders that range from large depositories to independent non-bank financial institutions. As of June 30, 2014, approximately 36% of the unpaid principal balance of loans in our multifamily guaranty book of business serviced by our DUS lenders was from institutions with an external investment grade credit rating or a guaranty from an affiliate with an external investment grade credit rating, compared with approximately 37% as of December 31, 2013. Given the recourse nature of the DUS program, the lenders are bound by eligibility standards that dictate, among other items, minimum capital and liquidity levels, and the posting of collateral at a highly rated custodian to secure a portion of the lenders’ future obligations. We actively monitor the financial condition of these lenders to help ensure the level of risk remains within our standards and to ensure required capital levels are maintained and are in alignment with actual and modeled loss projections.

Custodial Depository Institutions

A total of \$33.8 billion in deposits for single-family payments were received and held by 279 institutions during the month of June 2014 and a total of \$34.6 billion in deposits for single-family payments were received and held by 284 institutions during the month of December 2013. Of these total deposits, 94% as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were held by institutions rated as investment grade by S&P, Moody’s and Fitch. Our transactions with custodial depository institutions are concentrated. Our six largest custodial depository institutions held 85% of these deposits as of June 30, 2014, compared with 86% as of December 31, 2013.

If a custodial depository institution were to fail while holding remittances of borrower payments of principal and interest due to us in our custodial account, we would be an unsecured creditor of the depository for balances in excess of the deposit insurance protection and might not be able to recover all of the principal and interest payments being held by the depository on our behalf, or there might be a substantial delay in receiving these amounts. If this were to occur, we would be required to replace these amounts with our own funds to make payments that are due to Fannie Mae MBS certificateholders. Accordingly, the insolvency of one of our principal custodial depository counterparties could result in significant financial losses to us. During the month of June 2014, approximately \$1.8 billion, or 5%, of our total deposits for single-family payments received and held by these institutions was in excess of the deposit insurance protection limit compared with approximately \$1.7 billion, or 5%, during the month of December 2013. These amounts can vary as they are calculated based

on individual payments of mortgage borrowers and we must estimate which borrowers are paying their regular principal and interest payments and other types of payments, such as prepayments from refinancing or sales.

Issuers of Investments Held in our Cash and Other Investments Portfolio

Our cash and other investments portfolio consists of cash and cash equivalents, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements and U.S. Treasury securities. Our cash and other investment counterparties are primarily financial institutions and the Federal Reserve Bank. As of June 30, 2014, we held \$2.0 billion in short-term unsecured deposits with two financial institutions that had short-term credit ratings of A-1 from S&P (or its equivalent), based on the lowest credit rating issued by S&P, Moody's and Fitch, and no other unsecured positions other than U.S. Treasury securities, compared with \$1.0 billion at one such institution as of December 31, 2013. See "Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management—Cash and Other Investments Portfolio" for more detailed information on our cash and other investments portfolio.

Derivative Counterparty Credit Exposure

Our derivative counterparty credit exposure relates principally to interest rate derivative contracts. We are exposed to the risk that a counterparty in a derivative transaction will default on payments due to us, which may require us to seek a replacement derivative from a different counterparty. This replacement may be at a higher cost, or we may be unable to find a suitable replacement. Historically, our risk management derivative transactions have been made pursuant to bilateral contracts with a specific counterparty governed by the terms of an International Swaps and Derivatives Association Inc. ("ISDA") master agreement. Pursuant to regulations implementing the Dodd-Frank Act that became effective June 10, 2013, we are required to submit certain categories of new interest rate swaps to a derivatives clearing organization. We refer to our derivative transactions made pursuant to bilateral contracts as our over-the-counter ("OTC") derivative transactions and our derivative transactions accepted for clearing by a derivatives clearing organization as our OTC-cleared derivative transactions.

We manage our derivative counterparty credit exposure relating to our OTC derivative transactions through enforceable master netting arrangements. These arrangements allow us to net derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty. We manage our derivative counterparty exposure relating to our OTC derivative transactions by requiring counterparties to post collateral, which includes cash, U.S. Treasury securities, agency debt and agency mortgage-related securities.

Our OTC-cleared derivative transactions are submitted to a derivatives clearing organization on our behalf through a clearing member of the organization. A contract accepted by a derivatives clearing organization is governed by the terms of the clearing organization's rules and arrangements between us and the clearing member of the clearing organization. As a result, we are exposed to the institutional credit risk of both the derivatives clearing organization and the member who is acting on our behalf. To the extent we have an enforceable master netting arrangement with our OTC-cleared derivative counterparty, we net our exposure to cleared derivatives by clearing organization and by clearing member.

Our institutional credit risk exposure to derivatives clearing organizations and certain of their members will increase substantially in the future as OTC-cleared derivative contracts comprise a larger percentage of our derivative instruments. We estimate our exposure to credit loss on derivative instruments by calculating the replacement cost, on a present value basis, to settle at current market prices all outstanding derivative contracts in a net gain position at the counterparty level where the right of legal offset exists.

The fair value of derivatives in a gain position is included in our condensed consolidated balance sheets in "Other assets." Table 44 below displays our counterparty credit exposure on outstanding risk management derivative instruments in a gain position as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table 44: Credit Loss Exposure of Risk Management Derivative Instruments

| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--|
| | Credit Rating ⁽¹⁾ | | | Subtotal ⁽²⁾ | OTC-cleared ⁽³⁾ | Other ⁽⁴⁾ | Total | |
| | AA+/AA/AA- | A+/A/A- | BBB+/BBB/BBB- | | | | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Credit loss exposure ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ 27 | \$ 708 | \$ — | \$ 735 | \$ 23 | \$ 29 | \$ 787 | |
| Less: Collateral held ⁽⁶⁾ | 18 | 708 | — | 726 | 23 | — | 749 | |
| Exposure net of collateral | <u>\$ 9</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 9</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 29</u> | <u>\$ 38</u> | |
| Additional information: | | | | | | | | |
| Notional amount | \$ 26,935 | \$ 304,873 | \$ 37,645 | \$ 369,453 | \$ 129,322 | \$ 147 | \$ 498,922 | |
| Number of counterparties ⁽⁷⁾ | 4 | 10 | 2 | 16 | | | | |

| | As of December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| | Credit Rating ⁽¹⁾ | | | Subtotal ⁽²⁾ | OTC-cleared ⁽³⁾ | Other ⁽⁴⁾ | Total | |
| | AA+/AA/AA- | A+/A/A- | BBB+/BBB/BBB- | | | | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Credit loss exposure ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ 79 | \$ 1,008 | \$ — | \$ 1,087 | \$ 1,475 | \$ 28 | \$ 2,590 | |
| Less: Collateral held ⁽⁶⁾ | 66 | 972 | — | 1,038 | 1,382 | — | 2,420 | |
| Exposure net of collateral | <u>\$ 13</u> | <u>\$ 36</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 49</u> | <u>\$ 93</u> | <u>\$ 28</u> | <u>\$ 170</u> | |
| Additional information: | | | | | | | | |
| Notional amount | \$ 25,005 | \$ 338,905 | \$ 78,799 | \$ 442,709 | \$ 109,740 | \$ 281 | \$ 552,730 | |
| Number of counterparties ⁽⁷⁾ | 4 | 10 | 2 | 16 | | | | |

(1) We manage collateral requirements based on the lower credit rating of the legal entity, as issued by S&P and Moody's. The credit rating reflects the equivalent S&P rating for any ratings based on Moody's scale.

(2) We had credit loss exposure to five counterparties with a notional balance of \$126.0 billion as of June 30, 2014 and seven counterparties with a notional balance of \$227.7 billion as of December 31, 2013.

(3) Represents derivative transactions accepted for clearing by a derivatives clearing organization.

(4) Includes mortgage insurance contracts and swap credit enhancements accounted for as derivatives.

(5) Represents the exposure to credit loss on derivative instruments, which we estimate using the fair value of all outstanding derivative contracts in a gain position. For our risk management derivatives transactions, we net derivative gains and losses with the same counterparty where a legal right of offset exists under enforceable master netting arrangements. As of June 30, 2014, we net OTC-cleared derivative assets and derivative liabilities where we have enforceable master netting arrangements. This table excludes mortgage commitments accounted for as derivatives.

(6) Represents cash and non-cash collateral posted by our counterparties to us. Does not include collateral held in excess of exposure. We reduce the value of non-cash collateral in accordance with the counterparty arrangements to ensure recovery of any loss through the disposition of the collateral.

(7) Represents counterparties to OTC derivatives transactions with which we have enforceable master netting arrangements.

OTC derivative transactions with our ten largest counterparties accounted for approximately 68% of our total outstanding notional amount of our total derivative transactions as of June 30, 2014, with each of these counterparties accounting for between approximately 3% and 14% of that total outstanding notional amount. OTC derivative transactions with our ten largest counterparties accounted for approximately 74% of our total outstanding notional amount of our total derivative transactions as of December 31, 2013, with each of these counterparties accounting for between approximately 3% and 14% of that total outstanding notional amount.

See "Note 9, Derivative Instruments" and "Note 15, Netting Arrangements" for additional information on our derivative contracts as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Other

We filed claims as a creditor in the bankruptcy case of Lehman Brothers, which filed for bankruptcy in September 2008. In January 2014, we resolved our outstanding bankruptcy claims against Lehman Brothers for an allowed amount of \$2.15 billion. On April 3, 2014, we received a distribution of \$548 million pursuant to the Lehman Brothers plan of reorganization, representing approximately 25% of the allowed amount. We may receive additional distributions in the future, but under the terms of the Lehman Brothers plan of reorganization, we expect that the total amount we will receive will constitute only a portion of the \$2.15 billion allowed amount.

Market Risk Management, Including Interest Rate Risk Management

We are subject to market risk, which includes interest rate risk, spread risk and liquidity risk. These risks arise from our mortgage asset investments. Interest rate risk is the risk of loss in value or expected future earnings that may result from changes to interest rates. Spread risk or basis risk is the resulting impact of changes in the spread between our mortgage assets and our debt and derivatives we use to hedge our position. Liquidity risk is the risk that we will not be able to meet our funding obligations in a timely manner. We describe our sources of interest rate risk exposure and our strategy for managing interest rate risk and spread risk in “MD&A—Risk Management—Market Risk Management, Including Interest Rate Risk Management” in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Measurement of Interest Rate Risk

Below we present two quantitative metrics that provide estimates of our interest rate risk exposure: (1) fair value sensitivity of our net portfolio to changes in interest rate levels and slope of yield curve; and (2) duration gap. Our net portfolio consists of our retained mortgage portfolio assets; cash and other investment portfolio; our outstanding debt of Fannie Mae that is used to fund the retained mortgage portfolio assets and cash and other investment portfolio; mortgage commitments and risk management derivatives. Risk management derivatives along with our debt instruments are used to manage interest rate risk.

The metrics presented are calculated using internal models that require standard assumptions regarding interest rates and future prepayments of principal over the remaining life of our securities. These assumptions are derived based on the characteristics of the underlying structure of the securities and historical prepayment rates experienced at specified interest rate levels, taking into account current market conditions, the current mortgage rates of our existing outstanding loans, loan age and other factors. On a continuous basis, management makes judgments about the appropriateness of the risk assessments and will make adjustments as necessary to properly assess our interest rate exposure and manage our interest rate risk. The methodologies used to calculate risk estimates are periodically changed on a prospective basis to reflect improvements in the underlying estimation process.

Interest Rate Sensitivity to Changes in Interest Rate Level and Slope of Yield Curve

As part of our disclosure commitments with FHFA, we disclose on a monthly basis the estimated adverse impact on the fair value of our net portfolio that would result from the following hypothetical situations:

- A 50 basis point shift in interest rates.
- A 25 basis point change in the slope of the yield curve.

In measuring the estimated impact of changes in the level of interest rates, we assume a parallel shift in all maturities of the U.S. LIBOR interest rate swap curve.

In measuring the estimated impact of changes in the slope of the yield curve, we assume a constant 7-year rate and a shift of 16.7 basis points for the 1-year rate and 8.3 basis points for the 30-year rate. We believe the aforementioned interest rate shocks for our monthly disclosures represent moderate movements in interest rates over a one-month period.

Duration Gap

Duration gap measures the price sensitivity of our assets and liabilities in our net portfolio to changes in interest rates by quantifying the difference between the estimated durations of our assets and liabilities. Our duration gap analysis reflects the extent to which the estimated maturity and repricing cash flows for our assets are matched, on average, over time and across interest rate scenarios to those of our liabilities. A positive duration gap indicates that the duration of our assets exceeds the duration of our liabilities. We disclose duration gap on a monthly basis under the caption “Interest Rate Risk Disclosures” in our Monthly Summary, which is available on our Web site and announced in a press release.

While our goal is to reduce the price sensitivity of our net portfolio to movements in interest rates, various factors can contribute to a duration gap that is either positive or negative. For example, changes in the market environment can increase or decrease the price sensitivity of our mortgage assets relative to the price sensitivity of our liabilities because of prepayment

uncertainty associated with our assets. In a declining interest rate environment, prepayment rates tend to accelerate, thereby shortening the duration and average life of the fixed rate mortgage assets we hold in our net portfolio. Conversely, when interest rates increase, prepayment rates generally slow, which extends the duration and average life of our mortgage assets. Our debt and derivative instrument positions are used to manage the interest rate sensitivity of our retained mortgage portfolio and our investments in non-mortgage securities. As a result, the degree to which the interest rate sensitivity of our retained mortgage portfolio and our investments in non-mortgage securities is offset will be dependent upon, among other factors, the mix of funding and other risk management derivative instruments we use at any given point in time.

The market value sensitivities of our net portfolio are a function of both the duration and the convexity of our net portfolio. Duration provides a measure of the price sensitivity of a financial instrument to changes in interest rates while convexity reflects the degree to which the duration of the assets and liabilities in our net portfolio changes in response to a given change in interest rates. We use convexity measures to provide us with information about how quickly and by how much our net portfolio's duration may change in different interest rate environments. The market value sensitivity of our net portfolio will depend on a number of factors, including the interest rate environment, modeling assumptions and the composition of assets and liabilities in our net portfolio, which vary over time.

The sensitivity measures presented in Table 45, which we disclose on a quarterly basis as part of our disclosure commitments with FHFA, are an extension of our monthly sensitivity measures. There are three primary differences between our monthly sensitivity disclosure and the quarterly sensitivity disclosure presented below: (1) the quarterly disclosure is expanded to include the sensitivity results for larger rate level shocks of plus or minus 100 basis points; (2) the monthly disclosure reflects the estimated pre-tax impact on the market value of our net portfolio calculated based on a daily average, while the quarterly disclosure reflects the estimated pre-tax impact calculated based on the estimated financial position of our net portfolio and the market environment as of the last business day of the quarter; and (3) the monthly disclosure shows the most adverse pre-tax impact on the market value of our net portfolio from the hypothetical interest rate shocks, while the quarterly disclosure includes the estimated pre-tax impact of both up and down interest rate shocks.

Results of Interest Rate Sensitivity Measures

Table 45 displays the pre-tax market value sensitivity of our net portfolio to changes in the level of interest rates and the slope of the yield curve as measured on the last day of each period presented. In addition, Table 45 also provides the daily average, minimum, maximum and standard deviation values for duration gap and for the most adverse market value impact on the net portfolio to changes in the level of interest rates and the slope of the yield curve for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Table 45: Interest Rate Sensitivity of Net Portfolio to Changes in Interest Rate Level and Slope of Yield Curve⁽¹⁾

| | As of | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 ⁽²⁾ | December 31, 2013 ⁽²⁾ | |
| | (Dollars in billions) | | |
| Rate level shock: | | | |
| -100 basis points | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 | |
| -50 basis points | (0.1) | — | |
| +50 basis points | (0.1) | (0.1) | |
| +100 basis points | (0.4) | (0.5) | |
| Rate slope shock: | | | |
| -25 basis points (flattening) | — | — | |
| +25 basis points (steepening) | — | — | |
| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 ⁽³⁾ | | |
| | Duration Gap | Rate Slope Shock 25 Bps | Rate Level Shock 50 Bps |
| | Exposure | | |
| | (In months) | (Dollars in billions) | |
| Average | (0.1) | \$ — | \$ — |
| Minimum | (0.5) | — | — |
| Maximum | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Standard deviation | 0.2 | — | 0.1 |
| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 ⁽³⁾ | | |
| | Duration Gap | Rate Slope Shock 25 Bps | Rate Level Shock 50 Bps |
| | Exposure | | |
| | (In months) | (Dollars in billions) | |
| Average | (0.1) | \$ — | \$ 0.2 |
| Minimum | (0.9) | — | — |
| Maximum | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Standard deviation | 0.4 | — | 0.1 |

⁽¹⁾ Computed based on changes in U.S. LIBOR interest rates swap curve.

⁽²⁾ Measured on the last day of each period presented.

⁽³⁾ Computed based on daily values during the period presented.

The market value sensitivity of our net portfolio varies across a range of interest rate shocks depending upon the duration and convexity profile of our net portfolio. The average duration gap was (0.1) months for the three months ended June 30, 2014, which is consistent with the average duration gap for the three months ended June 30, 2013. Because the effective duration gap of our net portfolio was close to zero months in the periods presented, convexity risk was the primary driver of the market value sensitivity of our net portfolio in those periods.

A majority of the interest rate risk associated with our mortgage-related securities and loans is hedged with our debt issuances, which include callable debt. We use derivatives to help manage the residual interest rate risk exposure between our assets and liabilities. Derivatives have enabled us to keep our interest rate risk exposure at consistently low levels in a wide range of interest-rate environments. Table 46 displays an example of how derivatives impacted the net market value exposure for a 50 basis point parallel interest rate shock.

Table 46: Derivative Impact on Interest Rate Risk (50 Basis Points)⁽¹⁾

| | As of | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in billions) | |
| Before Derivatives | \$ (1.4) | \$ (0.3) |
| After Derivatives | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Effect of Derivatives | 1.3 | 0.1 |

⁽¹⁾ Measured on the last day of each period presented.

Other Interest Rate Risk Information

The interest rate risk measures discussed above exclude the impact of changes in the fair value of our guaranty assets and liabilities resulting from changes in interest rates. We exclude our guaranty business from these sensitivity measures based on our current assumption that the guaranty fee income generated from future business activity will largely replace guaranty fee income lost due to mortgage prepayments.

In “MD&A—Risk Management—Market Risk Management, including Interest Rate Risk Management—Measurement of Interest Rate Risk—Other Interest Rate Risk Information” in our 2013 Form 10-K, we provided additional interest rate sensitivities, including separate disclosure of the potential impact on the fair value of our trading assets and our other financial instruments. As of June 30, 2014, these sensitivities were relatively unchanged compared with December 31, 2013. See “Note 16, Fair Value” for the fair value of our trading financial instruments and our other financial instruments as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Liquidity Risk Management

See “MD&A—Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management” in our 2013 Form 10-K and in this report for a discussion of how we manage liquidity risk.

Operational Risk Management

See “MD&A—Risk Management—Operational Risk Management” in our 2013 Form 10-K for information on operational risks that we face and our framework for managing operational risk.

IMPACT OF FUTURE ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

We identify and discuss the expected impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements of recently issued accounting pronouncements in “Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.”

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). In addition, our senior management may from time to time make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, the news media and others. Forward-looking statements often include words such as “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “believe,” “seek,” “estimate,” “forecast,” “project,” “would,” “should,” “could,” “likely,” “may,” or similar words.

Among the forward-looking statements in this report are statements relating to:

- Our expectation that we will remain profitable for the foreseeable future;
- Our expectation that, while our annual net income will remain strong over the next few years, our annual net income will be substantially lower than our net income for 2013;
- Our expectation that, while changes in interest rates and home prices may result in volatility in our net income, our revenues will be stable and similar to the second quarter of 2014 as we operate in a more normalized business environment;

- Our expectation of volatility from period to period in our financial results from a number of factors, particularly changes in market conditions that result in periodic fluctuations in the estimated fair value of the financial instruments that we mark to market through our earnings;
- Our expectation that we will pay Treasury a senior preferred stock dividend of \$3.7 billion in the third quarter of 2014;
- Our expectation that we will retain only a limited amount of any future net worth because we are required by the dividend provisions of the senior preferred stock and quarterly directives from our conservator to pay Treasury each quarter the amount, if any, by which our net worth as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter exceeds an applicable capital reserve amount;
- Our expectation that the single-family loans we have acquired since January 1, 2009, in the aggregate, will be profitable over their lifetime, by which we mean that we expect our guaranty fee income on these loans to exceed our credit losses and administrative costs for them;
- Our expectation that the single-family loans we acquired from 2005 through 2008, in the aggregate, will not be profitable over their lifetime;
- Our expectation that our earnings will be affected by a number of factors, including changes in home prices, changes in interest rates, our guaranty fee rates, the volume of single-family mortgage originations in the future, and the size, composition and quality of our retained mortgage portfolio and guaranty book of business, and economic and housing market conditions; and our expectation that some of these factors, such as changes in interest rates or home prices, could result in significant variability in our earnings from quarter to quarter or year to year;
- Our expectation that guaranty fees we receive for managing the credit risk on loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS held by third parties will continue to account for an increasing portion of our revenues;
- Our expectation that continued decreases in the size of our retained mortgage portfolio will continue to negatively impact our net interest income and revenues;
- Our expectation that increases in our guaranty fee revenues will at least partially offset the negative impact of the decline in our retained mortgage portfolio, and that the extent to which the positive impact of increased guaranty fee revenues will offset the negative impact of the decline in the size of our retained mortgage portfolio will depend on many factors, including: changes to guaranty fee pricing we may make in the future; the size, composition and quality of our guaranty book of business; the life of the loans in our guaranty book of business; the size, composition and quality of our retained mortgage portfolio; economic and housing market conditions; and legislative and regulatory changes;
- Our expectation that refinancings will continue to constitute a smaller portion of our single-family business volume in 2014 than in 2013;
- Our expectation that we will continue to acquire a higher proportion of loans with higher LTV ratios in 2014 than in 2013;
- Our expectation that mortgage originations in 2014 will be lower overall than in 2013;
- Our expectation that our single-family acquisition volumes this year will continue to remain lower than prior year volumes;
- Our expectation that these lower volumes will not have a material adverse effect on the size of our single-family guaranty book of business or on our single-family guaranty fee revenues in the near term;
- Our expectation that approximately 279,000 new multifamily units will be completed this year;
- Our expectation that, despite steady demand and stable fundamentals at the national level, the multifamily sector may continue to exhibit below average fundamentals in certain local markets and with certain properties;
- Our expectation that the level of multifamily foreclosures in 2014 will generally remain commensurate with 2013 levels;
- Our expectation that single-family mortgage loan serious delinquency and severity rates will continue their downward trend, but that single-family serious delinquency and severity rates will remain high compared with pre-housing crisis levels because it will take some time for the remaining delinquent high mark-to-market LTV ratio loans originated prior to 2009 to work their way through the foreclosure process;

- Our forecast that total originations in the U.S. single-family mortgage market in 2014 will decrease from 2013 levels by approximately 41%, from an estimated \$1.91 trillion in 2013 to \$1.13 trillion in 2014;
- Our forecast that the amount of originations in the U.S. single-family mortgage market that are refinancings will decrease from an estimated \$1.18 trillion in 2013 to \$428.6 billion in 2014;
- Our forecast that total single-family mortgage debt outstanding will increase slightly in 2014, increasing from an estimated \$9.89 trillion as of December 31, 2013 to \$9.94 trillion as of December 31, 2014;
- Our expectation that home price growth will continue in 2014, but that the rate of home price growth on a national basis in 2014 will be lower than in 2013;
- Our expectation of significant regional variation in the timing and rate of home price growth;
- Our expectation that our credit losses in 2014 and 2015 will be higher than in 2013 because: (1) the amounts we recognized in 2013 pursuant to a number of repurchase and compensatory fee resolution agreements reduced our 2013 credit losses from what they otherwise would have been; and (2) we expect our implementation of the charge-off provisions required by FHFA's Advisory Bulletin AB 2012-02 in 2015 will increase our credit losses for 2015 from what they otherwise would be;
- Our expectation that our credit losses will resume their downward trend beginning in 2016;
- Our expectation that our loss reserves will continue to decline in 2014, but at a slower pace than in 2013;
- Our expectation that our loss reserves will remain elevated relative to the levels experienced prior to the 2008 housing crisis for an extended period because (1) we expect future defaults on loans that we acquired prior to 2009 and the resulting charge-offs will occur over a period of years and (2) a significant portion of our reserves represents concessions granted to borrowers upon modification of their loans and our reserves will continue to reflect these concessions until the loans are fully repaid or default;
- Our expectation that significant uncertainty regarding the future of our company and the housing finance system will continue;
- Our expectation that Congress will continue to consider housing finance reform legislation;
- Our expectation that, in the period in which we adopt FHFA's Advisory Bulletin AB 2012-02, our allowance for loan losses on the impacted loans will be eliminated and the corresponding recorded investment in the loan will be reduced by the amounts that are charged off;
- Our expectation that, although the streamlined modification program we introduced in July 2013 may accelerate the timing of our modifications, a meaningful number of modifications will be initiated after our loans become 180 days past due;
- Our expectation that the adoption of FHFA's Advisory Bulletin AB 2012-02 will not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations;
- Our expectation that guaranty fees collected and expenses incurred under the TCCA will continue to increase in the future;
- Our expectation that, as we reduce the size of our retained mortgage portfolio, our revenues generated by our retained mortgage portfolio will decrease;
- Our belief that our liquidity contingency plan may be difficult or impossible to execute for a company of our size and in our circumstances;
- Our intention to repay our short-term and long-term debt obligations as they become due primarily through proceeds from the issuance of additional debt securities;
- Our expectation that we may also use proceeds from our mortgage assets to pay our debt obligations;
- Our expectations regarding our credit ratings and their impact on us as set forth in "MD&A—Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management—Credit Ratings" in this report and "Risk Factors" in our 2013 Form 10-K;
- Our expectation that we will not eliminate our deficit of core capital over statutory minimum capital;
- Our expectation that the serious delinquency rates for single-family loans acquired in more recent years will be higher after the loans have aged, but will not be as high as the June 30, 2014 serious delinquency rates of loans in our legacy book of business;

- Our expectation that the ultimate performance of all our loans will be affected by borrower behavior, public policy and macroeconomic trends, including unemployment, the economy and home prices;
- Our belief that loans we acquire under Refi Plus and HARP may not perform as well as the other loans we have acquired since the beginning of 2009, but they will perform better than the loans they replace because they should either reduce the borrowers' monthly payments or provide more stable terms than the borrowers' old loans (for example, by refinancing into a mortgage with a fixed interest rate instead of an adjustable rate);
- Our expectation that the volume of refinancings under HARP will continue to decline due to the increase in interest rates and a decrease in the population of borrowers with loans that have high LTV ratios who are willing to refinance and would benefit from refinancing;
- Our expectation that our acquisitions of Alt-A mortgage loans (which are limited to refinancings of existing Fannie Mae loans) will continue to be minimal in future periods and the percentage of the book of business attributable to Alt-A will continue to decrease over time;
- Our belief that the slow pace of foreclosures in certain areas of the country will continue to negatively affect our single-family serious delinquency rates, foreclosure timelines and credit-related income (expense);
- Our expectation that the number of our single-family loans in our book of business that are seriously delinquent will remain above pre-2008 levels for years;
- Our belief that our use of our three largest non-depository servicers will result in a decrease in our credit losses from what they otherwise would have been through more effective servicing and an improved experience for the borrower while producing limited repurchase requests and compensatory fee claims;
- Our expectation that the trend of mortgage insurers rescinding coverage for a decreasing number of mortgage loans will continue;
- Our expectation that mortgage insurance rescissions will not materially impact our financial results;
- Our expectation, based on the stressed financial condition of many of our non-governmental financial guarantor counterparties, that we will receive full cash payment from half of these counterparties;
- Our expectation, given the stressed financial condition of some of our single-family lenders, that in some cases we will recover less than the amount the lender is obligated to provide us under our risk sharing arrangement with them, and our expectation that we may require a lender to pledge collateral to secure its recourse obligations;
- Our expectation that we will receive only a portion of our allowed amount under the terms of the Lehman Brothers plan of reorganization;
- Our expectation that we will enter into additional agreements relating to the Common Securitization Solutions, LLC joint venture in the future; and
- Our expectation that we will not remediate the material weakness relating to our disclosure controls and procedures while we are in conservatorship.

Forward-looking statements reflect our management's expectations, forecasts or predictions of future conditions, events or results based on various assumptions and management's estimates of trends and economic factors in the markets in which we are active, as well as our business plans. They are not guarantees of future performance. By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these forward-looking statements. There are a number of factors that could cause actual conditions, events or results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements contained in this report, including, but not limited to, the following: the uncertainty of our future; legislative and regulatory changes affecting us; the timing and level of, as well as regional variation in, home price changes; changes in interest rates, unemployment rates and other macroeconomic and housing market variables; our future guaranty fee pricing and the impact of that pricing on our competitive environment; challenges we face in retaining and hiring qualified employees; our future serious delinquency rates; the deteriorated credit performance of many loans in our guaranty book of business; the conservatorship and its effect on our business; the investment by Treasury and its effect on our business; adverse effects from activities we undertake to support the mortgage market and help borrowers; actions we may be required to take by FHFA, as our conservator or as our regulator; a decrease in our credit ratings; limitations on our ability to access the debt capital markets; disruptions in the housing and credit markets; significant changes in modification and foreclosure activity; changes in borrower behavior; the effectiveness of our loss mitigation strategies, management of our REO inventory and pursuit of contractual remedies; defaults by one or more institutional counterparties; resolution or settlement agreements we may enter into with our counterparties; our need to rely on third parties to fully achieve some of our corporate objectives;

our reliance on mortgage servicers; changes in GAAP; guidance by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”); future changes to our accounting policies; changes in the fair value of our assets and liabilities; impairments of our assets; operational control weaknesses; our reliance on models; future updates to our models, including the assumptions used by these models; the level and volatility of interest rates and credit spreads; changes in the fiscal and monetary policies of the Federal Reserve, including the effect of the tapering of its program of purchasing mortgage-related securities and any future sales of such securities; changes in the structure and regulation of the financial services industry; credit availability; natural or other disasters; and those factors described in “Risk Factors” in this report and in our 2013 Form 10-K, as well as the factors described in “Executive Summary—Outlook—Factors that Could Cause Actual Results to be Materially Different from our Estimates and Expectations” in this report.

Readers are cautioned to place forward-looking statements in this report or that we make from time to time into proper context by carefully considering the factors discussed in “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K and in this report. These forward-looking statements are representative only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required under the federal securities laws.

Item 1. Financial Statements

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets — (Unaudited)
(Dollars in millions, except share amounts)

| | As of | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 20,847 | \$ 19,228 |
| Restricted cash (includes \$25,401 and \$23,982, respectively, related to consolidated trusts) | 29,587 | 28,995 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | 16,700 | 38,975 |
| Investments in securities: | | |
| Trading, at fair value | 26,630 | 30,768 |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value (includes \$561 and \$998, respectively, related to consolidated trusts) | 34,026 | 38,171 |
| Total investments in securities | <u>60,656</u> | <u>68,939</u> |
| Mortgage loans: | | |
| Loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value (includes \$25 and \$31, respectively, related to consolidated trusts) | 625 | 380 |
| Loans held for investment, at amortized cost: | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | 284,609 | 300,159 |
| Of consolidated trusts (includes \$15,116 and \$14,268, respectively, at fair value and loans pledged as collateral that may be sold or repledged of \$389 and \$442, respectively) | 2,758,619 | 2,769,547 |
| Total loans held for investment | <u>3,043,228</u> | <u>3,069,706</u> |
| Allowance for loan losses | (39,067) | (43,846) |
| Total loans held for investment, net of allowance | <u>3,004,161</u> | <u>3,025,860</u> |
| Total mortgage loans | <u>3,004,786</u> | <u>3,026,240</u> |
| Accrued interest receivable, net (includes \$7,470 and \$7,271, respectively, related to consolidated trusts) | 8,472 | 8,319 |
| Acquired property, net | 11,560 | 11,621 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 44,809 | 47,560 |
| Other assets (includes cash pledged as collateral of \$1,821 and \$1,590, respectively) | 21,400 | 20,231 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 3,218,817</u> | <u>\$ 3,270,108</u> |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Accrued interest payable (includes \$8,213 and \$8,276, respectively, related to consolidated trusts) | \$ 10,203 | \$ 10,553 |
| Debt: | | |
| Of Fannie Mae (includes \$3,432 and \$1,308, respectively, at fair value) | 477,535 | 529,434 |
| Of consolidated trusts (includes \$16,420 and \$14,976, respectively, at fair value) | 2,712,010 | 2,705,089 |
| Other liabilities (includes \$453 and \$488, respectively, related to consolidated trusts) | 12,957 | 15,441 |
| Total liabilities | <u>3,212,705</u> | <u>3,260,517</u> |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 17) | — | — |
| Fannie Mae stockholders' equity: | | |
| Senior preferred stock, 1,000,000 shares issued and outstanding | 117,149 | 117,149 |
| Preferred stock, 700,000,000 shares are authorized—555,374,922 shares issued and outstanding | 19,130 | 19,130 |
| Common stock, no par value, no maximum authorization—1,308,762,703 shares issued and 1,158,080,657 shares outstanding | 687 | 687 |
| Accumulated deficit | (125,123) | (121,227) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,620 | 1,203 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 150,682,046 shares | (7,401) | (7,401) |
| Total Fannie Mae stockholders' equity | <u>6,062</u> | <u>9,541</u> |
| Noncontrolling interest | 50 | 50 |
| Total equity (See Note 1: <i>Impact of U.S. Government Support</i> for information on our dividend obligation to Treasury) | <u>6,112</u> | <u>9,591</u> |
| Total liabilities and equity | <u>\$ 3,218,817</u> | <u>\$ 3,270,108</u> |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income — (Unaudited)
(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share amounts)

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Trading securities | \$ 143 | \$ 222 | \$ 270 | \$ 448 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 414 | 651 | 854 | 1,324 |
| Mortgage loans (includes \$25,533 and \$24,847, respectively, for the three months ended and \$51,487 and \$50,241, respectively, for the six months ended related to consolidated trusts) | 28,165 | 28,056 | 56,753 | 57,280 |
| Other | 24 | 49 | 48 | 106 |
| Total interest income | 28,746 | 28,978 | 57,925 | 59,158 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Short-term debt | 21 | 37 | 41 | 80 |
| Long-term debt (includes \$21,692 and \$20,722, respectively, for the three months ended and \$43,768 and \$41,880, respectively, for the six months ended related to consolidated trusts) | 23,821 | 23,274 | 48,242 | 47,107 |
| Total interest expense | 23,842 | 23,311 | 48,283 | 47,187 |
| Net interest income | 4,904 | 5,667 | 9,642 | 11,971 |
| Benefit for credit losses | 1,639 | 5,383 | 2,413 | 6,340 |
| Net interest income after benefit for credit losses | 6,543 | 11,050 | 12,055 | 18,311 |
| Investment gains, net | 506 | 290 | 652 | 408 |
| Net other-than-temporary impairments | (23) | (6) | (74) | (15) |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | (934) | 829 | (2,124) | 1,663 |
| Debt extinguishment gains, net | 38 | 27 | 38 | 4 |
| Fee and other income | 383 | 485 | 4,738 | 1,053 |
| Non-interest (loss) income | (30) | 1,625 | 3,230 | 3,113 |
| Administrative expenses: | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 319 | 304 | 644 | 621 |
| Professional services | 275 | 219 | 517 | 442 |
| Occupancy expenses | 47 | 47 | 97 | 93 |
| Other administrative expenses | 56 | 56 | 111 | 111 |
| Total administrative expenses | 697 | 626 | 1,369 | 1,267 |
| Foreclosed property income | (214) | (332) | (476) | (592) |
| Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011 ("TCCA") fees | 335 | 233 | 657 | 419 |
| Other expenses, net | 276 | 68 | 407 | 136 |
| Total expenses | 1,094 | 595 | 1,957 | 1,230 |
| Income before federal income taxes | 5,419 | 12,080 | 13,328 | 20,194 |
| (Provision) benefit for federal income taxes | (1,752) | (1,985) | (4,336) | 48,586 |
| Net income | 3,667 | 10,095 | 8,992 | 68,780 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Changes in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustments and taxes | 45 | 17 | 417 | 665 |
| Other | — | 149 | — | 155 |
| Total other comprehensive income | 45 | 166 | 417 | 820 |
| Total comprehensive income | 3,712 | 10,261 | 9,409 | 69,600 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (1) | (11) | (1) | (11) |
| Total comprehensive income attributable to Fannie Mae | \$ 3,711 | \$ 10,250 | \$ 9,408 | \$ 69,589 |
| Net income | \$ 3,667 | \$ 10,095 | \$ 8,992 | \$ 68,780 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (1) | (11) | (1) | (11) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | 3,666 | 10,084 | 8,991 | 68,769 |
| Dividends distributed or available for distribution to senior preferred stockholder (Note 11) | (3,712) | (10,243) | (9,404) | (69,611) |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders (Note 11) | \$ (46) | \$ (159) | \$ (413) | \$ (842) |
| Loss per share: basic and diluted | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.15) |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding: basic and diluted | 5,762 | 5,762 | 5,762 | 5,762 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows — (Unaudited)
(Dollars in millions)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 3,420 | \$ 4,802 |
| Cash flows provided by investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of trading securities held for investment | — | (3,985) |
| Proceeds from maturities and paydowns of trading securities held for investment | 681 | 1,293 |
| Proceeds from sales of trading securities held for investment | 1,188 | 4,469 |
| Proceeds from maturities and paydowns of available-for-sale securities | 3,022 | 5,861 |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 1,740 | 2,021 |
| Purchases of loans held for investment | (55,843) | (119,122) |
| Proceeds from repayments and sales of loans acquired as held for investment of Fannie Mae | 12,840 | 28,762 |
| Proceeds from repayments and sales of loans acquired as held for investment of consolidated trusts | 177,527 | 394,972 |
| Net change in restricted cash | (592) | 13,989 |
| Advances to lenders | (42,545) | (76,435) |
| Proceeds from disposition of acquired property and preforeclosure sales | 13,471 | 22,466 |
| Net change in federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | 22,275 | (5,300) |
| Other, net | (349) | 170 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | <u>133,415</u> | <u>269,161</u> |
| Cash flows used in financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt of Fannie Mae | 165,337 | 248,901 |
| Payments to redeem debt of Fannie Mae | (217,988) | (261,959) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt of consolidated trusts | 113,448 | 235,835 |
| Payments to redeem debt of consolidated trusts | (183,124) | (429,545) |
| Payments of cash dividends on senior preferred stock to Treasury | (12,882) | (63,592) |
| Other, net | (7) | (2) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | <u>(135,216)</u> | <u>(270,362)</u> |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,619 | 3,601 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 19,228 | 21,117 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | <u>\$ 20,847</u> | <u>\$ 24,718</u> |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 53,594 | \$ 55,455 |
| Income taxes | 2,475 | 1,016 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

We are a stockholder-owned corporation organized and existing under the Federal National Mortgage Association Charter Act (the “Charter Act” or our “charter”). We are a government-sponsored enterprise (“GSE”) and we are subject to government oversight and regulation. Our regulators include the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”), the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), and the U.S. Department of the Treasury (“Treasury”). The U.S. government does not guarantee our securities or other obligations.

Conservatorship

On September 7, 2008, the Secretary of the Treasury and the Director of FHFA announced several actions taken by Treasury and FHFA regarding Fannie Mae, which included: (1) placing us in conservatorship and (2) the execution of a senior preferred stock purchase agreement by our conservator, on our behalf, and Treasury, pursuant to which we issued to Treasury both senior preferred stock and a warrant to purchase common stock.

Under the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008 (together, the “GSE Act”), the conservator immediately succeeded to (1) all rights, titles, powers and privileges of Fannie Mae, and of any stockholder, officer or director of Fannie Mae with respect to Fannie Mae and its assets, and (2) title to the books, records and assets of any other legal custodian of Fannie Mae. The conservator has since delegated specified authorities to our Board of Directors and has delegated to management the authority to conduct our day-to-day operations. The conservator retains the authority to withdraw its delegations at any time.

The conservatorship has no specified termination date and there continues to be significant uncertainty regarding the future of our company, including how long the company will continue to exist in its current form, the extent of our role in the market, what form we will have, what ownership interest, if any, our current common and preferred stockholders will hold in us after the conservatorship is terminated and whether we will continue to exist following conservatorship. Under the GSE Act, FHFA must place us into receivership if the Director of FHFA makes a written determination that our assets are less than our obligations or if we have not been paying our debts, in either case, for a period of 60 days. In addition, the Director of FHFA may place us in receivership at his discretion at any time for other reasons set forth in the GSE Act, including if we are undercapitalized and have no reasonable prospect of becoming adequately capitalized. Should we be placed into receivership, different assumptions would be required to determine the carrying value of our assets, which could lead to substantially different financial results. We are not aware of any plans of FHFA to significantly change our business model or capital structure in the near term.

Impact of U.S. Government Support

We continue to rely on support from Treasury to eliminate any net worth deficits we may experience in the future, which would otherwise trigger our being placed into receivership. Based on consideration of all the relevant conditions and events affecting our operations, including our reliance on the U.S. government, we continue to operate as a going concern and in accordance with our delegation of authority from FHFA.

We believe that continued federal government support of our business and the financial markets, as well as our status as a GSE, are essential to maintaining our access to debt funding. Changes or perceived changes in federal government support of our business and the financial markets or our status as a GSE could materially and adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Pursuant to the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, Treasury has committed to provide us with funding to help us maintain a positive net worth thereby avoiding the mandatory receivership trigger described above. We have received a total of \$116.1 billion from Treasury pursuant to the senior preferred stock purchase agreement as of June 30, 2014. The aggregate liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock, including the initial aggregate liquidation preference of \$1.0 billion, was \$117.1 billion as of June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014, the amount of remaining funding available to us under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement was \$117.6 billion.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Based on the terms of the senior preferred stock, we paid Treasury a dividend of \$5.7 billion on June 30, 2014 based on our net worth as of March 31, 2014, and we expect to pay Treasury an additional dividend of \$3.7 billion by September 30, 2014.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the SEC’s instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments of a normal recurring nature considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include our accounts as well as the accounts of other entities in which we have a controlling financial interest. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. To conform to our current period presentation, we have reclassified certain amounts reported in our prior periods’ condensed consolidated financial statements. Results for the six months ended June 30, 2014 may not necessarily be indicative of the results for the year ending December 31, 2014. The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2014 should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 (“2013 Form 10-K”), filed with the SEC on February 21, 2014.

Regulatory Capital

FHFA has announced that, during the conservatorship, our existing statutory and FHFA-directed regulatory capital requirements will not be binding and that FHFA will not issue quarterly capital classifications. We submit capital reports to FHFA, and FHFA monitors our capital levels. The deficit of core capital over statutory minimum capital was \$140.0 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$137.3 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Under the terms of the senior preferred stock, we are required to pay Treasury a dividend each quarter, when, as and if declared, equal to the excess of our net worth as of the end of the preceding quarter over an applicable capital reserve amount. The Director of FHFA directs us to make dividend payments on the senior preferred stock on a quarterly basis. Therefore, we do not expect to eliminate our deficit of core capital over statutory minimum capital.

Related Parties

As a result of our issuance to Treasury of the warrant to purchase shares of Fannie Mae common stock equal to 79.9% of the total number of shares of Fannie Mae common stock, we and Treasury are deemed related parties. As of June 30, 2014, Treasury held an investment in our senior preferred stock with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$117.1 billion. FHFA’s control of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac has caused us, FHFA and Freddie Mac to be deemed related parties.

Our administrative expenses were reduced by \$20 million and \$24 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$37 million and \$50 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, due to reimbursements from Treasury and Freddie Mac for expenses incurred as program administrator for Treasury’s Home Affordable Modification Program (“HAMP”) and other initiatives under Treasury’s Making Home Affordable Program.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, we made tax payments of \$2.1 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively, to the Internal Revenue Service, a bureau of Treasury. We made tax payments of \$1.0 billion during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Under the temporary credit and liquidity facilities (“TCLF”) program, we had \$494 million and \$821 million outstanding, which includes principal and interest, of standby credit and liquidity support as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Under the new issue bond (“NIB”) program, we had \$4.4 billion and \$4.5 billion outstanding of pass-through securities backed by single-family and multifamily housing bonds issued by housing finance agencies (“HFAs”) as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Treasury will bear the initial losses of principal under the TCLF program and the NIB program up to 35% of the total original principal on a combined program-wide basis, and thereafter we will bear the losses of principal that are attributable to the TCLF and the securities we have issued. Treasury will also bear any losses of unpaid interest under the two programs. As of June 30, 2014, there had been no losses of principal or interest under the TCLF program or the NIB program.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

The fee revenue and expense related to the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011 (“TCCA”) are recorded in “Mortgage loans interest income” and “TCCA fees,” respectively, in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. We recognized \$335 million and \$233 million in TCCA fees during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$657 million and \$419 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, of which \$335 million have not been remitted to Treasury as of June 30, 2014. During the three months ended June 30, 2014, we remitted TCCA-related guaranty fees of \$322 million to Treasury for our obligations as of March 31, 2014.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we held Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities with a fair value of \$8.0 billion and \$8.7 billion, respectively. We recognized interest income on these securities held by us of \$73 million and \$101 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$151 million and \$212 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In addition, Freddie Mac may be an investor in variable interest entities that we have consolidated, and we may be an investor in variable interest entities that Freddie Mac has consolidated.

The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 authorizes FHFA to establish an annual assessment for regulated entities, including Fannie Mae, which is payable on a semi-annual basis (April and October), for FHFA’s costs and expenses, as well as to maintain FHFA’s working capital. We recognized FHFA assessment fees, which are recorded in “Administrative expenses” in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, of \$26 million and \$27 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$54 million and \$55 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

In March 2013, FHFA announced that a new business entity would be established by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac that would be separate from the two companies in order to further the goal of building a common securitization platform that would function like a market utility. In October 2013, FHFA announced that the new joint venture by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, Common Securitization Solutions, LLC, had been established and that office space for the new entity had been secured. In connection with the entity’s establishment, we entered into a Limited Liability Company Agreement with Freddie Mac in October 2013 and anticipate entering into additional agreements relating to the new joint venture in the future. No other transactions outside of normal business activities occurred between us and Freddie Mac during the six months ended June 30, 2014 or 2013.

Use of Estimates

Preparing condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect our reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the dates of our condensed consolidated financial statements, as well as our reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Management has made significant estimates in a variety of areas including, but not limited to, valuation of certain financial instruments and other assets and liabilities, recoverability of our deferred tax assets, allowance for loan losses and reserve for guaranty losses, and other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities. Actual results could be different from these estimates.

Fee and Other Income

Fee and other income includes transaction fees, technology fees, multifamily fees and other miscellaneous income. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, we recognized \$4.2 billion in “Fee and other income” in our condensed consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income resulting from settlement agreements resolving certain lawsuits relating to private-label securities sold to us.

Statement of Cash Flows

We classify cash flows related to trading securities based on their nature and purpose. Effective January 1, 2014, all cash flows related to trading securities purchased after December 31, 2013 are classified as operating activities as we do not intend to hold these securities for investment.

Adoption of New Accounting Guidance

In January 2014, the FASB issued guidance clarifying when a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralized by a consumer mortgage loan in order to reduce diversity in practice for when a

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

creditor derecognizes the loan receivable and recognizes the real estate property. The guidance also requires interim and annual disclosure of the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure. The new guidance is effective for us on January 1, 2015. We do not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued their final standard on revenue from contracts with customers. The standard outlines a single model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance in an effort to remove inconsistencies in revenue recognition standards, improve comparability across entities, provide enhanced disclosures related to revenue recognition and to converge with the jointly issued International Financial Reporting Standards revenue recognition guidance. The following contracts with customers are excluded from the scope of the new standard and will continue to be accounted for under existing guidance: leases, insurance, financial instruments (e.g., receivables, investments, liabilities, debt and derivatives) and guarantees. The new guidance is effective for us on January 1, 2017. We are evaluating this guidance and have not determined the impact, if any, on our consolidated financial statements of adopting this guidance.

2. Consolidations and Transfers of Financial Assets

We have interests in various entities that are considered to be variable interest entities (“VIEs”). The primary types of entities are securitization trusts guaranteed by us via lender swap and portfolio securitization transactions, mortgage and asset-backed trusts that were not created by us, as well as housing partnerships that are established to finance the acquisition, construction, development or rehabilitation of affordable multifamily and single-family housing. These interests include investments in securities issued by VIEs, such as Fannie Mae MBS created pursuant to our securitization transactions and our guaranty to the entity. We consolidate the substantial majority of our single-class securitization trusts because our role as guarantor and master servicer provides us with the power to direct matters (primarily the servicing of mortgage loans) that impact the credit risk to which we are exposed. In contrast, we do not consolidate single-class securitization trusts when other organizations have the power to direct these activities.

We continually assess whether we are the primary beneficiary of the VIEs with which we are involved and therefore may consolidate or deconsolidate a VIE through the duration of our involvement. Examples of certain events that may change whether or not we consolidate the VIE include a change in the design of the entity or a change in our ownership in the entity such that we no longer hold substantially all of the certificates issued by a multi-class securitization trust. As of June 30, 2014, we consolidated certain VIEs that were not consolidated as of December 31, 2013. As a result of consolidating these entities, which had combined total assets of \$381 million in unpaid principal balance as of June 30, 2014, we derecognized our investment in these entities and recognized the assets and liabilities of the consolidated entities at fair value. As of June 30, 2014, we also deconsolidated certain VIEs that were consolidated as of December 31, 2013. As a result of deconsolidating these entities, which had combined total assets of \$316 million in unpaid principal balance as of December 31, 2013, we derecognized the assets and liabilities of the entities and recognized at fair value our retained interests as securities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Unconsolidated VIEs

We do not consolidate VIEs when we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary. Our unconsolidated VIEs include securitization trusts and limited partnerships. The following table displays the carrying amount and classification of our assets and liabilities that relate to our involvement with unconsolidated mortgage-backed trusts as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, as well as our maximum exposure to loss and the total assets of these unconsolidated mortgage-backed trusts.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| | As of | |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Assets and liabilities recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets related to mortgage-backed trusts: | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Trading securities: | | |
| Fannie Mae securities | \$ 5,514 | \$ 5,660 |
| Non-Fannie Mae securities | 7,834 | 8,559 |
| Total trading securities | 13,348 | 14,219 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Fannie Mae securities | 5,462 | 5,866 |
| Non-Fannie Mae securities | 24,981 | 27,441 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 30,443 | 33,307 |
| Other assets | 118 | 119 |
| Other liabilities | (1,600) | (1,668) |
| Net carrying amount | \$ 42,309 | \$ 45,977 |
| Maximum exposure to loss ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 49,596 | \$ 54,148 |
| Total assets of unconsolidated mortgage-backed trusts | \$ 284,852 | \$ 313,202 |

⁽¹⁾ Our maximum exposure to loss generally represents the greater of our recorded investment in the entity or the unpaid principal balance of the assets covered by our guaranty. However, our securities issued by Fannie Mae multi-class resecuritization trusts that are not consolidated do not give rise to any additional exposure to loss as we already consolidate the underlying collateral.

Additionally, our maximum exposure to loss related to our involvement with limited partnership investments was \$20 million and \$14 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The total assets of these unconsolidated limited partnership investments were \$6.3 billion and \$6.8 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Transfers of Financial Assets

We issue Fannie Mae MBS through portfolio securitization transactions by transferring pools of mortgage loans or mortgage-related securities to one or more trusts or special purpose entities. We are considered to be the transferor when we transfer assets from our own retained mortgage portfolio in a portfolio securitization transaction. For the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the unpaid principal balance of portfolio securitizations was \$36.4 billion and \$62.5 billion, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the unpaid principal balance of portfolio securitizations was \$68.9 billion and \$131.8 billion, respectively.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

The following table displays some key characteristics of the securities retained in unconsolidated portfolio securitization trusts as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | Fannie Mae Single- class MBS & Fannie Megas | REMICs & SMBS ⁽¹⁾ |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| As of June 30, 2014 | | |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 314 | \$ 6,664 |
| Fair value | 350 | 7,878 |
| Weighted-average coupon | 6.19 % | 5.30 % |
| Weighted-average loan age | 7.9 years | 5.7 years |
| Weighted-average maturity | 21.0 years | 11.1 years |
| As of December 31, 2013 | | |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 349 | \$ 6,899 |
| Fair value | 383 | 7,959 |
| Weighted-average coupon | 6.21 % | 5.36 % |
| Weighted-average loan age | 7.4 years | 5.4 years |
| Weighted-average maturity | 21.5 years | 12.6 years |

⁽¹⁾ Consists of real estate mortgage investment conduits (“REMICs”) and stripped mortgage-backed securities (“SMBS”).

For the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the principal and interest received on retained interests was \$373 million and \$469 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the principal and interest received on retained interests was \$713 million and \$928 million, respectively.

Managed Loans

Managed loans are on-balance sheet mortgage loans, as well as mortgage loans that we have securitized in unconsolidated portfolio securitization trusts. The unpaid principal balance of securitized loans in unconsolidated portfolio securitization trusts, which are primarily loans that are guaranteed or insured, in whole or in part, by the U.S. government, was \$2.0 billion and \$2.1 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. For information on our on-balance sheet mortgage loans, see “Note 3, Mortgage Loans.”

Qualifying Sales of Portfolio Securitizations

We consolidate the substantial majority of our single-class MBS trusts; therefore, these portfolio securitization transactions do not qualify for sale treatment. The assets and liabilities of consolidated trusts created via portfolio securitization transactions that do not qualify as sales are reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

We recognize assets obtained and liabilities incurred in qualifying sales of portfolio securitizations at fair value. There were no proceeds from the initial sale of securities from portfolio securitizations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. Proceeds from the initial sale of securities from portfolio securitizations were \$75 million and \$251 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. Our continuing involvement in the form of guaranty assets and guaranty liabilities with assets that were transferred into unconsolidated trusts is not material to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

3. Mortgage Loans

The following table displays our mortgage loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
| | Of Fannie Mae | Of Consolidated Trusts | Total | Of Fannie Mae | Of Consolidated Trusts | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Single-family | \$ 268,114 | \$ 2,561,871 | \$ 2,829,985 | \$ 276,644 | \$ 2,579,024 | \$ 2,855,668 |
| Multifamily | 30,259 | 151,036 | 181,295 | 37,642 | 146,249 | 183,891 |
| Total unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans | 298,373 | 2,712,907 | 3,011,280 | 314,286 | 2,725,273 | 3,039,559 |
| Cost basis and fair value adjustments, net | (13,164) | 45,737 | 32,573 | (13,778) | 44,305 | 30,527 |
| Allowance for loan losses for loans held for investment | (36,643) | (2,424) | (39,067) | (40,521) | (3,325) | (43,846) |
| Total mortgage loans | <u>\$ 248,566</u> | <u>\$ 2,756,220</u> | <u>\$ 3,004,786</u> | <u>\$ 259,987</u> | <u>\$ 2,766,253</u> | <u>\$ 3,026,240</u> |

During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, we redesignated loans with a carrying value of \$19 million and \$74 million, respectively, from held for investment (“HFI”) to held for sale (“HFS”). During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, we redesignated loans with a carrying value of \$2.2 billion and \$74 million, respectively, from HFI to HFS. We sold loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$862 million and \$1.9 billion during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively.

Nonaccrual Loans

We discontinue accruing interest on loans when we believe collectibility of principal or interest is not reasonably assured, which for single-family loans we have determined, based on our historical experience, to be when the loan becomes two months or more past due according to its contractual terms. We generally place multifamily loans on nonaccrual status when the loan is deemed to be individually impaired, unless the loan is well secured such that collectibility of principal and accrued interest is reasonably assured.

When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, interest previously accrued but not collected becomes part of our recorded investment in the loan and is reviewed for impairment in connection with our allowance for loan losses process. For single-family loans, we recognize interest income for loans on nonaccrual status when cash is received. For multifamily loans on nonaccrual status, we apply any payment received on a cost recovery basis to reduce principal on the mortgage loan.

We return a single-family loan to accrual status at the point that the borrower has made sufficient payments to reduce their delinquency below our nonaccrual threshold. For modified single-family loans, the loan is not returned to accrual status until the borrower successfully makes all required payments during the trial period (generally three to four months) and the modification is made permanent. We generally return a multifamily loan to accrual status when the borrower cures the delinquency of the loan or we otherwise determine that the loan is well secured such that collectibility is reasonably assured.

Aging Analysis

The following tables display an aging analysis of the total recorded investment in our HFI mortgage loans, excluding loans for which we have elected the fair value option, by portfolio segment and class as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

As of June 30, 2014⁽¹⁾

| | 30 - 59 Days Delinquent | 60 - 89 Days Delinquent | Seriously Delinquent ⁽²⁾ | Total Delinquent | Current | Total | Recorded Investment in Loans 90 Days or More Delinquent and Accruing Interest | Recorded Investment in Nonaccrual Loans |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------|--------------|--------------|---|--|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | | | |
| Primary ⁽³⁾ | \$ 29,122 | \$ 8,323 | \$ 41,458 | \$ 78,903 | \$ 2,560,063 | \$ 2,638,966 | \$ 57 | \$ 49,689 |
| Government ⁽⁴⁾ | 63 | 24 | 330 | 417 | 46,586 | 47,003 | 330 | — |
| Alt-A | 4,227 | 1,469 | 13,220 | 18,916 | 100,616 | 119,532 | 9 | 14,677 |
| Other ⁽⁵⁾ | 1,678 | 562 | 4,387 | 6,627 | 42,225 | 48,852 | 18 | 4,899 |
| Total single-family | 35,090 | 10,378 | 59,395 | 104,863 | 2,749,490 | 2,854,353 | 414 | 69,265 |
| Multifamily ⁽⁶⁾ | 59 | N/A | 172 | 231 | 182,715 | 182,946 | — | 1,617 |
| Total | \$ 35,149 | \$ 10,378 | \$ 59,567 | \$ 105,094 | \$ 2,932,205 | \$ 3,037,299 | \$ 414 | \$ 70,882 |

As of December 31, 2013⁽¹⁾

| | 30 - 59 Days Delinquent | 60 - 89 Days Delinquent | Seriously Delinquent ⁽²⁾ | Total Delinquent | Current | Total | Recorded Investment in Loans 90 Days or More Delinquent and Accruing Interest | Recorded Investment in Nonaccrual Loans |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------|--------------|--------------|---|--|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | | | |
| Primary ⁽³⁾ | \$ 32,371 | \$ 9,755 | \$ 48,345 | \$ 90,471 | \$ 2,558,826 | \$ 2,649,297 | \$ 81 | \$ 57,973 |
| Government ⁽⁴⁾ | 66 | 32 | 346 | 444 | 48,150 | 48,594 | 346 | — |
| Alt-A | 4,748 | 1,692 | 15,425 | 21,865 | 105,644 | 127,509 | 11 | 17,102 |
| Other ⁽⁵⁾ | 1,940 | 659 | 5,404 | 8,003 | 45,288 | 53,291 | 22 | 5,999 |
| Total single-family | 39,125 | 12,138 | 69,520 | 120,783 | 2,757,908 | 2,878,691 | 460 | 81,074 |
| Multifamily ⁽⁶⁾ | 59 | N/A | 186 | 245 | 185,733 | 185,978 | — | 2,209 |
| Total | \$ 39,184 | \$ 12,138 | \$ 69,706 | \$ 121,028 | \$ 2,943,641 | \$ 3,064,669 | \$ 460 | \$ 83,283 |

(1) Recorded investment consists of unpaid principal balance, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments, and accrued interest receivable.

(2) Single-family seriously delinquent loans are loans that are 90 days or more past due or in the foreclosure process. Multifamily seriously delinquent loans are loans that are 60 days or more past due.

(3) Consists of mortgage loans that are not included in other loan classes.

(4) Consists of mortgage loans guaranteed or insured, in whole or in part, by the U.S. government or one of its agencies that are not Alt-A. Primarily consists of reverse mortgages which, due to their nature, are not aged and are included in the current column.

(5) Includes loans with higher-risk characteristics, such as interest-only loans and negative-amortizing loans, that are neither government nor Alt-A.

(6) Multifamily loans 60-89 days delinquent are included in the seriously delinquent column.

Credit Quality Indicators

The following table displays the total recorded investment in our single-family HFI loans, excluding loans for which we have elected the fair value option, by class and credit quality indicator as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The single-family credit quality indicator is based on available data through the end of each period presented.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| | As of | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | |
| | Primary ⁽³⁾ | Alt-A | Other ⁽⁴⁾ | Primary ⁽³⁾ | Alt-A | Other ⁽⁴⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Estimated mark-to-market loan-to-value ratio: ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | | | |
| Less than or equal to 80% | \$ 2,135,261 | \$ 62,455 | \$ 24,320 | \$ 2,073,079 | \$ 61,670 | \$ 24,112 |
| Greater than 80% and less than or equal to 90% | 256,754 | 15,978 | 6,494 | 276,011 | 16,794 | 6,947 |
| Greater than 90% and less than or equal to 100% | 131,666 | 13,366 | 5,760 | 153,474 | 14,709 | 6,402 |
| Greater than 100% and less than or equal to 110% | 49,148 | 9,783 | 4,327 | 59,630 | 11,006 | 5,146 |
| Greater than 110% and less than or equal to 120% | 27,280 | 6,651 | 3,069 | 33,954 | 7,742 | 3,691 |
| Greater than 120% and less than or equal to 125% | 8,908 | 2,418 | 1,054 | 11,256 | 2,951 | 1,406 |
| Greater than 125% | 29,949 | 8,881 | 3,828 | 41,893 | 12,637 | 5,587 |
| Total | <u>\$ 2,638,966</u> | <u>\$ 119,532</u> | <u>\$ 48,852</u> | <u>\$ 2,649,297</u> | <u>\$ 127,509</u> | <u>\$ 53,291</u> |

(1) Recorded investment consists of unpaid principal balance, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments, and accrued interest receivable.

(2) Excludes \$47.0 billion and \$48.6 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, of mortgage loans guaranteed or insured, in whole or in part, by the U.S. government or one of its agencies that are not Alt-A loans. The segment class is primarily reverse mortgages for which we do not calculate an estimated mark-to-market LTV ratio.

(3) Consists of mortgage loans that are not included in other loan classes.

(4) Includes loans with higher-risk characteristics, such as interest-only loans and negative-amortizing loans, that are neither government nor Alt-A.

(5) The aggregate estimated mark-to-market LTV ratio is based on the unpaid principal balance of the loan as of the end of each reported period divided by the estimated current value of the property, which we calculate using an internal valuation model that estimates periodic changes in home value.

The following table displays the total recorded investment in our multifamily HFI loans, excluding loans for which we have elected the fair value option, by credit quality indicator as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The multifamily credit quality indicator is based on available data through the end of each period presented.

| | As of | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Credit risk profile by internally assigned grade: ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Pass | \$ 174,656 | \$ 176,528 |
| Special Mention | 2,523 | 2,234 |
| Substandard | 5,346 | 6,758 |
| Doubtful | 421 | 458 |
| Total | <u>\$ 182,946</u> | <u>\$ 185,978</u> |

(1) Recorded investment consists of unpaid principal balance, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments, and accrued interest receivable.

(2) Pass (loan is current and adequately protected by the current financial strength and debt service capacity of the borrower); special mention (loan with signs of potential weakness); substandard (loan with a well defined weakness that jeopardizes timely full repayment); and doubtful (loan with a weakness that makes collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable based on existing conditions and values).

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Individually Impaired Loans

Individually impaired loans include troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”), acquired credit-impaired loans and multifamily loans that we have assessed as probable that we will not collect all contractual amounts due, regardless of whether we are currently accruing interest. The following tables display the total unpaid principal balance, recorded investment and related allowance as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and interest income recognized and average recorded investment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, for individually impaired loans.

| | As of | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | | December 31, 2013 | | | |
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Total Recorded Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Related Allowance for Loan Losses | Related Allowance for Accrued Interest Receivable | Unpaid Principal Balance | Total Recorded Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Related Allowance for Loan Losses | Related Allowance for Accrued Interest Receivable |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Individually impaired loans: | | | | | | | | |
| With related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | \$ 128,363 | \$ 122,031 | \$ 22,370 | \$ 363 | \$ 130,080 | \$ 123,631 | \$ 24,145 | \$ 430 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 279 | 283 | 42 | 12 | 213 | 210 | 35 | 5 |
| Alt-A | 36,695 | 33,817 | 8,673 | 160 | 37,356 | 34,479 | 9,364 | 187 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 15,323 | 14,541 | 3,539 | 46 | 15,789 | 15,023 | 3,879 | 56 |
| Total single-family | 180,660 | 170,672 | 34,624 | 581 | 183,438 | 173,343 | 37,423 | 678 |
| Multifamily | 1,715 | 1,729 | 249 | 8 | 2,257 | 2,276 | 306 | 10 |
| Total individually impaired loans with related allowance recorded | 182,375 | 172,401 | 34,873 | 589 | 185,695 | 175,619 | 37,729 | 688 |
| With no related allowance recorded: ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | | | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | 15,531 | 14,013 | — | — | 14,076 | 12,305 | — | — |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 61 | 56 | — | — | 120 | 120 | — | — |
| Alt-A | 3,476 | 2,705 | — | — | 3,290 | 2,428 | — | — |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,099 | 949 | — | — | 1,039 | 868 | — | — |
| Total single-family | 20,167 | 17,723 | — | — | 18,525 | 15,721 | — | — |
| Multifamily | 1,613 | 1,622 | — | — | 1,927 | 1,939 | — | — |
| Total individually impaired loans with no related allowance recorded | 21,780 | 19,345 | — | — | 20,452 | 17,660 | — | — |
| Total individually impaired loans ⁽⁶⁾ | <u>\$ 204,155</u> | <u>\$ 191,746</u> | <u>\$ 34,873</u> | <u>\$ 589</u> | <u>\$ 206,147</u> | <u>\$ 193,279</u> | <u>\$ 37,729</u> | <u>\$ 688</u> |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended June 30,

| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | Average Recorded Investment | Total Interest Income Recognized ⁽⁷⁾ | Interest Income Recognized on a Cash Basis | Average Recorded Investment | Total Interest Income Recognized ⁽⁷⁾ | Interest Income Recognized on a Cash Basis |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Individually impaired loans: | | | | | | |
| With related allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | \$ 122,791 | \$ 1,093 | \$ 121 | \$ 125,689 | \$ 1,093 | \$ 152 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 281 | 3 | — | 214 | 2 | — |
| Alt-A | 34,029 | 267 | 22 | 35,376 | 275 | 35 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 14,669 | 102 | 9 | 15,700 | 108 | 15 |
| Total single-family | 171,770 | 1,465 | 152 | 176,979 | 1,478 | 202 |
| Multifamily | 1,813 | 23 | — | 2,704 | 36 | 1 |
| Total individually impaired loans with related allowance recorded | 173,583 | 1,488 | 152 | 179,683 | 1,514 | 203 |
| With no related allowance recorded: ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | 13,413 | 205 | 53 | 10,301 | 283 | 57 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 56 | 2 | — | 112 | 2 | — |
| Alt-A | 2,636 | 43 | 10 | 1,972 | 55 | 12 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 927 | 13 | 3 | 661 | 23 | 5 |
| Total single-family | 17,032 | 263 | 66 | 13,046 | 363 | 74 |
| Multifamily | 1,668 | 20 | — | 1,666 | 25 | — |
| Total individually impaired loans with no related allowance recorded | 18,700 | 283 | 66 | 14,712 | 388 | 74 |
| Total individually impaired loans ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 192,283 | \$ 1,771 | \$ 218 | \$ 194,395 | \$ 1,902 | \$ 277 |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

For the Six Months Ended June 30,

| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | Average Recorded Investment | Total Interest Income Recognized ⁽⁷⁾ | Interest Income Recognized on a Cash Basis | Average Recorded Investment | Total Interest Income Recognized ⁽⁷⁾ | Interest Income Recognized on a Cash Basis |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Individually impaired loans: | | | | | | |
| With related allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | \$ 123,066 | \$ 2,187 | \$ 261 | \$ 125,663 | \$ 2,195 | \$ 325 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 257 | 6 | — | 211 | 5 | — |
| Alt-A | 34,178 | 537 | 50 | 35,422 | 552 | 74 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 14,787 | 205 | 20 | 15,830 | 217 | 29 |
| Total single-family | 172,288 | 2,935 | 331 | 177,126 | 2,969 | 428 |
| Multifamily | 1,967 | 46 | — | 2,628 | 67 | 1 |
| Total individually impaired loans with related allowance recorded | 174,255 | 2,981 | 331 | 179,754 | 3,036 | 429 |
| With no related allowance recorded: ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | 13,055 | 390 | 101 | 10,688 | 924 | 116 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 76 | 3 | — | 110 | 4 | — |
| Alt-A | 2,576 | 84 | 20 | 2,049 | 230 | 22 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 910 | 24 | 5 | 671 | 86 | 10 |
| Total single-family | 16,617 | 501 | 126 | 13,518 | 1,244 | 148 |
| Multifamily | 1,758 | 40 | — | 1,800 | 47 | 1 |
| Total individually impaired loans with no related allowance recorded | 18,375 | 541 | 126 | 15,318 | 1,291 | 149 |
| Total individually impaired loans ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 192,630 | \$ 3,522 | \$ 457 | \$ 195,072 | \$ 4,327 | \$ 578 |

(1) Recorded investment consists of unpaid principal balance, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments, and accrued interest receivable.

(2) Consists of mortgage loans that are not included in other loan classes.

(3) Consists of mortgage loans guaranteed or insured, in whole or in part, by the U.S. government or one of its agencies that are not Alt-A.

(4) Includes loans with higher-risk characteristics, such as interest-only loans and negative-amortizing loans, that are neither government nor Alt-A.

(5) The discounted cash flows or collateral value equals or exceeds the carrying value of the loan and, as such, no valuation allowance is required.

(6) Includes single-family loans restructured in a TDR with a recorded investment of \$187.1 billion and \$187.6 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Includes multifamily loans restructured in a TDR with a recorded investment of \$880 million and \$911 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

(7) Total single-family interest income recognized of \$1.7 billion and \$1.8 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, consists of \$1.4 billion of contractual interest for both periods and \$285 million and \$410 million of effective yield adjustments, respectively. Total single-family interest income recognized of \$3.4 billion and \$4.2 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, consists of \$2.9 billion of contractual interest for both periods and \$560 million and \$1.3 billion of effective yield adjustments, respectively.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Troubled Debt Restructurings

A modification to the contractual terms of a loan that results in granting a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties is considered a TDR. In addition to formal loan modifications, we also engage in other loss mitigation activities with troubled borrowers, which include repayment plans and forbearance arrangements, both of which represent informal agreements with the borrower that do not result in the legal modification of the loan's contractual terms. We account for these informal restructurings as a TDR if we defer more than three missed payments. We also classify as TDRs loans to certain borrowers who have received bankruptcy relief.

The substantial majority of the loan modifications we complete result in term extensions, interest rate reductions or a combination of both. During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the average term extension of a single-family modified loan was 162 months and 154 months, respectively, and the average interest rate reduction was 0.99 and 1.70 percentage points, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the average term extension of a single-family modified loan was 161 months and 151 months, respectively, and the average interest rate reduction was 1.12 and 1.76 percentage points, respectively.

The following table displays the number of loans and recorded investment in loans restructured in a TDR for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment⁽¹⁾ | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment⁽¹⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | 24,932 | \$ 3,564 | 31,304 | \$ 4,833 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 111 | 13 | 90 | 10 |
| Alt-A | 3,660 | 614 | 5,175 | 947 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 872 | 179 | 1,641 | 370 |
| Total single-family | 29,575 | 4,370 | 38,210 | 6,160 |
| Multifamily | 3 | 4 | 17 | 135 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | 29,578 | \$ 4,374 | 38,227 | \$ 6,295 |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment⁽¹⁾ | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment⁽¹⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | 53,774 | \$ 7,674 | 69,555 | \$ 10,477 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 173 | 21 | 180 | 21 |
| Alt-A | 8,056 | 1,354 | 12,285 | 2,170 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,910 | 398 | 3,698 | 822 |
| Total single-family | 63,913 | 9,447 | 85,718 | 13,490 |
| Multifamily | 9 | 38 | 25 | 168 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | 63,922 | \$ 9,485 | 85,743 | \$ 13,658 |

⁽¹⁾ Recorded investment consists of unpaid principal balance, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments, and accrued interest receivable. Based on the nature of our modification programs, which do not include principal or past-due interest

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

forgiveness, there is not a material difference between the recorded investment in our loans pre- and post- modification, therefore amounts represent recorded investment post-modification.

(2) Consists of mortgage loans that are not included in other loan classes.

(3) Consists of mortgage loans guaranteed or insured, in whole or in part, by the U.S. government or one of its agencies that are not Alt-A.

(4) Includes loans with higher-risk characteristics, such as interest-only loans and negative-amortizing loans, that are neither government nor Alt-A.

The following table displays the number of loans and recorded investment in loans that had a payment default for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 and were restructured in a TDR in the twelve months prior to the payment default. For purposes of this disclosure, we define loans that had a payment default as: single-family and multifamily loans with completed TDRs that liquidated during the period, either through foreclosure, deed-in-lieu of foreclosure or a short sale; single-family loans with completed modifications that are two or more months delinquent during the period; or multifamily loans with completed modifications that are one or more months delinquent during the period.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment ⁽¹⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | 8,190 | \$ 1,251 | 11,320 | \$ 1,749 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 18 | 1 | 31 | 4 |
| Alt-A | 1,396 | 252 | 2,584 | 466 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 420 | 89 | 852 | 195 |
| Total single-family | <u>10,024</u> | <u>1,593</u> | <u>14,787</u> | <u>2,414</u> |
| Multifamily | <u>1</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>5</u> |
| Total TDRs that subsequently defaulted | <u><u>10,025</u></u> | <u><u>\$ 1,596</u></u> | <u><u>14,790</u></u> | <u><u>\$ 2,419</u></u> |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment ⁽¹⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Single-family: | | | | |
| Primary ⁽²⁾ | 16,788 | \$ 2,561 | 23,380 | \$ 3,616 |
| Government ⁽³⁾ | 36 | 3 | 60 | 8 |
| Alt-A | 2,840 | 512 | 5,256 | 950 |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 924 | 204 | 1,675 | 380 |
| Total single-family | <u>20,588</u> | <u>3,280</u> | <u>30,371</u> | <u>4,954</u> |
| Multifamily | <u>5</u> | <u>17</u> | <u>6</u> | <u>20</u> |
| Total TDRs that subsequently defaulted | <u><u>20,593</u></u> | <u><u>\$ 3,297</u></u> | <u><u>30,377</u></u> | <u><u>\$ 4,974</u></u> |

(1) Recorded investment consists of unpaid principal balance, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments, and accrued interest receivable. Represents our recorded investment in the loan at time of payment default.

(2) Consists of mortgage loans that are not included in other loan classes.

(3) Consists of mortgage loans guaranteed or insured, in whole or in part, by the U.S. government or one of its agencies that are not Alt-A.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

⁽⁴⁾ Includes loans with higher-risk characteristics, such as interest-only loans and negative-amortizing loans, that are neither government nor Alt-A.

4. Allowance for Loan Losses

Our allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance that reflects an estimate of incurred credit losses related to our recorded investment in both single-family and multifamily HFI loans. This population includes both HFI loans held by Fannie Mae and by consolidated Fannie Mae MBS trusts. When calculating our allowance for loan losses, we consider only our net recorded investment in the loan at the balance sheet date, which includes the loan's unpaid principal balance and accrued interest recognized while the loan was on accrual status and any applicable cost basis adjustments. We record charge-offs as a reduction to the allowance for loan losses when losses are confirmed through the receipt of assets in full satisfaction of a loan, such as the underlying collateral upon foreclosure or cash upon completion of a short sale.

We aggregate single-family HFI loans that are not individually impaired based on similar risk characteristics, for purposes of estimating incurred credit losses and establishing a collective single-family loss reserve using an econometric model that derives an overall loss reserve estimate. We base our allowance methodology on historical events and trends, such as loss severity (in event of default), default rates, and recoveries from mortgage insurance contracts and other credit enhancements. In addition, management performs a review of the observable data used in its estimate to ensure it is representative of prevailing economic conditions and other events existing as of the balance sheet date.

Individually impaired single-family loans currently include those restructured in a TDR and acquired credit-impaired loans. When a loan has been restructured, we measure impairment using a cash flow analysis discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. However, if we expect to recover our recorded investment in an individually impaired loan through probable foreclosure of the underlying collateral, we measure impairment based on the fair value of the collateral, reduced by estimated disposal costs and adjusted for estimated proceeds from mortgage, flood, or hazard insurance and other credit enhancements.

We identify multifamily loans for evaluation for impairment through a credit risk assessment process. If we determine that a multifamily loan is individually impaired, we generally measure impairment on that loan based on the fair value of the underlying collateral less estimated costs to sell the property. If we determine that an individual loan that was specifically evaluated for impairment is not individually impaired, we include the loan as part of a pool of loans with similar characteristics that are evaluated collectively for incurred losses.

The following table displays changes in single-family, multifamily and total allowance for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended June 30,

| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
|--|------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | Of Fannie Mae | Of Consolidated Trusts | Total | Of Fannie Mae | Of Consolidated Trusts | Total |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Single-family allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 38,746 | \$ 2,702 | \$ 41,448 | \$ 48,967 | \$ 6,534 | \$ 55,501 |
| Benefit for loan losses ⁽¹⁾ | (1,288) | (240) | (1,528) | (4,098) | (1,330) | (5,428) |
| Charge-offs ⁽²⁾ | (1,861) | (42) | (1,903) | (2,015) | (137) | (2,152) |
| Recoveries | 311 | 147 | 458 | 466 | 106 | 572 |
| Transfers ⁽³⁾ | 337 | (337) | — | 768 | (768) | — |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 155 | 13 | 168 | 244 | 33 | 277 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 36,400</u> | <u>\$ 2,243</u> | <u>\$ 38,643</u> | <u>\$ 44,332</u> | <u>\$ 4,438</u> | <u>\$ 48,770</u> |
| Multifamily allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 258 | \$ 205 | \$ 463 | \$ 586 | \$ 374 | \$ 960 |
| (Benefit) provision for loan losses ⁽¹⁾ | (8) | (22) | (30) | (36) | 15 | (21) |
| Charge-offs ⁽²⁾ | (8) | — | (8) | (66) | — | (66) |
| Transfers ⁽³⁾ | 2 | (2) | — | 8 | (8) | — |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | (1) | — | (1) | 1 | (1) | — |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 243</u> | <u>\$ 181</u> | <u>\$ 424</u> | <u>\$ 493</u> | <u>\$ 380</u> | <u>\$ 873</u> |
| Total allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 39,004 | \$ 2,907 | \$ 41,911 | \$ 49,553 | \$ 6,908 | \$ 56,461 |
| Benefit for loan losses ⁽¹⁾ | (1,296) | (262) | (1,558) | (4,134) | (1,315) | (5,449) |
| Charge-offs ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾ | (1,869) | (42) | (1,911) | (2,081) | (137) | (2,218) |
| Recoveries | 311 | 147 | 458 | 466 | 106 | 572 |
| Transfers ⁽³⁾ | 339 | (339) | — | 776 | (776) | — |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 154 | 13 | 167 | 245 | 32 | 277 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 36,643</u> | <u>\$ 2,424</u> | <u>\$ 39,067</u> | <u>\$ 44,825</u> | <u>\$ 4,818</u> | <u>\$ 49,643</u> |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
| | Of Fannie Mae | Of Consolidated Trusts | Total | Of Fannie Mae | Of Consolidated Trusts | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Single-family allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 40,202 | \$ 3,105 | \$ 43,307 | \$ 49,848 | \$ 7,839 | \$ 57,687 |
| Benefit for loan losses ⁽¹⁾ | (2,170) | (202) | (2,372) | (4,534) | (1,733) | (6,267) |
| Charge-offs ⁽²⁾ | (3,308) | (143) | (3,451) | (4,685) | (186) | (4,871) |
| Recoveries | 631 | 218 | 849 | 1,493 | 351 | 1,844 |
| Transfers ⁽³⁾ | 757 | (757) | — | 1,891 | (1,891) | — |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 288 | 22 | 310 | 319 | 58 | 377 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 36,400</u> | <u>\$ 2,243</u> | <u>\$ 38,643</u> | <u>\$ 44,332</u> | <u>\$ 4,438</u> | <u>\$ 48,770</u> |
| Multifamily allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 319 | \$ 220 | \$ 539 | \$ 671 | \$ 437 | \$ 1,108 |
| Benefit for loan losses ⁽¹⁾ | (20) | (38) | (58) | (127) | (39) | (166) |
| Charge-offs ⁽²⁾ | (59) | — | (59) | (67) | — | (67) |
| Transfers ⁽³⁾ | 2 | (2) | — | 17 | (17) | — |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 1 | 1 | 2 | (1) | (1) | (2) |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 243</u> | <u>\$ 181</u> | <u>\$ 424</u> | <u>\$ 493</u> | <u>\$ 380</u> | <u>\$ 873</u> |
| Total allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 40,521 | \$ 3,325 | \$ 43,846 | \$ 50,519 | \$ 8,276 | \$ 58,795 |
| Benefit for loan losses ⁽¹⁾ | (2,190) | (240) | (2,430) | (4,661) | (1,772) | (6,433) |
| Charge-offs ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾ | (3,367) | (143) | (3,510) | (4,752) | (186) | (4,938) |
| Recoveries | 631 | 218 | 849 | 1,493 | 351 | 1,844 |
| Transfers ⁽³⁾ | 759 | (759) | — | 1,908 | (1,908) | — |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 289 | 23 | 312 | 318 | 57 | 375 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 36,643</u> | <u>\$ 2,424</u> | <u>\$ 39,067</u> | <u>\$ 44,825</u> | <u>\$ 4,818</u> | <u>\$ 49,643</u> |

⁽¹⁾ (Benefit) provision for loan losses is included in "Benefit for credit losses" in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

⁽²⁾ While we purchase the substantial majority of loans that are four or more months delinquent from our MBS trusts, we do not exercise this option to purchase loans during a forbearance period. Charge-offs of consolidated trusts generally represent loans that remained in our consolidated trusts at the time of default.

⁽³⁾ Includes transfers from trusts for delinquent loan purchases.

⁽⁴⁾ Amounts represent the net activity recorded in our allowances for accrued interest receivable and preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivable from borrowers. The (benefit) provision for loan losses, charge-offs, recoveries and transfer activity included in this table reflect all changes for both the allowance for loan losses and the valuation allowances for accrued interest and preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivable that relate to the mortgage loans.

⁽⁵⁾ Total charge-offs include accrued interest of \$75 million and \$122 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$169 million and \$237 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

As of June 30, 2014, the allowance for accrued interest receivable for loans of Fannie Mae was \$863 million and for loans of consolidated trusts was \$81 million. As of December 31, 2013, the allowance for accrued interest receivable for loans of Fannie Mae was \$1.1 billion and for loans of consolidated trusts was \$104 million.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

The following table displays the allowance for loan losses and total recorded investment in our HFI loans, excluding loans for which we have elected the fair value option, by impairment or reserve methodology and portfolio segment as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
| | Single-Family | Multifamily | Total | Single-Family | Multifamily | Total |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses by segment: | | | | | | |
| Individually impaired loans ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 34,624 | \$ 249 | \$ 34,873 | \$ 37,423 | \$ 306 | \$ 37,729 |
| Collectively reserved loans | 4,019 | 175 | 4,194 | 5,884 | 233 | 6,117 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | <u>\$ 38,643</u> | <u>\$ 424</u> | <u>\$ 39,067</u> | <u>\$ 43,307</u> | <u>\$ 539</u> | <u>\$ 43,846</u> |
| Recorded investment in loans by segment:⁽²⁾ | | | | | | |
| Individually impaired loans ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 188,395 | \$ 3,351 | \$ 191,746 | \$ 189,064 | \$ 4,215 | \$ 193,279 |
| Collectively reserved loans | 2,665,958 | 179,595 | 2,845,553 | 2,689,627 | 181,763 | 2,871,390 |
| Total recorded investment in loans | <u>\$ 2,854,353</u> | <u>\$ 182,946</u> | <u>\$ 3,037,299</u> | <u>\$ 2,878,691</u> | <u>\$ 185,978</u> | <u>\$ 3,064,669</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Includes acquired credit-impaired loans.

⁽²⁾ Recorded investment consists of unpaid principal balance, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments, and accrued interest receivable.

5. Investments in Securities

Trading Securities

Trading securities are recorded at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recorded as "Fair value (losses) gains, net" in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The following table displays our investments in trading securities as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 5,686 | \$ 5,870 |
| Freddie Mac | 1,766 | 1,839 |
| Ginnie Mae | 234 | 407 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 1,194 | 1,516 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 1,282 | 1,448 |
| CMBS | 2,641 | 2,718 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 643 | 565 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | 101 | 99 |
| Total mortgage-related securities | <u>13,547</u> | <u>14,462</u> |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 13,083 | 16,306 |
| Total trading securities | <u>\$ 26,630</u> | <u>\$ 30,768</u> |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

The following table displays information about our net trading gains and losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three | | For the Six | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | Months Ended | | Months Ended | |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Net trading gains (losses) | \$ 249 | \$ (228) | \$ 394 | \$ 168 |
| Net trading gains (losses) recognized in the period related to securities still held at period end | 233 | (273) | 353 | 125 |

Available-for-Sale Securities

We measure available-for-sale (“AFS”) securities at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, recorded net of tax, as a component of “Other comprehensive income” and we recognize realized gains and losses from the sale of AFS securities in “Investment gains, net” in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

The following table displays the gross realized gains, losses and proceeds on sales of AFS securities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three | | For the Six | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | Months Ended | | Months Ended | |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 396 | \$ 173 | \$ 399 | \$ 182 |
| Gross realized losses | — | 53 | 2 | 57 |
| Total proceeds ⁽¹⁾ | 1,705 | 1,676 | 1,740 | 1,770 |

⁽¹⁾ Excludes proceeds from the initial sale of securities from new portfolio securitizations included in “Note 2, Consolidations and Transfers of Financial Assets.”

The following tables display the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value by major security type for AFS securities we held as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| | Total Amortized Cost⁽¹⁾ | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses - OTTI⁽²⁾ | Gross Unrealized Losses - Other⁽³⁾ | Total Fair Value |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 5,663 | \$ 385 | \$ — | \$ (26) | \$ 6,022 |
| Freddie Mac | 5,735 | 467 | — | — | 6,202 |
| Ginnie Mae | 461 | 67 | — | — | 528 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 5,479 | 1,220 | (18) | — | 6,681 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 4,688 | 1,057 | (19) | (21) | 5,705 |
| CMBS | 1,427 | 81 | — | — | 1,508 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 4,669 | 79 | (126) | (62) | 4,560 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | 2,781 | 214 | (24) | (151) | 2,820 |
| Total | \$ 30,903 | \$ 3,570 | \$ (187) | \$ (260) | \$ 34,026 |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

As of December 31, 2013

| | Total Amortized Cost ⁽¹⁾ | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses - OTTI ⁽²⁾ | Gross Unrealized Losses - Other ⁽³⁾ | Total Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 6,227 | \$ 390 | \$ — | \$ (44) | \$ 6,573 |
| Freddie Mac | 6,365 | 477 | — | — | 6,842 |
| Ginnie Mae | 512 | 76 | — | — | 588 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 6,240 | 1,151 | (40) | (2) | 7,349 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 6,232 | 991 | (102) | (53) | 7,068 |
| CMBS | 1,526 | 80 | — | — | 1,606 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 5,645 | 35 | (228) | (196) | 5,256 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | 2,943 | 164 | (15) | (203) | 2,889 |
| Total | \$ 35,690 | \$ 3,364 | \$ (385) | \$ (498) | \$ 38,171 |

⁽¹⁾ Amortized cost consists of unpaid principal balance, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments as well as net other-than-temporary impairments (“OTTI”) recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

⁽²⁾ Represents the noncredit component of other-than-temporary impairments losses recorded in “Accumulated other comprehensive income” in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, as well as cumulative changes in fair value of securities for which we previously recognized the credit component of other-than-temporary impairments.

⁽³⁾ Represents the gross unrealized losses on securities for which we have not recognized other-than-temporary impairments.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

The following tables display additional information regarding gross unrealized losses and fair value by major security type for AFS securities in an unrealized loss position that we held as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Less Than 12 Consecutive Months | | 12 Consecutive Months or Longer | |
| | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ (4) | \$ 284 | \$ (22) | \$ 673 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | (3) | 121 | (15) | 113 |
| Subprime private-label securities | (2) | 72 | (38) | 618 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | (2) | 66 | (186) | 1,396 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | — | 5 | (175) | 1,050 |
| Total | <u>\$ (11)</u> | <u>\$ 548</u> | <u>\$ (436)</u> | <u>\$ 3,850</u> |

| | As of December 31, 2013 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Less Than 12 Consecutive Months | | 12 Consecutive Months or Longer | |
| | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ (40) | \$ 975 | \$ (4) | \$ 126 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | (12) | 490 | (30) | 308 |
| Subprime private-label securities | (24) | 448 | (131) | 1,332 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | (147) | 1,662 | (277) | 970 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | — | 5 | (218) | 1,066 |
| Total | <u>\$ (223)</u> | <u>\$ 3,580</u> | <u>\$ (660)</u> | <u>\$ 3,802</u> |

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

We evaluate AFS securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis. An other-than-temporary impairment is considered to have occurred when the fair value of a debt security is below its amortized cost basis and we intend to sell or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery. An other-than-temporary impairment is also considered to have occurred if we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of a debt security even if we do not intend to sell the security or it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before recovery.

The following table displays the modeled attributes, including default rates and severities, which were used to determine as of June 30, 2014 whether our senior interests in certain non-agency mortgage-related securities (including those we intend to sell) will experience a cash shortfall. An estimate of voluntary prepayment rates is also an input to the present value of expected losses.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

As of June 30, 2014

| Vintage Year | Alt-A | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Subprime | Option ARM | Fixed Rate | Variable Rate | Hybrid Rate |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| 2004 & Prior: | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 117 | \$ 117 | \$ 920 | \$ 133 | \$ 328 |
| Weighted average collateral default ⁽¹⁾ | 26.5 % | 23.9 % | 9.5 % | 20.8 % | 13.3 % |
| Weighted average collateral severities ⁽²⁾ | 55.2 | 54.5 | 52.0 | 40.8 | 38.5 |
| Weighted average voluntary prepayment rates ⁽³⁾ | 10.1 | 9.7 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 10.2 |
| Average credit enhancement ⁽⁴⁾ | 38.4 | 4.1 | 10.9 | 21.3 | 9.4 |
| 2005: | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 41 | \$ 472 | \$ 828 | \$ 384 | \$ 1,348 |
| Weighted average collateral default ⁽¹⁾ | 49.0 % | 31.9 % | 22.9 % | 33.0 % | 26.4 % |
| Weighted average collateral severities ⁽²⁾ | 60.0 | 53.5 | 54.0 | 47.7 | 44.2 |
| Weighted average voluntary prepayment rates ⁽³⁾ | 2.9 | 7.5 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| Average credit enhancement ⁽⁴⁾ | 47.9 | 8.9 | 0.6 | 12.6 | 2.8 |
| 2006: | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 7,527 | \$ 690 | \$ 393 | \$ 1,088 | \$ 1,193 |
| Weighted average collateral default ⁽¹⁾ | 53.0 % | 42.1 % | 24.4 % | 36.3 % | 18.1 % |
| Weighted average collateral severities ⁽²⁾ | 61.4 | 48.3 | 55.2 | 47.7 | 43.7 |
| Weighted average voluntary prepayment rates ⁽³⁾ | 2.4 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 10.1 |
| Average credit enhancement ⁽⁴⁾ | 10.3 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | — |
| 2007 & After: | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 341 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 83 |
| Weighted average collateral default ⁽¹⁾ | 50.4 % | N/A | N/A | N/A | 21.9 % |
| Weighted average collateral severities ⁽²⁾ | 28.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 39.9 |
| Weighted average voluntary prepayment rates ⁽³⁾ | 1.4 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9.9 |
| Average credit enhancement ⁽⁴⁾ | 20.3 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 20.4 |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 8,026 | \$ 1,279 | \$ 2,141 | \$ 1,605 | \$ 2,952 |
| Weighted average collateral default ⁽¹⁾ | 52.5 % | 36.7 % | 17.4 % | 34.2 % | 21.5 % |
| Weighted average collateral severities ⁽²⁾ | 60.0 | 50.3 | 53.8 | 47.3 | 43.5 |
| Weighted average voluntary prepayment rates ⁽³⁾ | 2.5 | 7.0 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 9.6 |
| Average credit enhancement ⁽⁴⁾ | 11.4 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 2.9 |

⁽¹⁾ The expected remaining cumulative default rate of the collateral pool backing the securities, as a percentage of the current collateral unpaid principal balance, weighted by security unpaid principal balance.

⁽²⁾ The expected remaining loss given default of the collateral pool backing the securities, calculated as the ratio of remaining cumulative loss divided by cumulative defaults, weighted by security unpaid principal balance.

⁽³⁾ The average monthly voluntary prepayment rate, weighted by security unpaid principal balance.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

(4) The average percent current credit enhancement provided by subordination of other securities. Excludes excess interest projections and monoline bond insurance. The following table displays activity related to the unrealized credit loss component on debt securities held by us and recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 7,096 | \$ 9,136 | \$ 7,904 | \$ 9,214 |
| Additions for the credit component on debt securities for which OTTI was not previously recognized | — | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Additions for the credit component on debt securities for which OTTI was previously recognized | 8 | 4 | 47 | 8 |
| Reductions for securities no longer in portfolio at period end | (384) | (81) | (437) | (83) |
| Reductions for securities which we intend to sell or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell before recovery of amortized cost basis | (755) | — | (1,453) | — |
| Reductions for amortization resulting from changes in cash flows expected to be collected over the remaining life of the securities | (94) | (97) | (191) | (182) |
| Balance, end of period | <u>\$ 5,871</u> | <u>\$ 8,964</u> | <u>\$ 5,871</u> | <u>\$ 8,964</u> |

Maturity Information

The following table displays the amortized cost and fair value of our AFS securities by major security type and remaining contractual maturity, assuming no principal prepayments, as of June 30, 2014. The contractual maturity of mortgage-backed securities is not a reliable indicator of their expected life because borrowers generally have the right to prepay their obligations at any time.

| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Total Amortized Cost | Total Fair Value | One Year or Less | | After One Year Through Five Years | | After Five Years Through Ten Years | | After Ten Years | |
| | | | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 5,663 | \$ 6,022 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 321 | \$ 339 | \$ 284 | \$ 307 | \$ 5,058 | \$ 5,376 |
| Freddie Mac | 5,735 | 6,202 | — | — | 367 | 389 | 590 | 646 | 4,778 | 5,167 |
| Ginnie Mae | 461 | 528 | — | — | — | — | 66 | 75 | 395 | 453 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 5,479 | 6,681 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 5,479 | 6,681 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 4,688 | 5,705 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 4,688 | 5,705 |
| CMBS | 1,427 | 1,508 | — | — | 1,345 | 1,424 | — | — | 82 | 84 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 4,669 | 4,560 | 26 | 27 | 209 | 212 | 467 | 470 | 3,967 | 3,851 |
| Other mortgage-related securities | 2,781 | 2,820 | — | — | — | 3 | 36 | 39 | 2,745 | 2,778 |
| Total | <u>\$ 30,903</u> | <u>\$ 34,026</u> | <u>\$ 26</u> | <u>\$ 27</u> | <u>\$ 2,242</u> | <u>\$ 2,367</u> | <u>\$ 1,443</u> | <u>\$ 1,537</u> | <u>\$ 27,192</u> | <u>\$ 30,095</u> |

6. Financial Guarantees

We recognize a guaranty obligation for our obligation to stand ready to perform on our guarantees to unconsolidated trusts and other guaranty arrangements. These guarantees expose us to credit losses on the mortgage loans or, in the case of mortgage-related securities, the underlying mortgage loans of the related securities. The contractual terms of our guarantees

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

range from 30 days to 40 years; however, the actual term of each guaranty may be significantly less than the contractual term based on the prepayment characteristics of the related mortgage loans.

The following table displays our maximum exposure, guaranty obligation recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and the maximum potential recovery from third parties through available credit enhancements and recourse related to our financial guarantees as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
| | Maximum Exposure ⁽¹⁾ | Guaranty Obligation | Maximum Recovery ⁽²⁾ | Maximum Exposure ⁽¹⁾ | Guaranty Obligation | Maximum Recovery ⁽²⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Non-consolidated Fannie Mae MBS | \$ 18,257 | \$ 222 | \$ 10,332 | \$ 19,317 | \$ 232 | \$ 10,541 |
| Other guaranty arrangements ⁽³⁾ | 28,951 | 231 | 4,569 | 30,598 | 253 | 4,525 |
| Total | \$ 47,208 | \$ 453 | \$ 14,901 | \$ 49,915 | \$ 485 | \$ 15,066 |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily consists of the unpaid principal balance of the underlying mortgage loans.

⁽²⁾ Recoverability of such credit enhancements and recourse is subject to, among other factors, our mortgage insurers' and financial guarantors' ability to meet their obligations to us. For information on our mortgage insurers, see "Note 14, Concentrations of Credit Risk."

⁽³⁾ Primarily consists of credit enhancements, long-term standby commitments, and our commitment under the TCLF program.

The fair value of our guaranty obligations associated with the Fannie Mae MBS included in "Investments in securities" in our condensed consolidated balance sheets was \$931 million and \$1.1 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. These Fannie Mae MBS consist primarily of private-label wraps where our guaranty arrangement is with an unconsolidated MBS trust.

Risk Characteristics of our Book of Business

We gauge our performance risk under our guaranty based on the delinquency status of the mortgage loans we hold in our retained mortgage portfolio, or in the case of mortgage-backed securities, the mortgage loans underlying the related securities.

For single-family loans, management monitors the serious delinquency rate, which is the percentage of single-family loans 90 days or more past due or in the foreclosure process, and loans that have higher risk characteristics, such as high mark-to-market LTV ratios.

For multifamily loans, management monitors the serious delinquency rate, which is the percentage of loans 60 days or more past due, and other loans that have higher risk characteristics, to determine our overall credit quality indicator. Higher risk characteristics include, but are not limited to, current DSCR below 1.0 and high original and current estimated LTV ratios. We stratify multifamily loans into different internal risk categories based on the credit risk inherent in each individual loan.

For single-family and multifamily loans, we use this information, in conjunction with housing market and economic conditions, to structure our pricing and our eligibility and underwriting criteria to reflect the current risk of loans with these higher-risk characteristics, and in some cases we decide to significantly reduce our participation in riskier loan product categories. Management also uses this data together with other credit risk measures to identify key trends that guide the development of our loss mitigation strategies.

The following tables display the current delinquency status and certain higher risk characteristics of our single-family conventional and total multifamily guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| | As of | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | June 30, 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | | | December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| | 30 Days Delinquent | 60 Days Delinquent | Seriously Delinquent ⁽²⁾ | 30 Days Delinquent | 60 Days Delinquent | Seriously Delinquent ⁽²⁾ |
| Percentage of single-family conventional guaranty book of business ⁽³⁾ | 1.27% | 0.38% | 2.18% | 1.41% | 0.44% | 2.54% |
| Percentage of single-family conventional loans ⁽⁴⁾ | 1.46 | 0.42 | 2.05 | 1.64 | 0.49 | 2.38 |

| | As of | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | June 30, 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | | December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | |
| | Percentage of Single-Family Conventional Guaranty Book of Business ⁽³⁾ | Percentage Seriously Delinquent ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾ | Percentage of Single-Family Conventional Guaranty Book of Business ⁽³⁾ | Percentage Seriously Delinquent ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾ |
| Estimated mark-to-market loan-to-value ratio: | | | | |
| Greater than 100% | 6% | 11.40% | 7% | 12.22% |
| Geographical distribution: | | | | |
| California | 20 | 0.77 | 20 | 0.98 |
| Florida | 6 | 5.46 | 6 | 6.89 |
| Illinois | 4 | 2.60 | 4 | 3.12 |
| New Jersey | 4 | 5.94 | 4 | 6.25 |
| New York | 5 | 4.24 | 5 | 4.42 |
| All other states | 61 | 1.60 | 61 | 1.85 |
| Product distribution: | | | | |
| Alt-A | 4 | 8.37 | 5 | 9.23 |
| Subprime | * | 15.65 | * | 16.93 |
| Vintages: | | | | |
| 2005 | 3 | 6.47 | 4 | 7.26 |
| 2006 | 3 | 10.11 | 3 | 11.26 |
| 2007 | 4 | 11.08 | 5 | 12.18 |
| 2008 | 3 | 6.27 | 3 | 6.69 |
| All other vintages | 87 | 0.91 | 85 | 1.02 |
| Select combined risk characteristics: | | | | |
| Original LTV ratio > 90% and FICO score < 620 | 1 | 9.34 | 1 | 10.90 |

* Represents less than 0.5% of the single-family conventional guaranty book of business.

(1) Consists of the portion of our single-family conventional guaranty book of business for which we have detailed loan level information, which constituted approximately 99% of our total single-family conventional guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(2) Consists of single-family conventional loans that were 90 days or more past due or in the foreclosure process as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(3) Calculated based on the aggregate unpaid principal balance of single-family conventional loans for each category divided by the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business.

(4) Calculated based on the number of single-family conventional loans that were delinquent divided by the total number of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business.

(5) Calculated based on the number of single-family conventional loans that were seriously delinquent divided by the total number of single-family conventional loans for each category included in our guaranty book of business.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| | As of | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| | June 30, 2014 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| | 30 Days Delinquent | Seriously Delinquent ⁽³⁾ | 30 Days Delinquent | Seriously Delinquent ⁽³⁾ |
| Percentage of multifamily guaranty book of business | 0.03% | 0.10% | 0.03% | 0.10% |

| | As of | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | June 30, 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | | December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | |
| | Percentage of Multifamily Guaranty Book of Business ⁽²⁾ | Percentage Seriously Delinquent ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | Percentage of Multifamily Guaranty Book of Business ⁽²⁾ | Percentage Seriously Delinquent ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ |
| Original LTV ratio: | | | | |
| Greater than 80% | 3% | 0.17% | 3% | 0.23% |
| Less than or equal to 80% | 97 | 0.10 | 97 | 0.10 |
| Current debt service coverage ratio less than 1.0 ⁽⁵⁾ | 3 | 0.95 | 4 | 1.09 |

⁽¹⁾ Consists of the portion of our multifamily guaranty book of business for which we have detailed loan level information, which constituted approximately 99% of our total multifamily guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 excluding loans that have been defeased.

⁽²⁾ Calculated based on the aggregate unpaid principal balance of multifamily loans for each category divided by the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans in our multifamily guaranty book of business.

⁽³⁾ Consists of multifamily loans that were 60 days or more past due as of the dates indicated.

⁽⁴⁾ Calculated based on the unpaid principal balance of multifamily loans that were seriously delinquent divided by the aggregate unpaid principal balance of multifamily loans for each category included in our guaranty book of business.

⁽⁵⁾ Our estimates of current DSCRs are based on the latest available income information for these properties. Although we use the most recently available results of our multifamily borrowers, there is a lag in reporting, which typically can range from 3 to 6 months but in some cases may be longer.

7. Acquired Property, Net

Acquired property, net consists of held-for-sale foreclosed property received in satisfaction of a loan, net of a valuation allowance for declines in the fair value of the properties after initial acquisition. We classify properties as held-for-sale when we intend to sell the property and are actively marketing it for sale. The following table displays the activity in acquired property, and the related valuation allowance, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Beginning balance — Acquired property | \$ 12,744 | \$ 10,719 | \$ 12,307 | \$ 11,158 |
| Additions | 3,425 | 4,195 | 7,197 | 7,969 |
| Disposals | (3,873) | (4,108) | (7,208) | (8,321) |
| Ending balance — Acquired property | \$ 12,296 | \$ 10,806 | \$ 12,296 | \$ 10,806 |
| Beginning balance — Valuation allowance | (784) | (570) | (686) | (669) |
| Decrease (increase) in valuation allowance | 48 | 30 | (50) | 129 |
| Ending balance — Valuation allowance | (736) | (540) | (736) | (540) |
| Ending balance — Acquired property, net | \$ 11,560 | \$ 10,266 | \$ 11,560 | \$ 10,266 |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

8. Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt

Short-Term Borrowings

The following table displays our outstanding short-term borrowings (borrowings with an original contractual maturity of one year or less) and weighted-average interest rates of these borrowings as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | |
| | Outstanding | Weighted- Average Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ | Outstanding | Weighted- Average Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Fixed-rate short-term debt: | | | | |
| Discount notes ⁽²⁾ | \$ 90,926 | 0.10% | \$ 71,933 | 0.12% |
| Foreign exchange discount notes ⁽³⁾ | — | — | 362 | 1.07 |
| Total short-term debt of Fannie Mae | 90,926 | 0.10 | 72,295 | 0.13 |
| Debt of consolidated trusts | 1,844 | 0.10 | 2,154 | 0.09 |
| Total short-term debt | <u>\$ 92,770</u> | 0.10% | <u>\$ 74,449</u> | 0.13% |

⁽¹⁾ Includes the effects of discounts, premiums and other cost basis adjustments.

⁽²⁾ Represents unsecured general obligations with maturities ranging from overnight to 360 days from the date of issuance.

⁽³⁾ Represents foreign exchange discount notes issued in the Euro commercial paper market with maturities ranging from 5 to 360 days which enable investors to hold short-term investments in different currencies. We do not incur foreign exchange risk on these transactions, as we simultaneously enter into foreign currency swaps that have the effect of converting debt that we issue in foreign denominated currencies into U.S. dollars.

Intraday Lines of Credit

We periodically use secured intraday funding lines of credit provided by large financial institutions. We post collateral which, in some circumstances, the secured party has the right to repledge to third parties. As these lines of credit are uncommitted intraday loan facilities, we may be unable to draw on them if and when needed. We had secured uncommitted lines of credit of \$20.0 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. We had no borrowings outstanding from these lines of credit as of June 30, 2014 or December 31, 2013.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt represents borrowings with an original contractual maturity of greater than one year. The following table displays our outstanding long-term debt as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|---|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
| | Maturities | Outstanding | Weighted-Average Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ | Maturities | Outstanding | Weighted-Average Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Senior fixed: | | | | | | |
| Benchmark notes and bonds | 2014 - 2030 | \$ 186,556 | 2.40% | 2014 - 2030 | \$ 212,234 | 2.45% |
| Medium-term notes ⁽²⁾ | 2014 - 2024 | 124,483 | 1.36 | 2014 - 2023 | 161,445 | 1.28 |
| Foreign exchange notes and bonds | 2021 - 2028 | 680 | 5.25 | 2021 - 2028 | 682 | 5.41 |
| Other ⁽³⁾ | 2014 - 2038 | 36,061 | 5.02 | 2014 - 2038 | 38,444 | 4.99 |
| Total senior fixed | | 347,780 | 2.31 | | 412,805 | 2.24 |
| Senior floating: | | | | | | |
| Medium-term notes ⁽²⁾ | 2014 - 2019 | 31,493 | 0.18 | 2014 - 2019 | 38,441 | 0.20 |
| Other ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2020 - 2037 | 3,432 | 3.18 | 2020 - 2037 | 955 | 5.18 |
| Total senior floating | | 34,925 | 0.46 | | 39,396 | 0.32 |
| Subordinated fixed: | | | | | | |
| Qualifying subordinated | — | — | — | 2014 | 1,169 | 5.27 |
| Subordinated debentures | 2019 | 3,674 | 9.92 | 2019 | 3,507 | 9.92 |
| Total subordinated fixed | | 3,674 | 9.92 | | 4,676 | 8.76 |
| Secured borrowings ⁽⁵⁾ | 2021 - 2022 | 230 | 1.88 | 2021 - 2022 | 262 | 1.86 |
| Total long-term debt of Fannie Mae ⁽⁶⁾ | | 386,609 | 2.21 | | 457,139 | 2.14 |
| Debt of consolidated trusts ⁽³⁾ | 2014 - 2054 | 2,710,166 | 3.17 | 2014 - 2053 | 2,702,935 | 3.26 |
| Total long-term debt | | <u>\$ 3,096,775</u> | 3.05% | | <u>\$ 3,160,074</u> | 3.10% |

⁽¹⁾ Includes the effects of discounts, premiums and other cost basis adjustments.

⁽²⁾ Includes long-term debt with an original contractual maturity of greater than 1 year and up to 10 years, excluding zero-coupon debt.

⁽³⁾ Includes a portion of structured debt instruments that is reported at fair value.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes credit risk sharing securities issued under our Connecticut Avenue Securities series, which transfers some of the credit risk of our mortgage loans to the investors in these securities.

⁽⁵⁾ Represents our remaining liability resulting from the transfer of financial assets from our condensed consolidated balance sheets that did not qualify as a sale under the accounting guidance for the transfer of financial instruments.

⁽⁶⁾ Reported amounts include unamortized discounts and premiums, other cost basis adjustments and fair value adjustments of \$4.1 billion and \$4.8 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

9. Derivative Instruments

Derivative instruments are an integral part of our strategy in managing interest rate risk. Derivative instruments may be privately-negotiated, bilateral contracts, or they may be listed and traded on an exchange. We refer to our derivative transactions made pursuant to bilateral contracts as our over-the-counter (“OTC”) derivative transactions and our derivative transactions accepted for clearing by a derivatives clearing organization as our OTC-cleared derivative transactions. We typically do not settle the notional amount of our risk management derivatives; rather, notional amounts provide the basis for calculating annual payments or settlement amounts. The derivatives we use for interest rate risk management purposes consist primarily of interest rate swaps and interest rate options.

We enter into forward purchase and sale commitments that lock in the future delivery of mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities at a fixed price or yield. Certain commitments to purchase mortgage loans and purchase or sell mortgage-related securities meet the criteria of a derivative. We typically settle the notional amount of our mortgage commitments that are accounted for as derivatives.

We recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at their fair value on a trade date basis. Fair value amounts, which are netted to the extent a legal right of offset exists and is enforceable by law at the counterparty level and are inclusive of the right or obligation associated with the cash collateral posted or received, are recorded in “Other assets” or “Other liabilities” in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. We present cash flows from derivatives as operating activities in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

Notional and Fair Value Position of our Derivatives

The following table displays the notional amount and estimated fair value of our asset and liability derivative instruments as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | | As of December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
| | Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| Risk management derivatives: | | | | | | | | |
| Swaps: | | | | | | | | |
| Pay-fixed | \$ 52,489 | \$ 2,340 | \$ 105,272 | \$ (6,019) | \$ 68,637 | \$ 5,378 | \$ 93,428 | \$ (4,759) |
| Receive-fixed | 66,478 | 4,179 | 133,397 | (1,629) | 67,527 | 3,320 | 156,250 | (3,813) |
| Basis | 13,914 | 79 | 6,850 | (1) | 27,014 | 36 | 600 | — |
| Foreign currency | 377 | 139 | 299 | (16) | 389 | 120 | 653 | (38) |
| Swaptions: | | | | | | | | |
| Pay-fixed | 25,150 | 94 | 43,525 | (264) | 33,400 | 445 | 48,025 | (600) |
| Receive-fixed | 4,500 | 216 | 46,525 | (721) | 8,000 | 117 | 48,025 | (484) |
| Other ⁽¹⁾ | 109 | 29 | 37 | (1) | 769 | 28 | 13 | (1) |
| Total gross risk management derivatives | 163,017 | 7,076 | 335,905 | (8,651) | 205,736 | 9,444 | 346,994 | (9,695) |
| Accrued interest receivable (payable) | — | 696 | — | (950) | — | 786 | — | (930) |
| Netting adjustment ⁽²⁾ | — | (6,897) | — | 9,390 | — | (8,422) | — | 9,370 |
| Total net risk management derivatives | \$ 163,017 | \$ 875 | \$ 335,905 | \$ (211) | \$ 205,736 | \$ 1,808 | \$ 346,994 | \$ (1,255) |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives: | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage commitments to purchase whole loans | \$ 7,728 | \$ 44 | \$ 235 | \$ — | \$ 1,138 | \$ 1 | \$ 4,353 | \$ (31) |
| Forward contracts to purchase mortgage-related securities | 40,214 | 343 | 663 | (2) | 3,276 | 4 | 20,861 | (168) |
| Forward contracts to sell mortgage-related securities | 484 | 2 | 60,336 | (523) | 35,423 | 260 | 7,886 | (15) |
| Total mortgage commitment derivatives | \$ 48,426 | \$ 389 | \$ 61,234 | \$ (525) | \$ 39,837 | \$ 265 | \$ 33,100 | \$ (214) |
| Derivatives at fair value | \$ 211,443 | \$ 1,264 | \$ 397,139 | \$ (736) | \$ 245,573 | \$ 2,073 | \$ 380,094 | \$ (1,469) |

⁽¹⁾ Includes interest rate caps, futures, swap credit enhancements and mortgage insurance contracts that we account for as derivatives. The mortgage insurance contracts have payment provisions that are not based on a notional amount.

⁽²⁾ The netting adjustment for our risk management derivatives transactions represents the effect of the legal right to offset under legally enforceable master netting arrangements to settle with the same counterparty on a net basis, including cash collateral posted and received. Cash collateral posted was \$3.3 billion and \$2.0 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Cash collateral received was \$780 million and \$1.0 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

A majority of our OTC derivative contracts contain provisions that require our senior unsecured debt to maintain a minimum credit rating from S&P and Moody's. If our senior unsecured debt credit ratings were downgraded to established thresholds in these derivative contracts, which range from A+ to BBB+, we could be required to provide additional collateral to or terminate transactions with certain counterparties. The aggregate fair value of all OTC derivatives with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position was \$2.3 billion and \$2.1 billion, for which we posted collateral of \$2.1 billion and \$2.0 billion in the normal course of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Had all of the credit-risk-related contingency features underlying these agreements been triggered, an additional \$174 million and \$130 million would have been required to be posted as collateral or to immediately settle our positions based on the

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

individual agreements and our fair value position as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. A reduction in our credit ratings may also cause derivatives clearing organizations or their members to demand that we post additional collateral for our OTC-cleared derivative contracts.

We record all derivative gains and losses, including accrued interest, in “Fair value (losses) gains, net” in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The following table displays, by type of derivative instrument, the fair value gains and losses, net on our derivatives for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months | | For the Six Months | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | Ended June 30, | | Ended June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Risk management derivatives: | | | | |
| Swaps: | | | | |
| Pay-fixed | \$ (2,349) | \$ 8,313 | \$ (4,467) | \$ 10,903 |
| Receive-fixed | 1,639 | (7,123) | 3,104 | (9,033) |
| Basis | 8 | (45) | 43 | (72) |
| Foreign currency | 17 | (63) | 38 | (133) |
| Swaptions: | | | | |
| Pay-fixed | 62 | (468) | (37) | (451) |
| Receive-fixed | (59) | 247 | (101) | 268 |
| Other | 3 | 11 | — | 21 |
| Accrual of periodic settlements: | | | | |
| Pay-fixed interest-rate swaps | (913) | (1,211) | (1,816) | (2,409) |
| Receive-fixed interest-rate swaps | 642 | 1,012 | 1,333 | 1,994 |
| Other | 14 | 18 | 27 | 34 |
| Total risk management derivatives fair value (losses) gains, net | <u>\$ (936)</u> | <u>\$ 691</u> | <u>\$ (1,876)</u> | <u>\$ 1,122</u> |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives fair value (losses) gains, net | (310) | 497 | (655) | 628 |
| Total derivatives fair value (losses) gains, net | <u>\$ (1,246)</u> | <u>\$ 1,188</u> | <u>\$ (2,531)</u> | <u>\$ 1,750</u> |

Derivative Counterparty Credit Exposure

Our derivative counterparty credit exposure relates principally to interest rate derivative contracts. We are exposed to the risk that a counterparty in a derivative transaction will default on payments due to us, which may require us to seek a replacement derivative from a different counterparty. This replacement may be at a higher cost, or we may be unable to find a suitable replacement. We manage our derivative counterparty credit exposure relating to our risk management derivative transactions mainly through enforceable master netting arrangements, which allow us to net derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty or clearing organization. For our risk management derivative transactions, we require counterparties to post collateral, which may include cash, U.S. Treasury securities, agency debt and agency mortgage-related securities.

See “Note 15, Netting Arrangements” for information on our rights to offset assets and liabilities.

10. Income Taxes

We recognized a provision for federal income taxes of \$1.8 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2014, which resulted in an effective tax rate of 32.3%. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, we recognized a provision for federal income taxes of \$4.3 billion, which resulted in an effective tax rate of 32.5%.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

We recognized a provision for federal income taxes of \$2.0 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2013, which resulted in an effective tax rate of 16.4%. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, we recognized a benefit for federal income taxes of \$48.6 billion, which resulted in an effective tax rate of (240.6)%.

For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2014, the effective tax rates were different from the federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the benefits of our investments in housing projects eligible for the low-income housing tax credit. Our effective tax rates for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2013 were different from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the release of our valuation allowance for our net deferred tax assets.

11. Loss Per Share

The calculation of income available to common stockholders and earnings per share is based on the underlying premise that all income after payment of dividends on preferred shares is available to and will be distributed to common stockholders. However, as a result of our conservatorship status and the terms of the senior preferred stock, no amounts are available to distribute as dividends to common or preferred stockholders (other than to Treasury as holder of the senior preferred stock).

The following table displays the computation of basic and diluted loss per share of common stock for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars and shares in millions, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,667 | \$ 10,095 | \$ 8,992 | \$ 68,780 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (1) | (11) | (1) | (11) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | 3,666 | 10,084 | 8,991 | 68,769 |
| Dividends distributed or available for distribution to senior preferred stockholder ⁽¹⁾ | (3,712) | (10,243) | (9,404) | (69,611) |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | <u>\$ (46)</u> | <u>\$ (159)</u> | <u>\$ (413)</u> | <u>\$ (842)</u> |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding—basic and diluted ⁽²⁾ | 5,762 | 5,762 | 5,762 | 5,762 |
| Loss per share: basic and diluted | <u>\$ (0.01)</u> | <u>\$ (0.03)</u> | <u>\$ (0.07)</u> | <u>\$ (0.15)</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Represents our dividend payments to Treasury under the terms of the senior preferred stock. Dividends distributed or available for distribution for the three months ended June 30, 2014 (relating to the dividend period for the three months ended September 30, 2014) were calculated based on our net worth as of June 30, 2014 less the applicable capital reserve amount of \$2.4 billion and for the six months ended June 30, 2014 we add dividends paid on June 30, 2014 to this amount. Dividends distributed or available for distribution for the three months ended June 30, 2013 (relating to the dividend period for the three months ended September 30, 2013) were calculated based on our net worth as of June 30, 2013 less the applicable capital reserve amount of \$3.0 billion and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 we add dividends paid on June 30, 2013 to this amount.

⁽²⁾ Includes 4.6 billion of weighted average shares of common stock that would be issued upon the full exercise of the warrant issued to Treasury from the date the warrant was issued through June 30, 2014.

12. Segment Reporting

Our three reportable segments are: Single-Family, Multifamily and Capital Markets. We use these three segments to generate revenue and manage business risk, and each segment is based on the type of business activities it performs. Under our segment reporting, the sum of the results for our three business segments does not equal our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, as we separate the activity related to our consolidated trusts from the results generated by our three segments. Our business segment financial results include directly attributable revenues and expenses. Additionally, we allocate to each of our segments: (1) capital using FHFA minimum capital requirements adjusted for over- or under-capitalization; (2) indirect administrative costs; and (3) a provision or benefit for federal income taxes. In addition, we allocate intracompany guaranty fee income as a charge from the Single-Family and Multifamily segments to

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Capital Markets for managing the credit risk on mortgage loans held by the Capital Markets group. We also include an eliminations/adjustments category to reconcile our business segment financial results and the activity related to our consolidated trusts to net income in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

The following tables display our business segment financial results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Business Segments | | | Other Activity/Reconciling Items | | Total Results |
| | Single-Family | Multifamily | Capital Markets | Consolidated Trusts ⁽¹⁾ | Eliminations/Adjustments ⁽²⁾ | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$ 5 | \$ (21) | \$ 1,917 | \$ 2,739 | \$ 264 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 4,904 |
| Benefit for credit losses | 1,603 | 36 | — | — | — | 1,639 |
| Net interest income after benefit for credit losses | 1,608 | 15 | 1,917 | 2,739 | 264 | 6,543 |
| Guaranty fee income (expense) ⁽⁴⁾ | 2,893 | 317 | (241) | (1,452) ⁽⁵⁾ | (1,476) ⁽⁵⁾ | 41 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Investment (losses) gains, net | (1) | 39 | 1,648 | (104) | (1,076) ⁽⁶⁾ | 506 |
| Net other-than-temporary impairments | — | — | (23) | — | — | (23) |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | (2) | — | (1,098) | 171 | (5) ⁽⁷⁾ | (934) |
| Debt extinguishment gains (losses), net | — | — | 41 | (3) | — | 38 |
| Gains from partnership investments ⁽⁸⁾ | — | 34 | — | — | 1 | 35 |
| Fee and other income (expense) | 181 | 31 | 136 | (95) | 89 | 342 |
| Administrative expenses | (458) | (75) | (164) | — | — | (697) |
| Foreclosed property income | 178 | 36 | — | — | — | 214 |
| TCCA fees ⁽⁴⁾ | (335) | — | — | — | — | (335) |
| Other expenses | (237) | (12) | (57) | — | (5) | (311) |
| Income before federal income taxes | 3,827 | 385 | 2,159 | 1,256 | (2,208) | 5,419 |
| Provision for federal income taxes | (1,133) | (9) | (610) | — | — | (1,752) |
| Net income | 2,694 | 376 | 1,549 | 1,256 | (2,208) | 3,667 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | (1) ⁽⁹⁾ | (1) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | \$ 2,694 | \$ 376 | \$ 1,549 | \$ 1,256 | \$ (2,209) | \$ 3,666 |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

| | Business Segments | | | Other Activity/Reconciling Items | | Total Results |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Single-Family | Multifamily | Capital Markets | Consolidated Trusts ⁽¹⁾ | Eliminations/ Adjustments ⁽²⁾ | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Net interest (loss) income | \$ (43) | \$ (43) | \$ 3,747 | \$ 5,437 | \$ 544 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 9,642 |
| Benefit for credit losses | 2,348 | 65 | — | — | — | 2,413 |
| Net interest income after benefit for credit losses | 2,305 | 22 | 3,747 | 5,437 | 544 | 12,055 |
| Guaranty fee income (expense) ⁽⁴⁾ | 5,763 | 628 | (487) | (2,879) ⁽⁵⁾ | (2,939) ⁽⁵⁾ | 86 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Investment (losses) gains, net | (1) | 42 | 2,984 | (162) | (2,211) ⁽⁶⁾ | 652 |
| Net other-than-temporary impairments | — | — | (74) | — | — | (74) |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | (7) | — | (2,435) | 219 | 99 ⁽⁷⁾ | (2,124) |
| Debt extinguishment gains, net | — | — | 34 | 4 | — | 38 |
| Gains from partnership investments ⁽⁸⁾ | — | 79 | — | — | 1 | 80 |
| Fee and other income (expense) | 325 | 55 | 4,269 | (171) | 174 | 4,652 |
| Administrative expenses | (908) | (148) | (313) | — | — | (1,369) |
| Foreclosed property income | 435 | 41 | — | — | — | 476 |
| TCCA fees ⁽⁴⁾ | (657) | — | — | — | — | (657) |
| Other expenses | (392) | (13) | (65) | — | (17) | (487) |
| Income before federal income taxes | 6,863 | 706 | 7,660 | 2,448 | (4,349) | 13,328 |
| Provision for federal income taxes | (2,060) | — | (2,276) | — | — | (4,336) |
| Net income | 4,803 | 706 | 5,384 | 2,448 | (4,349) | 8,992 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | (1) ⁽⁹⁾ | (1) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | <u>\$ 4,803</u> | <u>\$ 706</u> | <u>\$ 5,384</u> | <u>\$ 2,448</u> | <u>\$ (4,350)</u> | <u>\$ 8,991</u> |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013⁽¹⁰⁾

| | Business Segments | | | Other Activity/Reconciling Items | | Total Results |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Single-Family | Multifamily | Capital Markets | Consolidated Trusts ⁽¹⁾ | Eliminations/Adjustments ⁽²⁾ | |
| | | | | | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Net interest (loss) income | \$ (50) | \$ (17) | \$ 2,680 | \$ 2,621 | \$ 433 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 5,667 |
| Benefit for credit losses | 5,353 | 30 | — | — | — | 5,383 |
| Net interest income after benefit for credit losses | 5,303 | 13 | 2,680 | 2,621 | 433 | 11,050 |
| Guaranty fee income (expense) ⁽⁴⁾ | 2,544 | 300 | (283) | (1,283) ⁽⁵⁾ | (1,227) ⁽⁵⁾ | 51 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Investment gains (losses), net | 1 | 4 | 898 | (89) | (524) ⁽⁶⁾ | 290 |
| Net other-than-temporary impairments | — | — | (6) | — | — | (6) |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | (1) | — | 841 | (214) | 203 ⁽⁷⁾ | 829 |
| Debt extinguishment gains, net | — | — | 3 | 24 | — | 27 |
| Gains from partnership investments ⁽⁸⁾ | — | 104 | — | — | 11 | 115 |
| Fee and other income (expense) | 179 | 38 | 255 | (86) | 48 | 434 |
| Administrative expenses | (419) | (67) | (140) | — | — | (626) |
| Foreclosed property income | 328 | 4 | — | — | — | 332 |
| TCCA fees ⁽⁴⁾ | (233) | — | — | — | — | (233) |
| Other expenses | (154) | — | (8) | — | (21) | (183) |
| Income before federal income taxes | 7,548 | 396 | 4,240 | 973 | (1,077) | 12,080 |
| Provision for federal income taxes | (1,050) | (10) | (925) | — | — | (1,985) |
| Net income | 6,498 | 386 | 3,315 | 973 | (1,077) | 10,095 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | (11) ⁽⁹⁾ | (11) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | <u>\$ 6,498</u> | <u>\$ 386</u> | <u>\$ 3,315</u> | <u>\$ 973</u> | <u>\$ (1,088)</u> | <u>\$ 10,084</u> |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013⁽¹⁰⁾

| | Business Segments | | | Other Activity/Reconciling Items | | Total Results |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | Single-Family | Multifamily | Capital Markets | Consolidated Trusts ⁽¹⁾ | Eliminations/Adjustments ⁽²⁾ | |
| | | | | | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$ 470 | \$ (28) | \$ 5,422 | \$ 5,218 | \$ 889 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 11,971 |
| Benefit for credit losses | 6,134 | 206 | — | — | — | 6,340 |
| Net interest income after benefit for credit losses | 6,604 | 178 | 5,422 | 5,218 | 889 | 18,311 |
| Guaranty fee income (expense) ⁽⁴⁾ | 4,919 | 591 | (582) | (2,487) ⁽⁵⁾ | (2,336) ⁽⁵⁾ | 105 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Investment gains (losses), net | 3 | 11 | 2,247 | (156) | (1,697) ⁽⁶⁾ | 408 |
| Net other-than-temporary impairments | — | — | (15) | — | — | (15) |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | (3) | — | 1,716 | (418) | 368 ⁽⁷⁾ | 1,663 |
| Debt extinguishment (losses) gains, net | — | — | (37) | 41 | — | 4 |
| Gains from partnership investments ⁽⁸⁾ | — | 163 | — | — | 11 | 174 |
| Fee and other income (expense) | 351 | 89 | 604 | (170) | 74 | 948 |
| Administrative expenses | (845) | (137) | (285) | — | — | (1,267) |
| Foreclosed property income | 581 | 11 | — | — | — | 592 |
| TCCA fees ⁽⁴⁾ | (419) | — | — | — | — | (419) |
| Other (expenses) income | (322) | 1 | 50 | — | (39) | (310) |
| Income before federal income taxes | 10,869 | 907 | 9,120 | 2,028 | (2,730) | 20,194 |
| Benefit for federal income taxes ⁽¹¹⁾ | 30,528 | 7,978 | 10,080 | — | — | 48,586 |
| Net income | 41,397 | 8,885 | 19,200 | 2,028 | (2,730) | 68,780 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | (11) ⁽⁹⁾ | (11) |
| Net income attributable to Fannie Mae | <u>\$ 41,397</u> | <u>\$ 8,885</u> | <u>\$ 19,200</u> | <u>\$ 2,028</u> | <u>\$ (2,741)</u> | <u>\$ 68,769</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Represents activity related to the assets and liabilities of consolidated trusts in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

⁽²⁾ Represents the elimination of intercompany transactions occurring between the three business segments and our consolidated trusts, as well as other adjustments to reconcile to our consolidated results.

⁽³⁾ Represents the amortization expense of cost basis adjustments on securities in the Capital Markets group's retained mortgage portfolio that on a GAAP basis are eliminated.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes the impact of a 10 basis point guaranty fee increase implemented pursuant to the TCCA, the incremental revenue from which must be remitted to Treasury. The resulting revenue is included in guaranty fee income and the expense is recognized as "TCCA fees."

⁽⁵⁾ Represents the guaranty fees paid from consolidated trusts to the Single-Family and Multifamily segments. The adjustment to guaranty fee income in the Eliminations/Adjustments column represents the elimination of the amortization of deferred cash fees related to consolidated trusts that were re-established for segment reporting. Total guaranty fee income related to unconsolidated Fannie Mae MBS trusts and other credit enhancement arrangements is included in fee and other income in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

⁽⁶⁾ Primarily represents the removal of realized gains and losses on sales of Fannie Mae MBS classified as available-for-sale securities that are issued by consolidated trusts and in the Capital Markets group's retained mortgage portfolio. The adjustment also includes the removal of securitization gains (losses) recognized in the Capital Markets segment relating to portfolio securitization transactions that do not qualify for sale accounting under GAAP.

⁽⁷⁾ Represents the removal of fair value adjustments on consolidated Fannie Mae MBS classified as trading that are in the Capital Markets group's retained mortgage portfolio.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

- (8) Gains from partnership investments are included in other expenses in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.
- (9) Represents the adjustment from equity method of accounting to consolidated accounting for partnership investments that are consolidated in our consolidated balance sheets.
- (10) Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation.
- (11) Primarily represented the release of the valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets that generally is directly attributable to each segment based on the nature of the item.

13. Equity

The following table displays the activity in other comprehensive income (“OCI”), net of tax, by major categories for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,667 | \$ 10,095 | \$ 8,992 | \$ 68,780 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax effect: | | | | |
| Changes in net unrealized gains on AFS securities (net of tax of \$119 and \$47, respectively, for the three months ended and net of tax of \$303 and \$396, respectively, for the six months ended) | 221 | 88 | 561 | 735 |
| Reclassification adjustment for OTTI recognized in net income (net of tax of \$8 and \$2, respectively, for the three months ended and net of tax of \$26 and \$5, respectively, for the six months ended) | 15 | 4 | 48 | 10 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains on AFS securities included in net income (net of tax of \$104 and \$40, respectively, for the three months ended and net of tax of \$104 and \$43, respectively, for the six months ended) | (191) | (75) | (192) | (80) |
| Other | — | 149 | — | 155 |
| Total other comprehensive income | 45 | 166 | 417 | 820 |
| Total comprehensive income | <u>\$ 3,712</u> | <u>\$ 10,261</u> | <u>\$ 9,409</u> | <u>\$ 69,600</u> |

The following table displays our accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”) by major categories as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Net unrealized gains on AFS securities for which we have not recorded OTTI, net of tax | \$ 561 | \$ 365 |
| Net unrealized gains on AFS securities for which we have recorded OTTI, net of tax | 1,483 | 1,262 |
| Prior service cost and actuarial losses, net of amortization, net of tax | (395) | (395) |
| Other losses | (29) | (29) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | <u>\$ 1,620</u> | <u>\$ 1,203</u> |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

The table below displays changes in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
| | AFS ⁽¹⁾ | Other | Total | AFS ⁽¹⁾ | Other ⁽²⁾ | Total | AFS ⁽¹⁾ | Other | Total | AFS ⁽¹⁾ | Other ⁽²⁾ | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 1,999 | \$ (424) | \$ 1,575 | \$ 1,582 | \$ (544) | \$ 1,038 | \$ 1,627 | \$ (424) | \$ 1,203 | \$ 934 | \$ (550) | \$ 384 |
| OCI before reclassifications | 221 | — | 221 | 88 | 145 | 233 | 561 | — | 561 | 735 | 145 | 880 |
| Amounts reclassified from OCI | (176) | — | (176) | (71) | 4 | (67) | (144) | — | (144) | (70) | 10 | (60) |
| Net OCI | 45 | — | 45 | 17 | 149 | 166 | 417 | — | 417 | 665 | 155 | 820 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 2,044</u> | <u>\$ (424)</u> | <u>\$ 1,620</u> | <u>\$ 1,599</u> | <u>\$ (395)</u> | <u>\$ 1,204</u> | <u>\$ 2,044</u> | <u>\$ (424)</u> | <u>\$ 1,620</u> | <u>\$ 1,599</u> | <u>\$ (395)</u> | <u>\$ 1,204</u> |

(1) The amounts reclassified from AOCI represent the gain or loss recognized in earnings due to a sale of an AFS security or the recognition of a net impairment recognized in earnings, which are recorded in "Investments gains, net" and "Net other-than-temporary impairments," respectively, in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

(2) The amounts reclassified from AOCI represent activity from our defined benefit pension plans, which is recorded in "Salaries and employee benefits" in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

14. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Mortgage Sellers and Servicers. Mortgage servicers collect mortgage and escrow payments from borrowers, pay taxes and insurance costs from escrow accounts, monitor and report delinquencies, and perform other required activities on our behalf. Our mortgage sellers and servicers are also obligated to repurchase loans or foreclosed properties, or reimburse us for losses if the foreclosed property has been sold, under certain circumstances, such as if it is determined that the mortgage loan did not meet our underwriting or eligibility requirements, if loan representations and warranties are violated or if mortgage insurers rescind coverage. Our business with mortgage servicers is concentrated. Our five largest single-family mortgage servicers, including their affiliates, serviced approximately 48% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014, compared with approximately 49% as of December 31, 2013. Our ten largest multifamily mortgage servicers, including their affiliates, serviced approximately 66% of our multifamily guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014, compared with approximately 65% as of December 31, 2013.

If a significant mortgage seller or servicer counterparty, or a number of mortgage sellers or servicers, fails to meet their obligations to us, it could result in a significant increase in our credit losses and credit-related expense, and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity, financial condition and net worth.

Mortgage Insurers. Mortgage insurance "risk in force" generally represents our maximum potential loss recovery under the applicable mortgage insurance policies. We had total mortgage insurance coverage risk in force of \$104.6 billion and \$102.5 billion on the single-family mortgage loans in our guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, which represented 4% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Our primary mortgage insurance coverage risk in force was \$103.6 billion and \$101.4 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Our pool mortgage insurance coverage risk in force was \$959 million and \$1.1 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Our top four mortgage insurance companies provided 79% and 78% of our mortgage insurance as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Of our largest primary mortgage insurers, PMI Mortgage Insurance Co. ("PMI"), Triad Guaranty Insurance Corporation ("Triad") and Republic Mortgage Insurance Company ("RMIC") are under various forms of supervised control by their state regulators and are in run-off. These three mortgage insurers provided a combined \$13.5 billion, or 13%, of our risk in force mortgage insurance coverage of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2014.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Effective March 7, 2014, the terms of PMI's order regarding its deferred payment arrangements changed to increase its cash payments on policyholder claims from 55% to 67%. In addition, PMI has paid us sufficient amounts of its outstanding deferred payment obligations to bring payment on our claims to 67%. It is uncertain whether PMI will be permitted in the future to pay any remaining deferred policyholder claims and/or increase or decrease the amount of cash they pay on claims.

Effective July 1, 2014, the terms of RMIC's order regarding its deferred payment arrangements changed to no longer defer payments on policyholder claims and to increase its cash payments from 60% to 100%. In addition, RMIC has paid us sufficient amounts of its outstanding deferred payment obligations to bring payment on our claims to 100%. Even though RMIC is no longer deferring payments on policyholder claims, RMIC remains in run-off and under the supervisory control of the North Carolina Department of Insurance.

Although the financial condition of our mortgage insurer counterparties currently approved to write new business has improved, there is still risk that our mortgage insurer counterparties may fail to fulfill their obligations to reimburse us for claims under insurance policies. If we determine that it is probable that we will not collect all of our claims from one or more of these mortgage insurer counterparties, it could result in an increase in our loss reserves, which could adversely affect our results of operations, liquidity, financial condition and net worth.

When we estimate the credit losses that are inherent in our mortgage loans and under the terms of our guaranty obligations we also consider the recoveries that we will receive on primary mortgage insurance, as mortgage insurance recoveries would reduce the severity of the loss associated with defaulted loans. We evaluate the financial condition of our mortgage insurer counterparties and adjust the contractually due recovery amounts to ensure that only probable losses as of the balance sheet date are included in our loss reserve estimate. As a result, if our assessment of one or more of our mortgage insurer counterparties' ability to fulfill their respective obligations to us worsens, it could result in an increase in our loss reserves.

The following table displays the amount by which our estimated benefit from mortgage insurance as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 reduced our total loss reserves as of those dates.

| | As of | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Contractual mortgage insurance benefit | \$ 5,886 | \$ 6,751 |
| Less: Collectibility adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | 354 | 431 |
| Estimated benefit included in total loss reserves | <u>\$ 5,532</u> | <u>\$ 6,320</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Represents an adjustment that reduces the contractual benefit for our assessment of our mortgage insurer counterparties' inability to fully pay the contractual mortgage insurance claims.

We had outstanding receivables of \$2.0 billion recorded in "Other assets" in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2014 and \$2.1 billion as of December 31, 2013 related to amounts claimed on insured, defaulted loans excluding government insured loans. Of this amount, \$328 million as of June 30, 2014 and \$402 million as of December 31, 2013 was due from our mortgage sellers or servicers. We assessed the total outstanding receivables for collectibility, and they are recorded net of a valuation allowance of \$611 million as of June 30, 2014 and \$655 million as of December 31, 2013. The valuation allowance reduces our claim receivable to the amount which is considered probable of collection as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

For information on credit risk associated with our derivative transactions and repurchase agreements refer to "Note 9, Derivative Instruments" and "Note 15, Netting Arrangements."

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

15. Netting Arrangements

We use master netting arrangements, which allow us to offset certain financial instruments and collateral with the same counterparty, to minimize counterparty credit exposure. The table below displays information related to derivatives and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements which are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement that are either offset or not offset in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of June 30, 2014 | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | Gross Amount | Gross Amount Offset ⁽¹⁾ | Net Amount Presented in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | Amounts Not Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | | Net Amount |
| | | | | Financial Instruments ⁽²⁾ | Collateral ⁽³⁾ | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| OTC risk management derivatives | \$ 6,795 | \$ (6,777) | \$ 18 | \$ — | \$ (12) | \$ 6 |
| OTC-cleared risk management derivatives ⁽⁴⁾ | 949 | (120) | 829 | — | — | 829 |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | 389 | — | 389 | (145) | (4) | 240 |
| Total derivative assets | <u>8,133</u> | <u>(6,897)</u> | <u>1,236</u> ⁽⁵⁾ | <u>(145)</u> | <u>(16)</u> | <u>1,075</u> |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements ⁽⁶⁾ | 32,250 | — | 32,250 | — | (32,249) | 1 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 40,383</u> | <u>\$ (6,897)</u> | <u>\$ 33,486</u> | <u>\$ (145)</u> | <u>\$ (32,265)</u> | <u>\$ 1,076</u> |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| OTC risk management derivatives | \$ (8,352) | \$ 8,142 | \$ (210) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (210) |
| OTC-cleared risk management derivatives ⁽⁴⁾ | (1,248) | 1,248 | — | — | — | — |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | (525) | — | (525) | 145 | — | (380) |
| Total liabilities | <u>\$ (10,125)</u> | <u>\$ 9,390</u> | <u>\$ (735)</u> ⁽⁵⁾ | <u>\$ 145</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ (590)</u> |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| As of December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|------------|
| | Gross Amount | Gross Amount Offset ⁽¹⁾ | Net Amount Presented in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | Amounts Not Offset in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | | Net Amount |
| | | | | Financial Instruments ⁽²⁾ | Collateral ⁽³⁾ | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| OTC risk management derivatives | \$ 8,491 | \$ (8,422) | \$ 69 | \$ — | \$ (20) | \$ 49 |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | 265 | — | 265 | (83) | — | 182 |
| Total derivative assets | 8,756 | (8,422) | 334 ⁽⁵⁾ | (83) | (20) | 231 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements ⁽⁶⁾ | 50,565 | — | 50,565 | — | (50,565) | — |
| Total assets | \$ 59,321 | \$ (8,422) | \$ 50,899 | \$ (83) | \$ (50,585) | \$ 231 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| OTC risk management derivatives | \$ (9,503) | \$ 9,370 | \$ (133) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (133) |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | (214) | — | (214) | 83 | — | (131) |
| Total liabilities | \$ (9,717) | \$ 9,370 | \$ (347) ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ 83 | \$ — | \$ (264) |

⁽¹⁾ Represents the effect of the right to offset under legally enforceable master netting arrangements to settle with the same counterparty on a net basis, including cash collateral posted and received and accrued interest.

⁽²⁾ Mortgage commitment derivative amounts reflect where we have recognized both an asset and a liability with the same counterparty under an enforceable master netting arrangement but we have not elected to offset the related amounts in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

⁽³⁾ Represents collateral posted or received that has neither been recognized nor offset in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Does not include collateral held in excess of our exposure.

⁽⁴⁾ As of June 30, 2014, we net OTC-cleared derivative assets and derivative liabilities where we have enforceable master netting arrangements.

⁽⁵⁾ Excludes derivative assets of \$28 million and \$1.7 billion and derivative liabilities of \$1 million and \$1.1 billion recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, that are not subject to enforceable master netting arrangements.

⁽⁶⁾ Includes \$15.6 billion and \$11.6 billion of securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements classified as “Cash and cash equivalents” in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Derivative instruments are recorded at fair value and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements are recorded at amortized cost in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of non-cash collateral accepted for OTC risk management derivatives was \$12 million and \$24 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The fair value of non-cash collateral accepted for securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements was \$32.3 billion and \$50.7 billion, of which \$29.0 billion and \$39.8 billion could be sold or repledged as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. None of the underlying collateral was sold or repledged as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

We determine our rights to offset the assets and liabilities presented above with the same counterparty, including collateral posted or received, based on the contractual arrangements entered into with our individual counterparties and various rules and regulations that would govern the insolvency of a derivative counterparty. The following is a description, under various agreements, of the nature of those rights and their effect or potential effect on our financial position.

The terms of the majority of our contracts for OTC risk management derivatives are governed under master agreements of the International Swaps and Derivatives Association Inc. (“ISDA”). These agreements provide that all transactions entered into

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

under the agreement with the counterparty constitute a single contractual relationship. An event of default by the counterparty allows the early termination of all outstanding transactions under the same ISDA agreement and we may offset all outstanding amounts related to the terminated transactions including collateral posted or received.

The terms of our contracts for OTC-cleared derivatives are governed under the rules of the clearing organization and the agreement between us and the clearing member of that clearing organization. In the event of a clearing organization default, all open positions at the clearing organization are closed and a net position is calculated. Unless otherwise transferred, in the event of a clearing member default, all open positions cleared through that clearing member are closed and a net position is calculated.

The terms of our contracts for mortgage commitment derivatives are primarily governed by the Fannie Mae Single-Family Selling Guide (“Guide”), for Fannie Mae-approved lenders, or Master Securities Forward Transaction Agreements (“MSFTA”), for counterparties that are not Fannie Mae-approved lenders. In the event of default by the counterparty, both the Guide and the MSFTA allow us to terminate all outstanding transactions under the applicable agreement and offset all outstanding amounts related to the terminated transactions including collateral posted or received. In addition, under the Guide, upon a lender event of default, we generally may offset any amounts owed to a lender against any amounts a lender may owe us under any other existing agreement, regardless of whether or not such other agreements are in default or payments are immediately due.

The terms of our contracts for securities purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase are governed by Master Repurchase Agreements, which are based on the guidelines prescribed by the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association. Master Repurchase Agreements provide that all transactions under the agreement constitute a single contractual relationship. An event of default by the counterparty allows the early termination of all outstanding transactions under the same agreement and we may offset all outstanding amounts related to the terminated transactions including collateral posted or received.

We also have securities purchased under agreements to resell which we transact through the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC”). Under the rules of the FICC, all agreements for securities purchased under agreements to resell that are submitted to the FICC for clearing become transactions with the FICC that are subject to FICC clearing rules. In the event of a FICC default, all open positions at the FICC are closed and a net position is calculated.

16. Fair Value

We use fair value measurements for the initial recording of certain assets and liabilities and periodic remeasurement of certain assets and liabilities on a recurring or nonrecurring basis.

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value measurement guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and sets forth disclosures around fair value measurements. This guidance applies whenever other accounting guidance requires or permits assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. The guidance establishes a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs into the valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority, Level 1, to measurements based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The next highest priority, Level 2, is given to measurements of assets and liabilities based on limited observable inputs or observable inputs for similar assets and liabilities. The lowest priority, Level 3, is given to measurements based on unobservable inputs.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Recurring Changes in Fair Value

The following tables display our assets and liabilities measured in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis subsequent to initial recognition, including instruments for which we have elected the fair value option as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2014 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Netting Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Estimated Fair Value |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Recurring fair value measurements: | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ — | \$ 5,686 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 5,686 |
| Freddie Mac | — | 1,766 | — | — | 1,766 |
| Ginnie Mae | — | 234 | — | — | 234 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | — | 551 | 643 | — | 1,194 |
| Subprime private-label securities | — | — | 1,282 | — | 1,282 |
| CMBS | — | 2,641 | — | — | 2,641 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | — | — | 643 | — | 643 |
| Other | — | — | 101 | — | 101 |
| Non-mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 13,083 | — | — | — | 13,083 |
| Total trading securities | 13,083 | 10,878 | 2,669 | — | 26,630 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | — | 6,020 | 2 | — | 6,022 |
| Freddie Mac | — | 6,193 | 9 | — | 6,202 |
| Ginnie Mae | — | 528 | — | — | 528 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | — | 2,964 | 3,717 | — | 6,681 |
| Subprime private-label securities | — | — | 5,705 | — | 5,705 |
| CMBS | — | 1,508 | — | — | 1,508 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | — | — | 4,560 | — | 4,560 |
| Other | — | 3 | 2,817 | — | 2,820 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | — | 17,216 | 16,810 | — | 34,026 |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | — | 12,585 | 2,531 | — | 15,116 |
| Other assets: | | | | | |
| Risk management derivatives: | | | | | |
| Swaps | — | 7,347 | 86 | — | 7,433 |
| Swaptions | — | 310 | — | — | 310 |
| Other | — | — | 29 | — | 29 |
| Netting adjustment | — | — | — | (6,897) | (6,897) |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | — | 365 | 24 | — | 389 |
| Total other assets | — | 8,022 | 139 | (6,897) | 1,264 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 13,083 | \$ 48,701 | \$ 22,149 | \$ (6,897) | \$ 77,036 |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2014

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Netting Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae: | | | | | |
| Senior floating | \$ — | \$ 3,107 | \$ 325 | \$ — | \$ 3,432 |
| Total of Fannie Mae | — | 3,107 | 325 | — | 3,432 |
| Of consolidated trusts | — | 15,922 | 498 | — | 16,420 |
| Total long-term debt | — | 19,029 | 823 | — | 19,852 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | | |
| Risk management derivatives: | | | | | |
| Swaps | — | 8,497 | 118 | — | 8,615 |
| Swaptions | — | 985 | — | — | 985 |
| Other | — | — | 1 | — | 1 |
| Netting adjustment | — | — | — | (9,390) | (9,390) |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | — | 525 | — | — | 525 |
| Total other liabilities | — | 10,007 | 119 | (9,390) | 736 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ — | \$ 29,036 | \$ 942 | \$ (9,390) | \$ 20,588 |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2013

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Netting Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Estimated Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ — | \$ 5,828 | \$ 42 | \$ — | \$ 5,870 |
| Freddie Mac | — | 1,837 | 2 | — | 1,839 |
| Ginnie Mae | — | 407 | — | — | 407 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | — | 898 | 618 | — | 1,516 |
| Subprime private-label securities | — | — | 1,448 | — | 1,448 |
| CMBS | — | 2,718 | — | — | 2,718 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | — | — | 565 | — | 565 |
| Other | — | — | 99 | — | 99 |
| Non-mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 16,306 | — | — | — | 16,306 |
| Total trading securities | 16,306 | 11,688 | 2,774 | — | 30,768 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | — | 6,566 | 7 | — | 6,573 |
| Freddie Mac | — | 6,834 | 8 | — | 6,842 |
| Ginnie Mae | — | 588 | — | — | 588 |
| Alt-A private-label securities | — | 3,558 | 3,791 | — | 7,349 |
| Subprime private-label securities | — | — | 7,068 | — | 7,068 |
| CMBS | — | 1,606 | — | — | 1,606 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | — | 3 | 5,253 | — | 5,256 |
| Other | — | 4 | 2,885 | — | 2,889 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | — | 19,159 | 19,012 | — | 38,171 |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | — | 11,564 | 2,704 | — | 14,268 |
| Other assets: | | | | | |
| Risk management derivatives: | | | | | |
| Swaps | — | 9,604 | 36 | — | 9,640 |
| Swaptions | — | 561 | 1 | — | 562 |
| Other | — | — | 28 | — | 28 |
| Netting adjustment | — | — | — | (8,422) | (8,422) |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | — | 265 | — | — | 265 |
| Total other assets | — | 10,430 | 65 | (8,422) | 2,073 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 16,306 | \$ 52,841 | \$ 24,555 | \$ (8,422) | \$ 85,280 |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2013

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Netting Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Estimated Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae: | | | | | |
| Senior fixed | \$ — | \$ 353 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 353 |
| Senior floating | — | — | 955 | — | 955 |
| Total of Fannie Mae | — | 353 | 955 | — | 1,308 |
| Of consolidated trusts | — | 14,458 | 518 | — | 14,976 |
| Total long-term debt | — | 14,811 | 1,473 | — | 16,284 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | | |
| Risk management derivatives: | | | | | |
| Swaps | — | 9,444 | 96 | — | 9,540 |
| Swaptions | — | 1,084 | — | — | 1,084 |
| Other | — | — | 1 | — | 1 |
| Netting adjustment | — | — | — | (9,370) | (9,370) |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | — | 206 | 8 | — | 214 |
| Total other liabilities | — | 10,734 | 105 | (9,370) | 1,469 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 25,545</u> | <u>\$ 1,578</u> | <u>\$ (9,370)</u> | <u>\$ 17,753</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Derivative contracts are reported on a gross basis by level. The netting adjustment represents the effect of the legal right to offset under legally enforceable master netting agreements to settle with the same counterparty on a net basis, including cash collateral posted and received.

The following tables display a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The tables also display gains and losses due to changes in fair value, including both realized and unrealized gains and losses, recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for Level 3 assets and liabilities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. When assets and liabilities are transferred between levels, we recognize the transfer as of the end of the period.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014

| | Total Gains or (Losses) (Realized/Unrealized) | | | | | | | | | Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Net Income Related to Assets and Liabilities Still Held as of June 30, 2014 ⁽⁵⁾ | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|---|----------------|
| | Included in Net Income | Included in Other Comprehensive Income ⁽¹⁾ | Purchases ⁽²⁾ | Sales ⁽²⁾ | Issues ⁽³⁾ | Settlements ⁽³⁾ | Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽⁴⁾ | Transfers into Level 3 ⁽⁴⁾ | Balance, June 30, 2014 | | |
| Balance, March 31, 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alt-A private-label securities | \$ 655 | \$ 84 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (23) | \$ — | \$ (22) | \$ (67) | \$ 16 | \$ 643 | \$ 84 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 1,453 | 103 | — | — | (241) | — | (33) | — | — | 1,282 | 204 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 601 | 44 | — | — | — | — | (2) | — | — | 643 | 44 |
| Other | 102 | 4 | — | — | — | — | (5) | — | — | 101 | 4 |
| Total trading securities | \$ 2,811 | \$ 235 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (264) | \$ — | \$ (62) | \$ (67) | \$ 16 | \$ 2,669 | \$ 336 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 5 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (1) | \$ (4) | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ — |
| Freddie Mac | 8 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | 9 | — |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 3,570 | 103 | (24) | — | (320) | — | (111) | (265) | 764 | 3,717 | — |
| Subprime private-label securities | 7,030 | 268 | (38) | — | (1,349) | — | (206) | — | — | 5,705 | — |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 5,006 | (7) | 87 | — | (39) | — | (487) | — | — | 4,560 | — |
| Other | 2,844 | 3 | 54 | — | — | — | (84) | — | — | 2,817 | — |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 18,463 | \$ 367 | \$ 79 | \$ — | \$ (1,708) | \$ — | \$ (889) | \$ (269) | \$ 767 | \$ 16,810 | \$ — |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | \$ 2,608 | \$ 102 | \$ — | \$ 7 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (85) | \$ (165) | \$ 64 | \$ 2,531 | \$ 86 |
| Net derivatives | (23) | 47 | — | — | — | — | (4) | — | — | 20 | 41 |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Senior floating | \$ (310) | \$ (15) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (325) | \$ (15) |
| Of consolidated trusts | (506) | (33) | — | — | — | (1) | 17 | 47 | (22) | (498) | (31) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ (816) | \$ (48) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (1) | \$ 17 | \$ 47 | \$ (22) | \$ (823) | \$ (46) |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

| | Total (Losses) or Gains (Realized/Unrealized) | | | | | | | | | | | Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Net Income Related to Assets and Liabilities Still Held as of June 30, 2014 ⁽⁵⁾ |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|----------------|---|
| | Balance, December 31, 2013 | Included in Net Income | Included in Other Comprehensive Income ⁽¹⁾ | Purchases ⁽²⁾ | Sales ⁽²⁾ | Issues ⁽³⁾ | Settlements ⁽³⁾ | Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽⁴⁾ | Transfers into Level 3 ⁽⁴⁾ | Balance, June 30, 2014 | | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 42 | \$ (1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (2) | \$ (39) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | |
| Freddie Mac | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | (2) | — | — | — | |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 618 | 103 | — | — | (23) | — | (38) | (143) | 126 | 643 | 101 | |
| Subprime private-label securities | 1,448 | 179 | — | — | (241) | — | (104) | — | — | 1,282 | 280 | |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 565 | 84 | — | — | — | — | (6) | — | — | 643 | 84 | |
| Other | 99 | 9 | — | — | — | — | (7) | — | — | 101 | 9 | |
| Total trading securities | \$ 2,774 | \$ 374 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (264) | \$ — | \$ (157) | \$ (184) | \$ 126 | \$ 2,669 | \$ 474 | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 7 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (1) | \$ (6) | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ — | |
| Freddie Mac | 8 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | 9 | — | |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 3,791 | 116 | 49 | — | (320) | — | (199) | (874) | 1,154 | 3,717 | — | |
| Subprime private-label securities | 7,068 | 301 | 181 | — | (1,349) | — | (496) | — | — | 5,705 | — | |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 5,253 | (27) | 280 | — | (58) | — | (888) | — | — | 4,560 | — | |
| Other | 2,885 | 6 | 95 | — | — | — | (169) | — | — | 2,817 | — | |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 19,012 | \$ 396 | \$ 605 | \$ — | \$ (1,727) | \$ — | \$ (1,753) | \$ (880) | \$ 1,157 | \$ 16,810 | \$ — | |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | \$ 2,704 | \$ 127 | \$ — | \$ 31 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (166) | \$ (313) | \$ 148 | \$ 2,531 | \$ 94 | |
| Net derivatives | (40) | 77 | — | — | — | — | (16) | (1) | — | 20 | 54 | |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Senior floating | \$ (955) | \$ (105) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (750) | \$ 20 | \$ 1,465 | \$ — | \$ (325) | \$ (59) | |
| Of consolidated trusts | (518) | (34) | — | — | — | (1) | 35 | 66 | (46) | (498) | (32) | |
| Total long-term debt | \$ (1,473) | \$ (139) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (751) | \$ 55 | \$ 1,531 | \$ (46) | \$ (823) | \$ (91) | |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013

| | <u>Total (Losses) or Gains or (Realized/Unrealized)</u> | | | | | | | | | | <u>Net Unrealized (Losses) Gains Included in Net Income Related to Assets and Liabilities Still Held as of June 30, 2013⁽⁵⁾</u> |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | <u>Balance, March 31, 2013</u> | <u>Included in Net Income</u> | <u>Included in Other Comprehensive Income⁽¹⁾</u> | <u>Purchases⁽²⁾</u> | <u>Sales⁽²⁾</u> | <u>Issues⁽³⁾</u> | <u>Settlements⁽³⁾</u> | <u>Transfers out of Level 3⁽⁴⁾</u> | <u>Transfers into Level 3⁽⁴⁾</u> | <u>Balance, June 30, 2013</u> | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 61 | \$ (3) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (6) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 52 | \$ (3) |
| Freddie Mac | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2 | — |
| Ginnie Mae | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2 | 2 | — |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 464 | 32 | — | — | — | — | (39) | (162) | 408 | 703 | 31 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 1,446 | 80 | — | — | — | — | (38) | — | — | 1,488 | 79 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 661 | (56) | — | — | — | — | (2) | — | — | 603 | (56) |
| Other | 118 | (6) | — | — | — | — | (3) | — | — | 109 | (6) |
| Total trading securities | \$ 2,752 | \$ 47 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (88) | \$ (162) | \$ 410 | \$ 2,959 | \$ 45 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 12 | \$ — | \$ (1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 10 | \$ — |
| Freddie Mac | 9 | — | (1) | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | 9 | — |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 6,112 | 11 | 249 | — | — | — | (401) | (773) | 1,810 | 7,008 | — |
| Subprime private-label securities | 7,868 | 46 | 234 | — | — | — | (286) | — | — | 7,862 | — |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 7,351 | 12 | (253) | — | — | — | (710) | — | — | 6,400 | — |
| Other | 3,099 | 1 | 55 | — | — | — | (104) | — | — | 3,051 | — |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 24,451 | \$ 70 | \$ 283 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (1,502) | \$ (773) | \$ 1,811 | \$ 24,340 | \$ — |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | \$ 2,882 | \$ 30 | \$ — | \$ 85 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (122) | \$ (51) | \$ 137 | \$ 2,961 | \$ 25 |
| Net derivatives | (7) | (89) | — | — | — | — | 31 | 16 | — | (49) | (44) |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Senior floating | \$ (383) | \$ 46 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (337) | \$ 46 |
| Of consolidated trusts | (1,077) | (66) | — | — | — | (5) | 56 | 57 | (42) | (1,077) | (69) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ (1,460) | \$ (20) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (5) | \$ 56 | \$ 57 | \$ (42) | \$ (1,414) | \$ (23) |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

| | Balance, December 31, 2012 | Total (Losses) or Gains or (Realized/Unrealized) | | Included in Other Comprehensive Income ⁽¹⁾ | Purchases ⁽²⁾ | Sales ⁽²⁾ | Issues ⁽³⁾ | Settlements ⁽³⁾ | Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽⁴⁾ | Transfers into Level 3 ⁽⁴⁾ | Balance, June 30, 2013 | Net Unrealized (Losses) Gains Included in Net Income Related to Assets and Liabilities Still Held as of June 30, 2013 ⁽⁵⁾ |
|--|----------------------------|--|-----------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | Included in Net Income | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 68 | \$ (6) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (10) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 52 | \$ (6) |
| Freddie Mac | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2 | — |
| Ginnie Mae | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | (1) | — | 2 | 2 | — |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 104 | 127 | — | — | — | — | — | (55) | (206) | 733 | 703 | 121 |
| Subprime private-label securities | 1,319 | 239 | — | — | — | — | — | (70) | — | — | 1,488 | 239 |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 675 | (69) | — | — | — | — | — | (3) | — | — | 603 | (69) |
| Other | 117 | (4) | — | — | — | — | — | (4) | — | — | 109 | (4) |
| Total trading securities | \$ 2,286 | \$ 287 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (143) | \$ (206) | \$ 735 | \$ 2,959 | \$ 281 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 29 | \$ — | \$ (1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (4) | \$ (14) | \$ — | \$ 10 | \$ — |
| Freddie Mac | 10 | — | (1) | — | — | — | — | (1) | — | 1 | 9 | — |
| Alt-A private-label securities | 6,564 | 20 | 467 | — | — | — | — | (669) | (1,965) | 2,591 | 7,008 | — |
| Subprime private-label securities | 7,447 | 90 | 911 | — | — | — | — | (586) | — | — | 7,862 | — |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 7,837 | 10 | (282) | — | (19) | — | — | (1,146) | — | — | 6,400 | — |
| Other | 3,147 | 5 | 99 | — | — | — | — | (200) | — | — | 3,051 | — |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 25,034 | \$ 125 | \$ 1,193 | \$ — | \$ (19) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (2,606) | \$ (1,979) | \$ 2,592 | \$ 24,340 | \$ — |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | \$ 2,634 | \$ 57 | \$ — | \$ 243 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (234) | \$ (89) | \$ 350 | \$ 2,961 | \$ (393) |
| Net derivatives | 14 | (129) | — | — | — | — | — | 54 | 16 | (4) | (49) | (61) |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Senior floating | \$ (400) | \$ 63 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (337) | \$ 63 |
| Of consolidated trusts | (1,128) | (120) | — | — | — | (20) | 105 | 170 | (84) | (1,077) | 245 | |
| Total long-term debt | \$ (1,528) | \$ (57) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (20) | \$ 105 | \$ 170 | \$ (84) | \$ (1,414) | \$ 308 | |

⁽¹⁾ Gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income are included in “Changes in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustments and taxes” in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

⁽²⁾ Purchases and sales include activity related to the consolidation and deconsolidation of assets of securitization trusts.

⁽³⁾ Issues and settlements include activity related to the consolidation and deconsolidation of liabilities of securitization trusts.

⁽⁴⁾ Transfers out of Level 3 consisted primarily of private-label mortgage-related securities backed by Alt-A loans and credit risk sharing securities issued under our Connecticut Avenue Securities series. Prices for these securities were obtained from multiple third-party

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

vendors or dealers supported by market observable inputs. Transfers into Level 3 consisted primarily of private-label mortgage-related securities backed by Alt-A loans. Prices for these securities are based on inputs from a single source or inputs that were not readily observable.

⁽⁵⁾ Amount represents temporary changes in fair value. Amortization, accretion and other-than-temporary impairments are not considered unrealized and are not included in this amount.

The following tables display realized and unrealized gains and losses included in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, for our Level 3 assets and liabilities measured in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Interest Income | Fair Value Gains, net | Net OTTI | Other | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in net income | \$ 70 | \$ 329 | \$ (19) | \$ 323 | \$ 703 |
| Net unrealized gains related to Level 3 assets and liabilities still held as of June 30, 2014 | \$ — | \$ 417 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 417 |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Interest Income | Fair Value Gains, net | Net OTTI | Other | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in net income | \$ 140 | \$ 435 | \$ (64) | \$ 324 | \$ 835 |
| Net unrealized gains related to Level 3 assets and liabilities still held as of June 30, 2014 | \$ — | \$ 531 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 531 |

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Interest Income | Fair Value (Losses) Gains, net | Net OTTI | Other | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in net income | \$ 70 | \$ (31) | \$ (4) | \$ 3 | \$ 38 |
| Net unrealized gains related to Level 3 assets and liabilities still held as of June 30, 2013 | \$ — | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 3 |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Interest Income | Fair Value Gains, net | Net OTTI | Other | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in net income | \$ 125 | \$ 161 | \$ (9) | \$ 6 | \$ 283 |
| Net unrealized gains related to Level 3 assets and liabilities still held as of June 30, 2013 | \$ — | \$ 135 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 135 |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Nonrecurring Changes in Fair Value

The following tables display assets measured in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when we evaluate loans for impairment) as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Estimated Fair Value |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Nonrecurring fair value measurements: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value | \$ — | \$ 89 | \$ 151 | \$ 240 |
| Single-family mortgage loans held for investment, at amortized cost: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | — | — | 17,189 | 17,189 |
| Of consolidated trusts | — | — | 53 | 53 |
| Multifamily mortgage loans held for investment, at amortized cost | — | — | 1,033 | 1,033 |
| Acquired property, net: | | | | |
| Single-family | — | — | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| Multifamily | — | — | 163 | 163 |
| Other assets | — | — | 113 | 113 |
| Total nonrecurring fair value measurements | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 89</u> | <u>\$ 23,002</u> | <u>\$ 23,091</u> |

| Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Estimated Fair Value |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value | \$ — | \$ 101 | \$ 132 | \$ 233 |
| Single-family mortgage loans held for investment, at amortized cost: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | — | — | 19,966 | 19,966 |
| Of consolidated trusts | — | — | 79 | 79 |
| Multifamily mortgage loans held for investment, at amortized cost | — | — | 1,533 | 1,533 |
| Acquired property, net: | | | | |
| Single-family | — | — | 4,041 | 4,041 |
| Multifamily | — | — | 98 | 98 |
| Other assets | — | — | 121 | 121 |
| Total nonrecurring fair value measurements | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 101</u> | <u>\$ 25,970</u> | <u>\$ 26,071</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Excludes estimated recoveries from mortgage insurance proceeds.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

The following table displays valuation techniques and the range and the weighted average of significant unobservable inputs for our Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Fair Value | Significant Valuation Techniques | Significant Unobservable Inputs ⁽¹⁾ | Range ⁽¹⁾ | Weighted - Average ⁽¹⁾ |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Recurring fair value measurements: | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | |
| Alt-A private-label securities ⁽³⁾ | \$ 67 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 4.2 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 2.2 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 47.1 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 240.6 |
| | 158 | Consensus | | |
| | 381 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 4.2 - 8.8 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 2.2 - 5.1 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 47.1 - 85.3 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 247.5 - 300.0 |
| | 37 | Other | | |
| Total Alt-A private-label securities | 643 | | | |
| Subprime private-label securities ⁽³⁾ | 198 | Single Vendor | | |
| | 416 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 3.7 - 11.2 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.2 - 4.1 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 71.7 - 95.0 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 245.0 - 345.0 |
| | 549 | Consensus | | |
| | 119 | Other | | |
| Total subprime private-label securities | 1,282 | | | |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 464 | Discounted Cash Flow | Spreads (bps) | 103.1 - 435.0 |
| | 179 | Other | | |
| Total mortgage revenue bonds | 643 | | | |
| Other | 101 | Discounted Cash Flow | Spreads (bps) | 445.0 |
| Total trading securities | <u>\$ 2,669</u> | | | |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2014

| Fair Value | Significant Valuation Techniques | Significant Unobservable Inputs ⁽¹⁾ | Range ⁽¹⁾ | Weighted - Average ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | |
| Agency ⁽²⁾ | \$ 11 | Other | | |
| Alt-A private-label securities ⁽³⁾ | 454 | Single Vendor | Default Rate (%) | 2.2 - 5.7 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 1.6 - 20.7 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 14.2 - 95.0 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 156.3 - 320.0 |
| | 1,254 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 0.9 - 16.7 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.0 - 36.8 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 27.8 - 95.0 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 170.0 - 224.6 |
| | 844 | Consensus | | |
| | 812 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 2.0 - 11.8 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.6 - 8.7 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 24.7 - 95.0 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 146.0 - 350.0 |
| | 353 | Other | | |
| Total Alt-A private-label securities | 3,717 | | | |
| Subprime private-label securities ⁽³⁾ | 347 | Single Vendor | | |
| | 2,020 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 1.8 - 20.6 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.1 - 19.8 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 28.0 - 95.0 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 135.0 - 245.0 |
| | 2,846 | Consensus | | |
| | 492 | Other | | |
| Total subprime private-label securities | 5,705 | | | |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 2,155 | Single Vendor | Spreads (bps) | 0.0 - 324.6 |
| | 647 | Single Vendor | | |
| | 1,677 | Discounted Cash Flow | Spreads (bps) | 0.0 - 592.9 |
| | 81 | Other | | |
| Total mortgage revenue bonds | 4,560 | | | |
| Other | 493 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 3.1 - 5.0 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 2.0 - 9.8 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 0.2 - 85.0 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 195.0 - 745.0 |
| | 329 | Consensus | | |
| | 855 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 0.0 - 5.0 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.0 - 8.8 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 0.0 - 85.0 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 220.0 - 445.0 |
| | 1,140 | Other | | |
| Total Other | 2,817 | | | |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 16,810 | | | |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2014

| Fair Value | Significant Valuation Techniques | Significant Unobservable Inputs⁽¹⁾ | Range⁽¹⁾ | Weighted - Average⁽¹⁾ | |
|--|---|--|----------------------------|---|-------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts: | | | | | |
| Single-family | \$ 1,634 | Build-Up | Default Rate (%) | 0.1 - 97.6 | 14.7 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 2.8 - 45.7 | 17.2 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 0.0 - 100.0 | 27.4 |
| | 237 | Consensus | | | |
| | 408 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 1.0 - 14.6 | 5.0 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.2 - 17.5 | 7.9 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 38.4 - 95.0 | 56.4 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 135.0 - 595.0 | 192.3 |
| | 72 | Other | | | |
| Total single-family | 2,351 | | | | |
| Multifamily | 180 | Build-Up | Spreads (bps) | 46.0 - 286.4 | 115.2 |
| Total mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | \$ 2,531 | | | | |
| Net derivatives | \$ (89) | Internal Model | | | |
| | 85 | Dealer Mark | | | |
| | 24 | Other | | | |
| Total net derivatives | \$ 20 | | | | |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae: | | | | | |
| Senior floating | \$ (325) | Discounted Cash Flow | | | |
| Total of Fannie Mae | (325) | | | | |
| Of consolidated trusts | (216) | Consensus | | | |
| Of consolidated trusts | (139) | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 1.0 - 10.2 | 3.4 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.2 - 100.0 | 23.9 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 38.4 - 95.0 | 44.8 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 64.0 - 595.0 | 208.8 |
| | (143) | Other | | | |
| Total of consolidated trusts | (498) | | | | |
| Total long-term debt | \$ (823) | | | | |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2013

| Fair Value | Significant Valuation Techniques | Significant Unobservable Inputs ⁽¹⁾ | Range ⁽¹⁾ | Weighted - Average ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Recurring fair value measurements: | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | |
| Agency ⁽²⁾ | \$ 44 | Other | | |
| Alt-A private-label securities ⁽³⁾ | 60 | Single Vendor | Default Rate (%) | 6.0 - 10.8 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 4.1 - 5.4 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 76.1 - 92.7 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 414.3 - 421.7 |
| | 325 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 6.9 - 10.4 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 1.9 - 2.5 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 77.3 - 97.8 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 298.3 - 420.2 |
| | 85 | Consensus | | |
| | 148 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 4.0 - 6.9 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 1.9 - 3.4 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 42.7 - 77.3 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 325.4 - 439.4 |
| Total Alt-A private-label securities | <u>618</u> | | | |
| Subprime private-label securities ⁽³⁾ | 113 | Single Vendor | Default Rate (%) | 3.1 - 7.5 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 1.8 - 2.5 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 75.0 - 87.2 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 325.0 |
| | 77 | Single Vendor | | |
| | 400 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 3.0 - 9.2 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 1.4 - 2.2 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 50.4 - 87.2 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 325.0 - 425.0 |
| | 808 | Consensus | | |
| | 50 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 6.9 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.1 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 75.0 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 325.0 |
| Total subprime private-label securities | <u>1,448</u> | | | |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 539 | Discounted Cash Flow | Spreads (bps) | 35.0 - 440.0 |
| | 26 | Other | | |
| Total mortgage revenue bonds | <u>565</u> | | | |
| Other | 99 | Discounted Cash Flow | Spreads (bps) | 525.0 |
| Total trading securities | <u>\$ 2,774</u> | | | |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Fair Value | Significant Valuation Techniques | | Significant Unobservable Inputs ⁽¹⁾ | Range ⁽⁴⁾ | Weighted - Average ⁽⁴⁾ |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | |
| Mortgage-related securities: | | | | | |
| Agency ⁽²⁾ | \$ 15 | Other | | | |
| Alt-A private-label securities ⁽³⁾ | 139 | Single Vendor | Default Rate (%) | 0.9 - 6.4 | 3.9 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 9.3 - 11.9 | 11.3 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 53.7 - 82.6 | 68.8 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 300.0 - 400.0 | 349.3 |
| | 435 | Single Vendor | | | |
| | 1,948 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 0.1 - 10.3 | 3.5 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.1 - 32.9 | 9.9 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 7.2 - 100.0 | 62.3 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 210.6 - 404.2 | 336.7 |
| | 740 | Consensus | | | |
| | 420 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 2.3 - 10.1 | 5.1 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 1.2 - 7.0 | 3.4 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 45.2 - 79.5 | 60.5 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 220.2 - 500.0 | 381.3 |
| | 109 | Other | | | |
| Total Alt-A private-label securities | 3,791 | | | | |
| Subprime private-label securities ⁽³⁾ | 442 | Single Vendor | Default Rate (%) | 1.8 - 11.0 | 7.4 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 1.0 - 9.4 | 2.0 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 65.0 - 100.0 | 82.2 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 275.0 - 375.0 | 315.2 |
| | 322 | Single Vendor | | | |
| | 2,981 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 0.0 - 36.8 | 7.4 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.3 - 9.7 | 2.3 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 36.8 - 100.0 | 81.7 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 175.0 - 375.0 | 319.9 |
| | 2,442 | Consensus | | | |
| | 816 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 0.7 - 7.6 | 5.1 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.2 - 12.5 | 4.1 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 43.8 - 98.0 | 79.5 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 175.0 - 375.0 | 292.4 |
| | 65 | Other | | | |
| Total subprime private-label securities | 7,068 | | | | |
| Mortgage revenue bonds | 1,937 | Single Vendor | Spreads (bps) | 0.0 - 463.2 | 112.1 |
| | 1,386 | Single Vendor | | | |
| | 1,899 | Discounted Cash Flow | Spreads (bps) | 5.5 - 490.0 | 310.0 |
| | 31 | Other | | | |
| Total mortgage revenue bonds | 5,253 | | | | |
| Other | 122 | Single Vendor | | | |
| | 483 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 0.1 - 5.0 | 5.0 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 3.0 - 11.4 | 3.0 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 65.0 - 85.0 | 84.6 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 275.0 - 925.0 | 526.4 |
| | 625 | Consensus | | | |
| | 610 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 55.0 | 55.0 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| | | Spreads (bps) | 300.0 - 511.0 | 469.5 |
| | 1,045 | Other | | |
| Total other | 2,885 | | | |
| Total available-for-sale securities | <u>\$ 19,012</u> | | | |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Fair Value | Significant Valuation Techniques | Significant Unobservable Inputs ⁽¹⁾ | Range ⁽¹⁾ | Weighted - Average ⁽¹⁾ | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts: | | | | | |
| Single-family | \$ 1,828 | Build-Up | Default Rate (%) | 0.1 - 95.6 | 15.7 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 2.3 - 37.6 | 14.1 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 0.0 - 100.0 | 26.6 |
| | 219 | Consensus | | | |
| | 112 | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 1.1 - 4.7 | 3.2 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.2 - 16.7 | 15.2 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 63.9 - 89.5 | 85.6 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 175.0 - 950.0 | 293.9 |
| | 310 | Discounted Cash Flow | Default Rate (%) | 1.2 - 15.7 | 7.0 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 1.9 - 16.7 | 7.0 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 58.8 - 97.7 | 75.5 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 175.0 - 360.6 | 252.1 |
| | 60 | Other | | | |
| Total single-family | <u>2,529</u> | | | | |
| Multifamily | 175 | Build-Up | Spreads (bps) | 62.0 - 243.4 | 114.3 |
| Total mortgage loans of consolidated trusts | <u>\$ 2,704</u> | | | | |
| Net derivatives | \$ (64) | Internal Model | | | |
| | 32 | Dealer Mark | | | |
| | (8) | Other | | | |
| Total net derivatives | <u>\$ (40)</u> | | | | |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae: | | | | | |
| Senior floating | \$ (266) | Discounted Cash Flow | | | |
| | (689) | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 171.000 - 438.000 | 306.2 |
| Total of Fannie Mae | <u>(955)</u> | | | | |
| Of consolidated trusts | (227) | Consensus | | | |
| | (116) | Consensus | Default Rate (%) | 1.1 - 4.7 | 3.2 |
| | | | Prepayment Speed (%) | 0.2 - 16.7 | 15.2 |
| | | | Severity (%) | 63.9 - 89.5 | 85.6 |
| | | | Spreads (bps) | 175.0 - 950.0 | 295.1 |
| | (80) | Single Vendor | | | |
| | (95) | Other | | | |
| Total of consolidated trusts | <u>(518)</u> | | | | |
| Total long-term debt | <u>\$ (1,473)</u> | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Valuation techniques for which no unobservable inputs are disclosed generally reflect the use of third-party pricing services or dealers, and the range of unobservable inputs applied by these sources is not readily available or cannot be reasonably estimated. Where we have disclosed unobservable inputs for consensus and single vendor techniques, those inputs are based on our validations performed at the security level using discounted cash flows.

⁽²⁾ Includes Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac securities.

⁽³⁾ Default Rate as disclosed represents the estimated beginning annualized rate of default and is used as a basis to forecast the future default rates that serve as an input for valuation.

The following table displays valuation techniques for our Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The significant unobservable inputs related to these techniques primarily relate to

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

collateral dependent valuations. The related ranges and weighted averages are not meaningful when aggregated as they vary significantly from property to property.

| | Valuation Techniques | Fair Value Measurements as of | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Nonrecurring fair value measurements: | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value | Consensus | \$ 112 | \$ 132 |
| | Build-Up | 39 | — |
| Total mortgage loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value | | 151 | 132 |
| Single-family mortgage loans held for investment, at amortized cost: | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | Internal Model | 17,189 | 19,966 |
| Of consolidated trusts | Internal Model | 53 | 79 |
| Multifamily mortgage loans held for investment, at amortized cost | Appraisals | 44 | 39 |
| | Broker Price Opinions | 109 | 248 |
| | Asset Manager Estimate | 842 | 1,230 |
| | Other | 38 | 16 |
| Total multifamily mortgage loans held for investment, at amortized cost | | 1,033 | 1,533 |
| Acquired property, net: | | | |
| Single-family | Accepted Offers | 854 | 691 |
| | Appraisals | 1,370 | 1,077 |
| | Walk Forwards | 1,174 | 1,106 |
| | Internal Model | 783 | 1,049 |
| | Other | 119 | 118 |
| Total single-family | | 4,300 | 4,041 |
| Multifamily | Accepted Offers | 17 | 24 |
| | Appraisals | 68 | 65 |
| | Broker Price Opinions | 78 | 9 |
| Total multifamily | | 163 | 98 |
| Other assets | Appraisals | 1 | 26 |
| | Internal Model | 104 | 81 |
| | Other | 8 | 14 |
| Total other assets | | 113 | 121 |
| Total nonrecurring assets at fair value | | <u>\$ 23,002</u> | <u>\$ 25,970</u> |

We use valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The following is a description of the valuation techniques we use for fair value measurement and disclosure as well as our basis for classifying these measurements as Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy in more specific situations.

Trading Securities and Available-for-Sale Securities

These securities are recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value is measured using quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets, when available.

We classify securities whose values are based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets as Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. We classify securities in active markets as Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy if quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets are not available. For all valuation techniques used for securities where there is limited

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

activity or less transparency around these inputs to the valuation, these securities are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

A description of our securities valuation techniques is as follows:

Single Vendor: This valuation technique utilizes one vendor price to estimate fair value. We generally validate these observations of fair value through the use of a discounted cash flow technique whose unobservable inputs (for example, default rates) are disclosed in the table above.

Consensus: This technique utilizes an average of two or more vendor prices for similar securities. We generally validate these observations of fair value through the use of a discounted cash flow technique whose unobservable inputs (for example, default rates) are disclosed in the table above.

Discounted Cash Flow: In the absence of prices provided by third-party pricing services supported by observable market data, we estimate the fair value of a portion of our securities using a discounted cash flow technique that uses inputs such as default rates, prepayment speeds, loss severity and spreads based on market assumptions where available.

For private-label securities, an increase in unobservable prepayment speeds in isolation would generally result in an increase in fair value, and an increase in unobservable spreads, severity rates or default rates in isolation would generally result in a decrease in fair value. For mortgage revenue bonds classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, an increase in unobservable spreads would result in a decrease in fair value. Although the sensitivities of the fair value of our recurring Level 3 securities of the valuation hierarchy to various unobservable inputs are discussed above in isolation, interrelationships exist among these inputs such that a change in one unobservable input typically results in a change to one or more of the other inputs.

Mortgage Loans Held for Investment

The majority of HFI loans are reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at the principal amount outstanding, net of cost basis adjustments and an allowance for loan losses. We estimate the fair value of HFI loans using the build-up and consensus valuation techniques, as discussed below, for periodic disclosure of financial instruments as required by GAAP. For our remaining loans, which include those containing embedded derivatives that would otherwise require bifurcation and consolidated loans of senior-subordinated trust structures, we elected the fair value option and therefore, we record these loans at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. We measure these loans on a recurring basis using the build-up, consensus, discounted cash flow and single vendor price techniques. Certain impaired loans are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis by using the fair value of their underlying collateral. Specific techniques used include internal models, broker price opinions and appraisals.

A description of our loan valuation techniques is as follows:

Build-up: We derive the fair value of mortgage loans using a build-up valuation technique. In the build-up valuation technique we start with the base value for our Fannie Mae MBS and then add or subtract the fair value of the associated guaranty asset, guaranty obligation (“GO”) and master servicing arrangement. We use observable market values of Fannie Mae MBS with similar characteristics, either on a pool or loan level, determined primarily from third party pricing services, quoted market prices in active markets for similar securities, and other observable market data as a base value. We set the GO equal to the estimated fair value we would receive if we were to issue our guaranty to an unrelated party in a stand-alone arm’s length transaction at the measurement date. We estimate the fair value of the GO using our internal valuation models, which calculate the present value of expected cash flows based on management’s best estimate of certain key assumptions such as current mark-to-market LTV ratios, future house prices, default rates, severity rates and required rate of return. We also estimate the fair value of the GO using our current guaranty pricing and adjust that pricing, as appropriate, for the seasoning of the collateral when such transactions reflect credit characteristics of loans held in our portfolio. As a result, the fair value of our mortgage loans will change when the pricing for our credit guaranty changes in the GSE securitization market.

Our performing loans are generally classified as Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy to the extent that significant inputs are observable. To the extent that unobservable inputs are significant, the loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Consensus: The fair value of single-family nonperforming loans represents an estimate of the prices we would receive if we were to sell these loans in the whole-loan market. These nonperforming loans are either two or more months delinquent, in an open modification period, or in a closed modification state (both performing and nonperforming in accordance with the loan's modified terms). We calculate the fair value of nonperforming loans based on certain key factors, including collateral value, cash flow characteristics and mortgage insurance repayment. Collateral value is derived from the current estimated mark-to-market LTV ratio of the individual loan and, where appropriate, a state-level distressed property sales discount. Cash flow characteristics include attributes such as the weighted average coupon rate and loan payment history. The fair value of mortgage insurance is estimated by taking the loan level coverage and adjusting it by the expected claims paying ability of the associated mortgage insurer. The expected claims paying abilities used for estimating the fair value of mortgage insurance are consistent with our credit loss forecast. Fair value is estimated from the extrapolation of indicative sample bids obtained from multiple active market participants plus the estimated value of any applicable mortgage insurance. These loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

We estimate the fair value for a portion of our senior-subordinated trust structures using the average of two or more vendor prices at the security level as a proxy for estimating loan fair value. These loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

Discounted Cash Flow: We estimate the fair value of a portion of our senior-subordinated trust structures using discounted cash flow at the security level as a proxy for estimating loan fair value. This valuation technique uses unobservable inputs such as prepayment speeds, default rates, spreads, and loss severities to estimate the fair value of our securities. These inputs are weighted in a model that calculates the expected cash flow of the security which is used as the basis of fair value. These loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

Single Vendor: We estimate the fair value of a portion of our senior-subordinated trust structures using the single vendor valuation technique at the security level as a proxy for estimating loan fair value. We also estimate the fair value of our reverse mortgages using the single vendor valuation technique. These loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

Internal Model: For loans whose value it has been determined should be based on collateral value, we use an internal proprietary distressed home price model. The internal model used in this process takes one of two approaches when valuing the collateral.

The first approach relies on comparable foreclosed property sales to estimate the value of the target collateral. The comparable foreclosed property sales approach uses various factors such as geographic distance, transaction time and the value difference. The second approach referred to as the median Metropolitan Statistical Area ("MSA") is based on the median of all the foreclosure sales of REOs in a specific MSA. Using this sales price, MSA level discount is computed and applied to the estimated non distressed value to derive an estimated fair value. If there are not enough REO sales in a specific MSA, a median state level foreclosure discount is used to estimate the fair value.

The majority of the internal model valuations come from the comparable sales approach. The determination of whether the internal model valuations in a particular geographic area should use the comparable sales approach or median MSA is based on historical accuracy. These loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

Appraisals: For a portion of our multifamily loans, we use appraisals to estimate the fair value of the loan. There are three approaches used to estimate fair value of a specific property: (1) cost, (2) income capitalization and (3) sales comparison. The cost approach uses the insurable value as a basis. The unobservable inputs used in this model include the estimated cost to construct or replace multifamily properties in the closest localities available. The income capitalization approach estimates the fair value using the present value of the future cash flow expectations by applying an appropriate overall capitalization rate to the forecasted net operating income. The significant unobservable inputs used in this calculation include rental income, fees associated with rental income, expenses associated with the property including taxes, payroll, insurance and other items, and capitalization rates, which are determined through market extraction and the debt service coverage ratio. The sales comparison approach compares the prices paid for similar properties, the prices asked by owners and offers made. The unobservable inputs to this methodology include ratios of sales prices to annual gross income, price paid per unit and

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

adjustments made based on financing, conditions of sale and physical characteristics of the property. These loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

Broker Price Opinion (“BPO”): For a portion of our multifamily loans, we use BPO to estimate the fair value of the loan. This technique uses both current property value and the property value adjusted for stabilization and market conditions. These approaches compute net operating income based on current rents and expenses and use a range of market capitalization rates to estimate property value. The unobservable inputs used in this technique are property net operating income and market capitalization rates to estimate property value. These loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

Asset Manager Estimate (“AME”): For a portion of our multifamily loans, AME is used to estimate the fair value of the loan. This technique uses the net operating income and tax assessments of the specific property as well as MSA-specific market capitalization rates and average per unit sales values to estimate property fair value. These loans are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

An increase in prepayment speeds in isolation would generally result in an increase in the fair value of our mortgage loans classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, and an increase in severity rates, default rates or spreads in isolation would generally result in a decrease in fair value. Although the sensitivities of the fair value of mortgage loans classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy to various unobservable inputs are discussed above in isolation, interrelationships exist among these inputs such that a change in one unobservable input typically results in a change to one or more of the other inputs.

Acquired Property, Net and Other Assets

Acquired property, net represents foreclosed property received in full satisfaction of a loan net of a valuation allowance. Acquired property is initially recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at its fair value less its estimated cost to sell. The initial fair value of foreclosed properties is determined using a hierarchy based on the reliability of available information. The hierarchy for single-family acquired property includes accepted offers, appraisals, broker price opinions and proprietary home price model values. The hierarchy for multifamily acquired property includes accepted offers, appraisals and broker price opinions. We consider an accepted offer on a specific foreclosed property to be the best estimate of its fair value. If we have not accepted an offer on the property we use the next highest priority valuation methodology available, as described in our valuation hierarchy to determine fair value. While accepted offers represent an agreement in principle to transact, a significant portion of these agreements do not get executed for various reasons, and are therefore classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Third-party valuations can be obtained from either an appraisal or a broker price opinion. These valuations are kept current using a monthly walk forward process that updates them for any change in the value of the property. When accepted offers or third-party valuations are not available, we generally utilize the home price values determined using an internal model.

Subsequent to initial measurement, the foreclosed properties that we intend to sell are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Foreclosed properties classified as held for use, included in “Other Assets” in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, are depreciated and impaired when circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property is no longer recoverable. The fair values of our single-family foreclosed properties subsequent to initial measurement are determined using the same information hierarchy used for the initial fair value measurement.

The most commonly used techniques in our valuation of acquired property are proprietary home price model and appraisals (both current and walk forward). Based on the number of properties measured as of June 30, 2014, these methodologies comprised approximately 76% of our valuations, while accepted offers comprised approximately 20% of our valuations. Based on the number of properties measured as of December 31, 2013, these methodologies comprised approximately 81% of our valuations, while accepted offers comprised approximately 16% of our valuations.

Acquired property is classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because significant inputs are unobservable.

A description of our acquired property significant valuation techniques is as follows:

Single-family acquired property valuation techniques

Appraisal: An appraisal is an estimate of the value of a specific property by a certified or licensed appraiser, in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. Data most commonly used is from the local Multiple Listing

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Service and includes properties currently listed for sale, properties under contract, and closed transactions. The appraiser performs an analysis that starts with these data points and then adjusts for differences between the comparable properties and the property being appraised, to arrive at an estimated value for the specific property. Adjustments are made for differences between comparable properties for unobservable inputs such as square footage, location, and condition of the property. The appraiser typically uses recent historical data for the estimate of value.

Broker Price Opinion: This technique provides an estimate of what the property is worth based upon a real estate broker's knowledge. The broker uses research of pertinent data in the appropriate market, and a sales comparison approach that is similar to the appraisal process. The broker typically has insight into local market trends, such as the number of and terms of offers, lack of offers, increasing supply, shortage of inventory and overall interest in buying a home. This information, all of which is unobservable, is used along with recent and pending sales and current listings of similar properties to arrive at an estimate of value.

We review the appraisals and broker price opinions received to determine if they have been performed in accordance with applicable standards and if the results are consistent with our observed transactions on similar properties. We make necessary adjustments as required.

Appraisal and Broker Price Opinion Walk Forwards ("Walk Forwards"): We use these techniques to adjust appraisal and broker price opinion valuations for changing market conditions by applying a walk forward factor based on local price movements since the time the third-party value was obtained. The majority of third-party values are updated by comparing the difference in our internal home price model from the month of the original appraisal/broker price opinion to the current period and by applying the resulting percentage change to the original value. If a price is not determinable through our internal home price model, we use our zip code level home price index to update the valuations.

Internal Model: We use an internal model to estimate fair value for distressed properties. The valuation methodology and inputs used are described under "Mortgage Loans Held for Investment."

Multifamily acquired property valuation techniques

Appraisals: We use this method to estimate property values for distressed properties. The valuation methodology and inputs used are described under "Mortgage Loans Held for Investment."

Broker Price Opinions: We use this method to estimate property values for distressed properties. The valuation methodology and inputs used are described under "Mortgage Loans Held for Investment."

Derivatives Assets and Liabilities (collectively "Derivatives")

Derivatives are recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis. The valuation process for the majority of our risk management derivatives uses observable market data provided by third-party sources, resulting in Level 2 classification of the valuation hierarchy.

A description of our derivatives valuation techniques is as follows:

Internal Model: We use internal models to value interest rate swaps which are valued by referencing yield curves derived from observable interest rates and spreads to project and discount swap cash flows to present value. Option-based derivatives use an internal model that projects the probability of various levels of interest rates by referencing swaption volatilities provided by market makers/dealers. The projected cash flows of the underlying swaps of these option-based derivatives are discounted to present value using yield curves derived from observable interest rates and spreads.

Dealer Mark: Certain highly complex structured swaps primarily use a single dealer mark due to lack of transparency in the market and may be modeled using observable interest rates and volatility levels as well as significant unobservable assumptions, resulting in Level 3 classification of the valuation hierarchy. Mortgage commitment derivatives that use observable market data, quotes and actual transaction price levels adjusted for market movement are typically classified as Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. To the extent mortgage commitment derivatives include adjustments for market movement that cannot be corroborated by observable market data, we classify them as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Debt

The majority of debt of Fannie Mae is recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at the principal amount outstanding, net of cost basis adjustments. We elected the fair value option for certain structured Fannie Mae debt instruments and debt of consolidated trusts with embedded derivatives, which are recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis.

We classify debt instruments that have quoted market prices in active markets for similar liabilities when traded as assets as Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. For all valuation techniques used for debts instruments where there is limited activity or less transparency around these inputs to the valuation, these debt instruments are classified as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

A description of our debt valuation techniques is as follows:

Consensus: We estimate the fair value of debt of Fannie Mae and our debt of consolidated trusts using an average of two or more vendor prices or dealer marks that represents estimated fair value for similar liabilities when traded as assets.

Single Vendor: We estimate the fair value of debt of Fannie Mae and our debt of consolidated trusts using a single vendor price that represents estimated fair value for these liabilities when traded as assets.

Discounted Cash Flow: In the absence of prices provided by third-party pricing services supported by observable market data, we estimate the fair value of a portion of the debt of Fannie Mae and our debt of consolidated trusts using a discounted cash flow technique that uses spreads based on market assumptions where available.

The valuation methodology and inputs used in estimating the fair value of MBS assets are described under “Trading Securities and Available-for-Sale Securities.”

Valuation Control Processes

We have control processes that are designed to ensure that our fair value measurements are appropriate and reliable, that they are based on observable inputs wherever possible and that our valuation approaches are consistently applied and the assumptions used are reasonable. Our control processes consist of a framework that provides for a segregation of duties and oversight of our fair value methodologies and valuations, as well as validation procedures.

Our Enterprise Models Group develops models that are used in estimating the fair value of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes. In addition, our Model Oversight Committee (“MOC”) facilitates the cross-functional coordination and effectiveness of our modeling efforts in terms of research, model use and risk governance. The MOC comprises senior representatives from Underwriting and Pricing, Capital Markets, Multifamily, Credit Portfolio Management, Enterprise Risk Management and Finance and is co-chaired by our Chief Risk Officer and our Head of Enterprise Business Analytics. Our Model Risk Management Group is responsible for establishing risk management controls and for reviewing models used in the determination of fair value measurements for financial reporting.

The Pricing and Verification Group is responsible for the estimation and verification of the fair value for the majority of our financial assets and financial liabilities including review of material assumptions used when market-based inputs do not exist. The Pricing and Verification Group also provides a quarterly update to the Valuation Oversight Committee (“VOC”) on relevant market information, pricing trends, significant valuation challenges and the resolution of those challenges. The Pricing and Verification Group resides within our Finance Division and is independent of any trading or market related activities. Fair value measurements for acquired property and collateral dependent loans are determined by other valuation groups in the Finance Division.

Our VOC includes senior representation from our Capital Markets segment, our Enterprise Risk Office and our Finance division, and is responsible for providing overall governance for our valuation processes and results. The composition of the VOC is determined by the VOC chair, our Chief Financial Officer, with the objective of obtaining appropriate representation from Finance, Enterprise Risk Management and select business units within Fannie Mae. Based on its review of valuation methodologies and fair value results for various financial instruments used for financial reporting, the VOC is responsible for advising the VOC chair, who has the ultimate responsibility over all valuation processes and results. The VOC also reviews trend analysis for various financial assets and liabilities on a quarterly basis.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

We use third-party vendor prices and dealer quotes to estimate fair value of some of our financial assets and liabilities. Third-party vendor prices are primarily used to estimate fair value for trading securities, available-for-sale securities, debt of Fannie Mae and consolidated MBS debt. Our Pricing and Verification Group performs various review and validation procedures prior to utilizing these prices in our fair value estimation process. We verify selected prices, using a variety of methods, including corroborating the prices by reference to other independent market data, such as non-binding broker or dealer quotations, relevant benchmark indices and prices of similar instruments. We also review prices for reasonableness based on variations from prices provided in previous periods, comparing prices to internally estimated prices, using primarily a discounted cash flow approach, and conducting relative value comparisons based on specific characteristics of securities.

We have discussions with the pricing vendors as part of our due diligence process in order to maintain a current understanding of the valuation processes and related assumptions and inputs that these vendors use in developing prices. The prices provided to us by third-party pricing services reflect the existence of market reliance upon credit enhancements, if any, and the current lack of liquidity in the marketplace. If we determine that a price provided to us is outside established parameters, we will further examine the price, including having follow-up discussions with the pricing service or dealer. If we conclude that a price is not valid, we will adjust the price for various factors, such as liquidity, bid-ask spreads and credit considerations. All of these procedures are executed before we use the prices in preparing our financial statements.

We have an internal property valuation function that utilizes an internal model to compare the values received on a property and assign a risk rating based on several factors including the deviation between the various values. Property valuations with risk ratings above a specified threshold are reviewed for reasonableness by a team of property valuation experts. The internal model that is used to assign a risk rating and the threshold specified is subject to VOC oversight. In addition, our Quality Control Group reviews the overall work performed and inspects a portion of the properties in major markets, for which the third-party valuations are obtained, in order to assess the quality of the valuations.

We calibrate the performance of our proprietary distressed home price model using actual offers in recently observed transactions. The model's performance is reviewed on a monthly basis by the REO valuation team and compared quarterly to specific model performance thresholds. The results of the validation are regularly reviewed with the VOC.

Our Property Valuation Review Group reviews appraisals and broker price opinions to determine the most appropriate value by comparing data within these products with current comparable properties and market data. We conduct regular performance reviews of the counterparties that provide products and services for this process. In addition, valuation results and trend analyses are reviewed regularly by management responsible for valuing and disposing of real estate.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table displays the carrying value and estimated fair value of our financial instruments as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The fair value of financial instruments we disclose includes commitments to purchase multifamily and single-family mortgage loans, which are off-balance sheet financial instruments that we do not record in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The fair values of these commitments are included as "Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses." The disclosure excludes certain financial instruments, such as plan obligations for pension and postretirement health care benefits, employee stock option and stock purchase plans, and also excludes all non-financial instruments. As a result, the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities does not represent the underlying fair value of our total consolidated assets and liabilities.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

As of June 30, 2014

| | Carrying Value | Quoted Price in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Netting Adjustment | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Financial assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash | \$ 50,434 | \$ 34,884 | \$ 15,550 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 50,434 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | 16,700 | — | 16,700 | — | — | 16,700 |
| Trading securities | 26,630 | 13,083 | 10,878 | 2,669 | — | 26,630 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 34,026 | — | 17,216 | 16,810 | — | 34,026 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 625 | — | 169 | 492 | — | 661 |
| Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | 247,966 | — | 29,361 | 219,051 | — | 248,412 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,756,195 | — | 2,620,397 | 191,574 | — | 2,811,971 |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | 3,004,161 | — | 2,649,758 | 410,625 | — | 3,060,383 |
| Advances to lenders | 4,741 | — | 4,220 | 505 | — | 4,725 |
| Derivative assets at fair value | 1,264 | — | 8,022 | 139 | (6,897) | 1,264 |
| Guaranty assets and buy-ups | 253 | — | — | 766 | — | 766 |
| Total financial assets | <u>\$ 3,138,834</u> | <u>\$ 47,967</u> | <u>\$ 2,722,513</u> | <u>\$ 432,006</u> | <u>\$ (6,897)</u> | <u>\$ 3,195,589</u> |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | \$ 90,926 | \$ — | \$ 90,935 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 90,935 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 1,844 | — | — | 1,844 | — | 1,844 |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | 386,609 | — | 398,264 | 940 | — | 399,204 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,710,166 | — | 2,754,120 | 15,054 | — | 2,769,174 |
| Derivative liabilities at fair value | 736 | — | 10,007 | 119 | (9,390) | 736 |
| Guaranty obligations | 453 | — | — | 2,049 | — | 2,049 |
| Total financial liabilities | <u>\$ 3,190,734</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 3,253,326</u> | <u>\$ 20,006</u> | <u>\$ (9,390)</u> | <u>\$ 3,263,942</u> |

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

| As of December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Carrying Value | Quoted Price in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Netting Adjustment | Estimated Fair Value | |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| Financial assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash | \$ 48,223 | \$ 36,633 | \$ 11,590 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 48,223 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements | 38,975 | — | 38,975 | — | — | 38,975 |
| Trading securities | 30,768 | 16,306 | 11,688 | 2,774 | — | 30,768 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 38,171 | — | 19,159 | 19,012 | — | 38,171 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 380 | — | 185 | 195 | — | 380 |
| Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | 259,638 | — | 29,920 | 215,960 | — | 245,880 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,766,222 | — | 2,569,747 | 176,395 | — | 2,746,142 |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | 3,025,860 | — | 2,599,667 | 392,355 | — | 2,992,022 |
| Advances to lenders | 3,727 | — | 3,165 | 523 | — | 3,688 |
| Derivative assets at fair value | 2,073 | — | 10,430 | 65 | (8,422) | 2,073 |
| Guaranty assets and buy-ups | 267 | — | — | 706 | — | 706 |
| Total financial assets | <u>\$ 3,188,444</u> | <u>\$ 52,939</u> | <u>\$ 2,694,859</u> | <u>\$ 415,630</u> | <u>\$ (8,422)</u> | <u>\$ 3,155,006</u> |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | \$ 72,295 | \$ — | \$ 72,304 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 72,304 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,154 | — | — | 2,154 | — | 2,154 |
| Long-term debt: | | | | | | |
| Of Fannie Mae | 457,139 | — | 463,991 | 1,557 | — | 465,548 |
| Of consolidated trusts | 2,702,935 | — | 2,684,224 | 13,362 | — | 2,697,586 |
| Derivative liabilities at fair value | 1,469 | — | 10,734 | 105 | (9,370) | 1,469 |
| Guaranty obligations | 485 | — | — | 2,433 | — | 2,433 |
| Total financial liabilities | <u>\$ 3,236,477</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 3,231,253</u> | <u>\$ 19,611</u> | <u>\$ (9,370)</u> | <u>\$ 3,241,494</u> |

Financial Instruments for which fair value approximates carrying value—We hold certain financial instruments that are not carried at fair value but for which the carrying value approximates fair value due to the short-term nature and negligible credit risk inherent in them. These financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, the majority of advances to lenders, and federal funds and securities sold/purchased under agreements to repurchase/resell.

Federal funds and securities sold/purchased under agreements to repurchase/resell—The carrying value for the majority of these specific instruments approximates the fair value due to the short-term nature and the negligible inherent credit risk, as they involve the exchange of liquid collateral. Were we to calculate the fair value of these instruments we would use observable inputs resulting in Level 2 classification.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale—Loans are reported at the lower of cost or fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The valuation methodology and inputs used in estimating the fair value of HFS loans are the same as for our HFI

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

loans and are described under “Fair Value Measurement—Mortgage Loans Held for Investment.” These loans are classified as Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy to the extent that significant inputs are observable. To the extent that significant inputs are unobservable, the loans are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

HARP Loans—We measure the fair value of loans that are delivered under the Home Affordable Refinance Program (“HARP”) using a modified build-up approach while the loan is performing. Under this modified approach, we set the credit component of the consolidated loans (that is, the guaranty obligation) equal to the compensation we would currently receive for a loan delivered to us under the program because the total compensation for these loans is equal to their current exit price in the GSE securitization market. For a description of the build-up valuation methodology, refer to “Fair Value Measurement—Mortgage Loans Held for Investment.” We will continue to use this pricing methodology as long as the HARP program is available to market participants. If, subsequent to delivery, the refinanced loan becomes past due or is modified as a part of a troubled debt restructuring, the fair value of the guaranty obligation is then measured consistent with other loans that have similar characteristics.

The total compensation that we receive for the delivery of a HARP loan reflects the pricing that we are willing to offer because HARP is a part of a broader government program intended to provide assistance to homeowners and prevent foreclosures. If these benefits were not reflected in the pricing for these loans (that is, if the loans were valued using our standard build-up approach), the fair value disclosed in the table above would be lower by \$10.1 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$11.5 billion as of December 31, 2013. The total fair value of our mortgage loans that have been refinanced under HARP as presented in the table above is \$303.6 billion as of June 30, 2014 and \$306.9 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Advances to Lenders—The carrying value for the majority of our advances to lenders approximates fair value due to the short-term nature and the negligible inherent credit risk. If we were to calculate the fair value of these instruments we would use discounted cash flow models that use observable inputs such as spreads based on market assumptions, resulting in Level 2 classification.

Advances to lenders also include loans for which the carrying value does not approximate fair value. These loans do not qualify for Fannie Mae MBS securitization and are valued using market-based techniques including credit spreads, severities and prepayment speeds for similar loans, through third-party pricing services or through a model approach incorporating both interest rate and credit risk simulating a loan sale via a synthetic structure. We classify these valuations as Level 3 given that significant inputs are not observable or are determined by extrapolation of observable inputs.

Guaranty Assets and Buy-ups—Guaranty assets related to our portfolio securitizations are recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis and are classified as Level 3. Guaranty assets in lender swap transactions are recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at the lower of cost or fair value. These assets, which are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, are also classified as Level 3.

We estimate the fair value of guaranty assets based on the present value of expected future cash flows of the underlying mortgage assets using management’s best estimate of certain key assumptions, which include prepayment speeds, forward yield curves, and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved. These cash flows are projected using proprietary prepayment, interest rate and credit risk models. Because guaranty assets are like an interest-only income stream, the projected cash flows from our guaranty assets are discounted using one-month LIBOR plus an option-adjusted spread that is calibrated using a representative sample of interest-only swaps that reference Fannie Mae MBS. We believe the remitted fee income is less liquid than interest-only swaps and more like an excess servicing strip. Therefore, we take a further discount of the present value for these liquidity considerations. This discount is based on market quotes from third-party pricing services.

The fair value of the guaranty assets includes the fair value of any associated buy-ups, which is estimated in the same manner as guaranty assets but is recorded separately as a component of “Other assets” in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Guaranty Obligations—The fair value of all guaranty obligations, measured subsequent to their initial recognition, is our estimate of a hypothetical transaction price we would receive if we were to issue our guaranty to an unrelated party in a standalone arm’s-length transaction at the measurement date. These obligations are classified as Level 3. The valuation methodology and inputs used in estimating the fair value of the guaranty obligations are described under “Fair Value Measurement—Mortgage Loans Held for Investment, Build-up.”

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair Value Option

We elected the fair value option for our credit risk sharing debt securities issued under our Connecticut Avenue Securities series and certain loans of consolidated trusts that contain embedded derivatives that would otherwise require bifurcation. Under the fair value option, we elected to carry these instruments at fair value instead of bifurcating the embedded derivative from the respective loan.

We elected the fair value option for all long-term structured debt instruments that are issued in response to specific investor demand and have interest rates that are based on a calculated index or formula and are economically hedged with derivatives at the time of issuance. By electing the fair value option for these instruments, we are able to eliminate the volatility in our results of operations that would otherwise result from the accounting asymmetry created by recording these structured debt instruments at cost while recording the related derivatives at fair value.

We elected the fair value option for the financial assets and liabilities of the consolidated senior-subordinate trust structures. By electing the fair value option for these instruments, we are able to eliminate the volatility in our results of operations that would otherwise result from different accounting treatment between loans at cost and debt at cost.

Interest income for the mortgage loans is recorded in "Interest income- Mortgage Loans" and interest expense for the debt instruments is recorded in "Interest expense- Long-term debt" in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

The following table displays the fair value and unpaid principal balance of the financial instruments for which we have made fair value elections as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| | As of | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
| | Loans of Consolidated Trusts ⁽¹⁾ | Long-Term Debt of Fannie Mae | Long-Term Debt of Consolidated Trusts ⁽²⁾ | Loans of Consolidated Trusts ⁽¹⁾ | Long-Term Debt of Fannie Mae | Long-Term Debt of Consolidated Trusts ⁽²⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 15,116 | \$ 3,432 | \$ 16,420 | \$ 14,268 | \$ 1,308 | \$ 14,976 |
| Unpaid principal balance | 14,732 | 3,239 | 15,086 | 14,440 | 1,290 | 13,988 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes nonaccrual loans with a fair value of \$204 million and \$196 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The difference between unpaid principal balance and the fair value of these nonaccrual loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$67 million and \$74 million, respectively. Includes loans that are 90 days or more past due with a fair value of \$276 million and \$288 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The difference between unpaid principal balance and the fair value of these 90 or more days past due loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$64 million and \$75 million, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Includes interest-only debt instruments with no unpaid principal balance and a fair value of \$74 million and \$85 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Changes in Fair Value under the Fair Value Option Election

The following table displays fair value gains and losses, net, including changes attributable to instrument-specific credit risk, for loans and debt for which the fair value election was made. Amounts are recorded as a component of “Fair value (losses) gains, net” in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
| | Loans | Long-Term Debt | Total (Losses) Gains | Loans | Long-Term Debt | Total Gains (Losses) |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Changes in instrument-specific credit risk | \$ 16 | \$ (76) | \$ (60) | \$ 66 | \$ 2 | \$ 68 |
| Other changes in fair value | 371 | (221) | 150 | (549) | 339 | (210) |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | <u>\$ 387</u> | <u>\$ (297)</u> | <u>\$ 90</u> | <u>\$ (483)</u> | <u>\$ 341</u> | <u>\$ (142)</u> |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
| | Loans | Long-Term Debt | Total (Losses) Gains | Loans | Long-Term Debt | Total Losses |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Changes in instrument-specific credit risk | \$ 25 | \$ (127) | \$ (102) | \$ 1 | \$ (3) | \$ (2) |
| Other changes in fair value | 494 | (337) | 157 | (706) | 405 | (301) |
| Fair value (losses) gains, net | <u>\$ 519</u> | <u>\$ (464)</u> | <u>\$ 55</u> | <u>\$ (705)</u> | <u>\$ 402</u> | <u>\$ (303)</u> |

In determining the changes in the instrument-specific credit risk for loans, the changes in the associated credit-related components of these loans, primarily the guaranty obligation, were taken into consideration with the overall change in the fair value of the loans for which we elected the fair value option for financial instruments. In determining the changes in the instrument-specific credit risk for debt, the changes in Fannie Mae debt spreads to LIBOR that occurred during the period were taken into consideration with the overall change in the fair value of the debt for which we elected the fair value option for financial instruments. Specifically, cash flows are evaluated taking into consideration any derivatives through which Fannie Mae has swapped out of the structured features of the notes and thus created a floating-rate LIBOR-based debt instrument. The change in value of these LIBOR-based cash flows based on the Fannie Mae yield curve at the beginning and end of the period represents the instrument-specific risk.

17. Commitments and Contingencies

We are party to various types of legal actions and proceedings, including actions brought on behalf of various classes of claimants. We also are subject to regulatory examinations, inquiries and investigations and other information gathering requests. In some of the matters, indeterminate amounts are sought. Modern pleading practice in the U.S. permits considerable variation in the assertion of monetary damages or other relief. Jurisdictions may permit claimants not to specify the monetary damages sought or may permit claimants to state only that the amount sought is sufficient to invoke the jurisdiction of the trial court. This variability in pleadings, together with our and our counsel’s actual experience in litigating or settling claims, leads us to conclude that the monetary relief that may be sought by plaintiffs bears little relevance to the merits or disposition value of claims.

On a quarterly basis, we review relevant information about all pending legal actions and proceedings for the purpose of evaluating and revising our contingencies, reserves and disclosures.

We have substantial and valid defenses to the claims in the proceedings described below and, where we are a party, intend to defend these matters vigorously. However, legal actions and proceedings of all types are subject to many uncertain factors

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

that generally cannot be predicted with assurance. Accordingly, the outcome of any given matter and the amount or range of potential loss at particular points in time is frequently difficult to ascertain. Uncertainties can include how fact finders will evaluate documentary evidence and the credibility and effectiveness of witness testimony, and how courts will apply the law. Disposition valuations are also subject to the uncertainty of how opposing parties and their counsel may view the evidence and applicable law. Further, FHFA adopted a regulation in 2011, which provides, in part, that while we are in conservatorship, FHFA will not pay claims by our current or former shareholders, unless the Director of FHFA determines it is in the interest of the conservatorship. The presence of this regulation and FHFA's assertion that FHFA will not pay claims asserted in certain cases discussed below while we are in conservatorship creates additional uncertainty in those cases.

We establish a reserve for matters when a loss is probable and we can reasonably estimate the amount of such loss. For legal actions or proceedings where there is only a reasonable possibility that a loss may be incurred, or where we are not currently able to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss, we do not establish a reserve. We are often unable to estimate the possible losses or ranges of losses, particularly for proceedings that are in their early stages of development, where plaintiffs seek indeterminate or unspecified damages, where there may be novel or unsettled legal questions relevant to the proceedings, or where settlement negotiations have not occurred or progressed.

Given the uncertainties involved in any action or proceeding, regardless of whether we have established a reserve, the ultimate resolution of certain of these matters may be material to our operating results for a particular period, depending on, among other factors, the size of the loss or liability imposed and the level of our net income or loss for that period.

In addition to the matters specifically described below, we are involved in a number of legal and regulatory proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business that we do not expect will have a material impact on our business or financial condition. We have also advanced fees and expenses of certain current and former officers and directors in connection with various legal proceedings pursuant to our bylaws and indemnification agreements.

In re Fannie Mae Securities Litigation

Fannie Mae was a defendant in a consolidated class action lawsuit initially filed in 2004 that was pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. In the consolidated complaint filed in 2005, lead plaintiffs Ohio Public Employees Retirement System and State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio alleged that we and certain former officers, as well as our former outside auditor, made materially false and misleading statements in violation of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. Plaintiffs contended that Fannie Mae's accounting statements were inconsistent with GAAP requirements relating to hedge accounting and the amortization of premiums and discounts, and sought unspecified compensatory damages, attorneys' fees, and other fees and costs. On January 7, 2008, the court defined the class as all purchasers of Fannie Mae common stock and call options and all sellers of publicly traded Fannie Mae put options during the period from April 17, 2001 through December 22, 2004. On October 17, 2008, FHFA, as conservator for Fannie Mae, intervened in this case. In September and December 2010, plaintiffs served expert reports claiming damages to plaintiffs under various scenarios ranging cumulatively from \$2.2 billion to \$8.6 billion. In 2011, the parties filed various motions for summary judgment. On September 20, 2012, the court granted summary judgment to defendant Franklin D. Raines, Fannie Mae's former Chief Executive Officer, on all claims against him. On October 16, 2012, the court granted summary judgment to defendant J. Timothy Howard, Fannie Mae's former Chief Financial Officer, on all claims against him. On November 20, 2012, the court granted summary judgment to defendant Leanne Spencer, Fannie Mae's former Controller, on all claims against her.

On April 10, 2013, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle this litigation, subject to court approval. On May 7, 2013, the parties filed a stipulation of settlement with the court. On June 7, 2013, the court granted preliminary approval of the settlement, approved the form and manner of notice to the class, stayed non-settlement related proceedings, and set certain other deadlines related to the settlement. On October 31, 2013, the court held a hearing to evaluate the fairness of the settlement to the class, and on December 5, 2013, granted final approval of the settlement, dismissed the case with prejudice, and entered an order and judgment effecting the settlement. Fannie Mae's contribution to the settlement did not have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition. On January 9, 2014, Rinis Travel Service, Inc. Profit Sharing Trust U.A. 61-1989, a purported class member, appealed the court's approval order with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, but voluntarily dismissed the appeal with prejudice on March 13, 2014. On January 29, 2014, an individual purported class member also appealed the settlement approval, and plaintiffs-appellees moved to dismiss this

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

appeal on February 6, 2014. The motion to dismiss the appeal was granted on May 8, 2014. On June 9, 2014, the individual filed a petition for rehearing en banc. That petition was denied on June 25, 2014.

2008 Class Action Lawsuits and Related Proceedings

Fannie Mae is a defendant in two consolidated class actions filed in 2008 and currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York—*In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation* and *In re 2008 Fannie Mae ERISA Litigation*. On February 11, 2009, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered that the cases be coordinated for pretrial proceedings. In addition, two individual securities actions involving related facts and circumstances—*Comprehensive Investment Services v. Mudd* and *Smith v. Fannie Mae*—were later filed and ultimately transferred to the same court for coordination with the class actions.

In addition to these proceedings, certain underwriters have notified us that they have been named in various other actions arising out of certain of Fannie Mae's preferred stock offerings and may seek indemnification for any losses arising out of those actions pursuant to the terms of our underwriting agreements with them.

In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation

In a consolidated amended complaint filed on June 22, 2009, lead plaintiffs Massachusetts Pension Reserves Investment Management Board and Boston Retirement Board (for common shareholders) and Tennessee Consolidated Retirement System (for preferred shareholders) allege that we, certain of our former officers, and certain of our underwriters violated Sections 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933. Lead plaintiffs also allege that we, certain of our former officers, and our outside auditor, violated Sections 10(b) (and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Lead plaintiffs seek various forms of relief, including rescission, damages, interest, costs, attorneys' and experts' fees, and other equitable and injunctive relief. On October 13, 2009, the court entered an order allowing FHFA to intervene.

In 2009, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the Securities Act claims as to all defendants. In 2010, the court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motions to dismiss the Securities Exchange Act claims. As a result of the partial denial, some of the Securities Exchange Act claims remained pending against us and certain of our former officers. Fannie Mae filed its answer to the consolidated complaint on December 31, 2010.

Plaintiffs filed a second amended joint consolidated class action complaint on March 2, 2012, renewing the remaining claims and adding FHFA as a defendant. On August 30, 2012, the court denied defendants' motions to dismiss the second amended complaint, allowing plaintiffs' Securities Exchange Act claims premised on Fannie Mae's subprime and Alt-A disclosures to proceed along with plaintiffs' claims premised on Fannie Mae's risk management disclosures. Fannie Mae filed its answer to the second amended complaint on October 29, 2012.

On July 15, 2014, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle this litigation. The proposed settlement amount did not have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In re 2008 Fannie Mae ERISA Litigation

In a consolidated complaint filed in 2009, plaintiffs allege that certain of our current and former officers and directors, including members of Fannie Mae's Benefit Plans Committee and the Compensation Committee of Fannie Mae's Board of Directors during the relevant time periods, as fiduciaries of Fannie Mae's Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP"), breached their duties to ESOP participants and beneficiaries by investing ESOP funds in Fannie Mae common stock when it was no longer prudent to continue to do so. Plaintiffs purport to represent a class of participants and beneficiaries of the ESOP whose accounts invested in Fannie Mae common stock beginning April 17, 2007. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, attorneys' fees and other fees and costs, and injunctive and other equitable relief. Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on March 2, 2012 adding two current Board members and then-CEO Michael J. Williams as defendants. On October 22, 2012, the court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motions to dismiss. The court dismissed with prejudice claims against seven former and current directors and officers who joined the Board of Directors or Benefit Plans Committee after Fannie Mae was placed into conservatorship. The court allowed plaintiffs' breach of fiduciary duty and failure to monitor claims to go forward, but dismissed plaintiffs' conflict of interest claim. On September 23, 2013, defendants filed a motion asking the court to reconsider its October 22, 2012 order in light of the U.S. Court of Appeals for

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

the Second Circuit's decision in *Rinehart v. Akers (In re Lehman Bros. ERISA Litigation)*, 722 F.3d 137 (2d Cir. 2013). The court denied the motion for reconsideration on April 21, 2014.

Given the stage of this lawsuit, the substantial and novel legal questions that remain, and our substantial defenses, we are currently unable to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss arising from this litigation.

Comprehensive Investment Services v. Mudd

This individual securities action was originally filed on May 13, 2009, by plaintiff Comprehensive Investment Services, Inc. against certain of our former officers and directors, and certain of our underwriters in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas. On July 7, 2009, this case was transferred to the Southern District of New York for coordination with *In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation* and *In re 2008 Fannie Mae ERISA Litigation*. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on May 11, 2011 against us, certain of our former officers, and certain of our underwriters. The amended complaint alleges violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder; violations of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and violations of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, common law fraud, and negligent misrepresentation in connection with Fannie Mae's May 2008 \$2.0 billion offering of 8.25% non-cumulative preferred Series T stock. Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of rescission, actual damages, punitive damages, interest, costs, attorneys' and experts' fees, and other equitable and injunctive relief. Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint on March 2, 2012. On August 30, 2012, the court denied defendants' motions to dismiss the second amended complaint, allowing plaintiff's Securities Exchange Act claims premised on Fannie Mae's subprime and Alt-A disclosures and risk management disclosures to proceed. The court granted defendants' motions to dismiss the state law claims, as well as the federal claims based on alleged violations of GAAP, and also dismissed two of our former officers from the action. Fannie Mae filed its answer to the amended complaint on October 29, 2012.

Given the stage of this lawsuit, the substantial and novel legal questions that remain, and our substantial defenses, we are currently unable to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss arising from this litigation.

Smith v. Fannie Mae

This individual securities action was originally filed on February 25, 2010, by plaintiff Edward Smith against Fannie Mae and certain of its former officers as well as several underwriters in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. On April 12, 2010, this case was transferred to the Southern District of New York for coordination with *In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation* and *In re 2008 Fannie Mae ERISA Litigation*. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on April 19, 2011, which alleges violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder; violations of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; common law fraud and negligence claims; and California state law claims for misrepresentation in connection with Fannie Mae's December 2007 \$7.0 billion offering of 7.75% fixed-to-floating rate non-cumulative preferred Series S stock. Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of rescission, actual damages (including interest), and exemplary and punitive damages. Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint, allowing plaintiff's Securities Exchange Act claims premised on Fannie Mae's subprime and Alt-A disclosures and risk management disclosures to proceed, but granted defendants' motions to dismiss the state law claims. Fannie Mae filed its answer to the amended complaint on October 29, 2012.

Given the stage of this lawsuit, the substantial and novel legal questions that remain, and our substantial defenses, we are currently unable to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss arising from this litigation.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreements Litigation

A number of putative class action lawsuits were filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against us, FHFA as our conservator, Treasury and Freddie Mac from July through September 2013 by shareholders of Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac challenging the August 2012 amendment to each company's senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury. These lawsuits were consolidated and, on December 3, 2013, plaintiffs (preferred and common shareholders of Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac) filed a consolidated class action complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against us, FHFA as our conservator, Treasury and Freddie Mac ("*In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations*"). The preferred shareholder plaintiffs allege that the August 2012 amendments to the terms of the senior preferred stock purchase agreements providing that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac would pay dividends equal to their entire net worth (minus a specified capital reserve amount) ("the net worth sweep provisions") nullified certain of the shareholders' rights, particularly the right to receive dividends. The common shareholder plaintiffs allege that the August 2012 amendments constituted a taking of their property by requiring that all future profits of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are paid to Treasury. Plaintiffs allege claims for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against us, FHFA and Freddie Mac, a takings claim against FHFA and Treasury, and a breach of fiduciary duty claim derivatively on our and Freddie Mac's behalf against FHFA and Treasury. Plaintiffs seek to represent several classes of preferred and/or common shareholders of Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac who held stock as of the public announcement of the August 2012 amendments. Plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, equitable and injunctive relief, and costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees.

A non-class action suit, *Arrowood Indemnity Company v. Fannie Mae*, was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia on September 20, 2013 by preferred shareholders against us, FHFA as our conservator, the Director of FHFA (in his official capacity), Treasury, the Secretary of the Treasury (in his official capacity) and Freddie Mac. Plaintiffs bring claims for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against us, FHFA and Freddie Mac, and claims for violation of the Administrative Procedure Act against the FHFA and Treasury defendants, alleging that the net worth sweep provisions nullified certain rights of the preferred shareholders, particularly the right to receive dividends. Plaintiffs seek damages, equitable and injunctive relief, and costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees.

On January 17, 2014, defendants filed motions to dismiss both the class action and non-class action suits pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, and these motions are now fully briefed.

Given the stage of these lawsuits, the substantial and novel legal questions that remain, and our substantial defenses, we are currently unable to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss arising from this litigation.

Housing Trust Fund

On July 9, 2013, plaintiffs Angela Samuels, Rossana Torres, Danielle Stelluto, the National Low Income Housing Coalition and the Right to the City Alliance filed a complaint against FHFA and the Director of FHFA (in his official capacity) in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. We are not a party to this lawsuit. The complaint challenges FHFA's decision to suspend Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's contributions to HUD's Housing Trust Fund. The Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008 (the "2008 Reform Act"), which was enacted on July 30, 2008, requires Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to set aside an amount equal to 4.2 basis points for each dollar of the unpaid principal balance of their total new business purchases to fund the Housing Trust Fund and the Capital Magnet Fund, with 65% of this amount allocated to the Housing Trust Fund and the remaining 35% allocated to the Capital Magnet Fund. The 2008 Reform Act authorizes the Director of FHFA to temporarily suspend these allocations in specified circumstances. In November 2008, FHFA suspended allocations for these funds and directed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to not set aside or allocate funds for the Housing Trust Fund and the Capital Magnet Fund until further notice.

FANNIE MAE
(In conservatorship)
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Plaintiffs' complaint alleges that FHFA's directives ordering Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to suspend payments to the Housing Trust Fund, and FHFA's failure to review its decision to suspend payments once Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's financial circumstances changed, violated the Administrative Procedure Act. Plaintiffs request that the court: (1) vacate and set aside FHFA's decision to indefinitely suspend payments by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to the Housing Trust Fund; (2) declare that FHFA's actions violated the Administrative Procedure Act; (3) order FHFA to instruct Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to proceed as if FHFA's suspension of payments to the Housing Trust Fund had never taken place; and (4) award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the plaintiffs. FHFA filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on October 16, 2013. In response to the motion, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on October 29, 2013. FHFA filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on December 6, 2013, and briefing was completed on January 28, 2014.

We cannot predict the course or the outcome of this lawsuit.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Information about market risk is set forth in “MD&A—Risk Management—Market Risk Management, Including Interest Rate Risk Management.”

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Overview

We are required under applicable laws and regulations to maintain controls and procedures, which include disclosure controls and procedures as well as internal control over financial reporting, as further described below.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures refer to controls and other procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding our required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act, management has evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as in effect as of June 30, 2014, the end of the period covered by this report. As a result of management’s evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at a reasonable assurance level as of June 30, 2014 or as of the date of filing this report.

Our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of June 30, 2014 or as of the date of filing this report because they did not adequately ensure the accumulation and communication to management of information known to FHFA that is needed to meet our disclosure obligations under the federal securities laws. As a result, we were not able to rely upon the disclosure controls and procedures that were in place as of June 30, 2014 or as of the date of this filing, and we continue to have a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. This material weakness is described in more detail below under “Description of Material Weakness.” Based on discussions with FHFA and the structural nature of this material weakness, we do not expect to remediate this material weakness while we are under conservatorship.

Description of Material Weakness

The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board’s Auditing Standard No. 5 defines a material weakness as a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company’s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Management has determined that we continued to have the following material weakness as of June 30, 2014 and as of the date of filing this report:

- *Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* We have been under the conservatorship of FHFA since September 6, 2008. Under the 2008 Reform Act, FHFA is an independent agency that currently functions as both our conservator and our regulator with respect to our safety, soundness and mission. Because of the nature of the conservatorship under the 2008 Reform Act, which places us under the “control” of FHFA (as that term is defined by securities laws), some of the information that we may need to meet our disclosure obligations may be solely within the knowledge of FHFA. As our conservator, FHFA has the power to take actions without our knowledge that could be material to our shareholders and other stakeholders, and could significantly affect our financial performance or our continued existence as an ongoing business. Although we and FHFA attempted to design and implement disclosure policies and procedures that would account for the conservatorship and accomplish the same objectives as a disclosure controls and procedures policy of a typical reporting company, there are inherent structural limitations on our ability to design, implement, test

or operate effective disclosure controls and procedures. As both our regulator and our conservator under the 2008 Reform Act, FHFA is limited in its ability to design and implement a complete set of disclosure controls and procedures relating to Fannie Mae, particularly with respect to current reporting pursuant to Form 8-K. Similarly, as a regulated entity, we are limited in our ability to design, implement, operate and test the controls and procedures for which FHFA is responsible.

Due to these circumstances, we have not been able to update our disclosure controls and procedures in a manner that adequately ensures the accumulation and communication to management of information known to FHFA that is needed to meet our disclosure obligations under the federal securities laws, including disclosures affecting our condensed consolidated financial statements. As a result, we did not maintain effective controls and procedures designed to ensure complete and accurate disclosure as required by GAAP as of June 30, 2014 or as of the date of filing this report. Based on discussions with FHFA and the structural nature of this weakness, we do not expect to remediate this material weakness while we are under conservatorship.

Mitigating Actions Relating to Material Weakness

As described above under “Description of Material Weakness,” we continue to have a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting relating to our disclosure controls and procedures. However, we and FHFA have engaged in the following practices intended to permit accumulation and communication to management of information needed to meet our disclosure obligations under the federal securities laws:

- FHFA has established the Division of Conservatorship, which is intended to facilitate operation of the company with the oversight of the conservator.
- We have provided drafts of our SEC filings to FHFA personnel for their review and comment prior to filing. We also have provided drafts of external press releases, statements and speeches to FHFA personnel for their review and comment prior to release.
- FHFA personnel, including senior officials, have reviewed our SEC filings prior to filing, including this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 (“Second Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q”), and engaged in discussions regarding issues associated with the information contained in those filings. Prior to filing our Second Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q, FHFA provided Fannie Mae management with a written acknowledgment that it had reviewed the Second Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q, and it was not aware of any material misstatements or omissions in the Second Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q and had no objection to our filing the Second Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q.
- The Director of FHFA and our Chief Executive Officer have been in frequent communication, typically meeting on at least a bi-weekly basis.
- FHFA representatives attend meetings frequently with various groups within the company to enhance the flow of information and to provide oversight on a variety of matters, including accounting, credit and market risk management, external communications and legal matters.
- Senior officials within FHFA’s Office of the Chief Accountant have met frequently with our senior finance executives regarding our accounting policies, practices and procedures.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management has evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, whether any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting since March 31, 2014 that management believes have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information in this item supplements and updates information regarding certain legal proceedings set forth in “Legal Proceedings” in our 2013 Form 10-K and our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q. We also provide information regarding material legal proceedings in “Note 17, Commitments and Contingencies,” which is incorporated herein by reference. In addition to the matters specifically described or incorporated by reference in this item, we are involved in a number of legal and regulatory proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business that do not have a material impact on our business. Litigation claims and proceedings of all types are subject to many factors that generally cannot be predicted accurately.

We record reserves for legal claims when losses associated with those claims become probable and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. The actual costs of resolving legal claims may be substantially higher or lower than the amounts reserved for those claims. For matters where the likelihood or extent of a loss is not probable or cannot be reasonably estimated, we do not recognize in our condensed consolidated financial statements the potential liability that may result from these matters. Except for matters that have been settled, we presently cannot determine the ultimate resolution of the matters described below or incorporated by reference into this item or in our 2013 Form 10-K or our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q. If certain of these matters are determined against us, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition, including our net worth.

FHFA Private-Label Mortgage-Related Securities Litigation

As we disclosed in our 2013 Form 10-K in “Legal Proceedings,” in the third quarter of 2011, FHFA, as conservator, filed sixteen lawsuits on behalf of both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac against various financial institutions, their officers and affiliated and unaffiliated underwriters that were responsible for marketing and selling private-label mortgage-related securities to us. The lawsuits seek to recover losses we and Freddie Mac incurred on the securities.

As described in our 2013 Form 10-K and in our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q, ten of these lawsuits were resolved in 2013 and the first quarter of 2014. The following additional lawsuits were resolved in the second quarter of 2014:

- *Barclays*. On April 23, 2014, we, along with FHFA and Freddie Mac, entered into a settlement agreement with Barclays Bank PLC and certain related entities resolving the Barclays Bank PLC case for a payment of \$280 million. Barclays paid us \$53 million of this amount in May 2014. On May 5, 2014, the district court entered a voluntary order dismissing the case.
- *First Horizon*. On April 29, 2014, we, along with FHFA and Freddie Mac, entered into a settlement agreement with First Horizon National Corporation and certain related entities resolving the First Horizon National Corporation case for a payment of \$110 million. First Horizon paid us approximately \$62 million of this amount in May 2014. On May 19, 2014, the district court entered a voluntary order dismissing the case.

The other four lawsuits filed by FHFA on our behalf remain pending.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the information in this report, you should carefully consider the risks relating to our business that we identify in “Risk Factors” in our 2013 Form 10-K. This section supplements and updates that discussion. For a complete understanding of the subject, you should read both together. Please also refer to “MD&A—Risk Management” in this report and in our 2013 Form 10-K for more detailed descriptions of the primary risks to our business and how we seek to manage those risks.

The risks we face could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and net worth, and could cause our actual results to differ materially from our past results or the results contemplated by forward-looking statements contained in this report. However, these are not the only risks we face. In addition to the risks we discuss below and in our 2013 Form 10-K, we face risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial.

The future of our company is uncertain.

There continues to be significant uncertainty regarding the future of our company, including how long the company will continue to exist in its current form, the extent of our role in the market, what form we will have, and what ownership interest, if any, our current common and preferred stockholders will hold in us after the conservatorship is terminated and whether we will continue to exist following conservatorship.

In 2011, the Administration released a report to Congress on ending the conservatorships of the GSEs and reforming America’s housing finance market. The report provides that the Administration will work with FHFA to determine the best

way to responsibly reduce Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's role in the market and ultimately wind down both institutions. The report also addresses three options for a reformed housing finance system. The report does not state whether or how the existing infrastructure or human capital of Fannie Mae may be used in the establishment of such a reformed system. The report emphasizes the importance of proceeding with a careful transition plan and providing the necessary financial support to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac during the transition period. In August 2013, the White House released a paper confirming that a core principle of the Administration's housing policy priorities is to wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac through a responsible transition. In January 2014, the White House issued a fact sheet reaffirming the Administration's view that housing finance reform should include ending Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's business model.

In May 2014, FHFA released its 2014 Strategic Plan for the Conservatorships of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Although the strategic plan no longer involves specific steps to contract Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's market presence, it retains a goal focused on ways to bring additional private capital into the system in order to reduce taxpayer risk. In addition, the strategic plan includes a goal that involves working toward the development of the operational and systems capabilities to issue a single common security for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Development of a single common security could reduce the trading advantage Fannie Mae mortgage-backed securities have over Freddie Mac securities. If this were to occur, it could negatively impact our ability to compete for mortgage assets in the secondary market, and therefore could adversely affect our results of operations.

In both the first and second sessions of the current Congress, members of Congress considered several bills to reform the housing finance system, including bills that, among other things, would require Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to be wound down after a period of time and place certain restrictions on Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's activities prior to being wound down. We expect that Congress will continue to hold hearings and consider legislation on the future status of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, including proposals that would result in Fannie Mae's liquidation or dissolution. We cannot predict the prospects for the enactment, timing or final content of housing finance reform legislation. See "Business—Housing Finance Reform" in our 2013 Form 10-K and "Legislative and Regulatory Developments—Housing Finance Reform" in our First Quarter 2014 Form 10-Q and in this report for more information about the Administration's report and paper, and Congressional proposals regarding housing finance reform.

Congress or FHFA may also consider legislation or regulation aimed at increasing the competition we face or reducing our market share. For example, significant changes to conforming loan limits could reduce the number of loans available for us to acquire, which would affect the amount of guaranty fees we receive.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Under the terms of our senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury, we are prohibited from selling or issuing our equity interests, other than as required by (and pursuant to) the terms of a binding agreement in effect on September 7, 2008, without the prior written consent of Treasury. During the quarter ended June 30, 2014, we did not sell any equity securities.

Information about Certain Securities Issuances by Fannie Mae

Pursuant to SEC regulations, public companies are required to disclose certain information when they incur a material direct financial obligation or become directly or contingently liable for a material obligation under an off-balance sheet arrangement. The disclosure must be made in a current report on Form 8-K under Item 2.03 or, if the obligation is incurred in connection with certain types of securities offerings, in prospectuses for that offering that are filed with the SEC.

Because the securities we issue are exempted securities under the Securities Act of 1933, we do not file registration statements or prospectuses with the SEC with respect to our securities offerings. To comply with the disclosure requirements of Form 8-K relating to the incurrence of material financial obligations, we report our incurrence of these types of obligations either in offering circulars or prospectuses (or supplements thereto) that we post on our Web site or in a current report on Form 8-K that we file with the SEC, in accordance with a "no-action" letter we received from the SEC staff in 2004. In cases where the information is disclosed in a prospectus or offering circular posted on our Web site, the document will be posted on our Web site within the same time period that a prospectus for a non-exempt securities offering would be required to be filed with the SEC.

The Web site address for disclosure about our debt securities is www.fanniemae.com/debtsearch. From this address, investors can access the offering circular and related supplements for debt securities offerings under Fannie Mae's universal debt facility, including pricing supplements for individual issuances of debt securities.

Disclosure about our obligations pursuant to some of the MBS we issue, some of which may be off-balance sheet obligations, can be found at www.fanniemae.com/mbsdisclosure. From this address, investors can access information and documents about our MBS, including prospectuses and related prospectus supplements.

We are providing our Web site address solely for your information. Information appearing on our Web site is not incorporated into this report.

Our Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any of our equity securities during the second quarter of 2014.

Dividend Restrictions

Our payment of dividends is subject to the following restrictions:

Restrictions Relating to Conservatorship. Our conservator announced on September 7, 2008 that we would not pay any dividends on the common stock or on any series of preferred stock, other than the senior preferred stock. In addition, FHFA's regulations relating to conservatorship and receivership operations prohibit us from paying any dividends while in conservatorship unless authorized by the Director of FHFA. The Director of FHFA directs us to make dividend payments on the senior preferred stock on a quarterly basis.

Restrictions Under Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement. The senior preferred stock purchase agreement prohibits us from declaring or paying any dividends on Fannie Mae equity securities (other than the senior preferred stock) without the prior written consent of Treasury. In addition, in 2012 the terms of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement and the senior preferred stock were amended to ultimately require the payment of our entire net worth to Treasury. As a result, our net income is not available to common stockholders. For more information on the terms of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement and senior preferred stock, see "Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements—Treasury Agreements—Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement and Related Issuance of Senior Preferred Stock and Common Stock Warrant" in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Additional Restrictions Relating to Preferred Stock. Payment of dividends on our common stock is also subject to the prior payment of dividends on our preferred stock and our senior preferred stock. Payment of dividends on all outstanding preferred stock, other than the senior preferred stock, is also subject to the prior payment of dividends on the senior preferred stock.

Statutory Restrictions. Under the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the 2008 Reform Act (together, the "GSE Act"), FHFA has authority to prohibit capital distributions, including payment of dividends, if we fail to meet our capital requirements. If FHFA classifies us as significantly undercapitalized, approval of the Director of FHFA is required for any dividend payment. Under the GSE Act, we are not permitted to make a capital distribution if, after making the distribution, we would be undercapitalized, except the Director of FHFA may permit us to repurchase shares if the repurchase is made in connection with the issuance of additional shares or obligations in at least an equivalent amount and will reduce our financial obligations or otherwise improve our financial condition.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

An index to exhibits has been filed as part of this report beginning on page E-1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Federal National Mortgage Association

By: /s/ Timothy J. Mayopoulos
Timothy J. Mayopoulos
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2014

By: /s/ David C. Benson
David C. Benson
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 7, 2014

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| <u>Item</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------|--|
| 3.1 | Fannie Mae Charter Act (12 U.S.C. § 1716 et seq.) as amended through July 30, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Fannie Mae's Annual Report on Form 10-K (Commission file number 001-34140) for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed February 24, 2011.) |
| 3.2 | Fannie Mae Bylaws, as amended through January 30, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Fannie Mae's Annual Report on Form 10-K (Commission file number 001-34140) for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed February 26, 2009.) |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 101. INS | XBRL Instance Document* |
| 101. SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema* |
| 101. CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation* |
| 101. DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition* |
| 101. LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label* |
| 101. PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation* |

* The financial information contained in these XBRL documents is unaudited.



CERTIFICATION

PURSUANT TO SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT RULE 13a-14(a)

I, Timothy J. Mayopoulos, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 of Fannie Mae (formally, the Federal National Mortgage Association);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Timothy J. Mayopoulos

Timothy J. Mayopoulos
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2014

CERTIFICATION

PURSUANT TO SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT RULE 13a-14(a)

I, David C. Benson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 of Fannie Mae (formally, the Federal National Mortgage Association);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ David C. Benson

David C. Benson
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 7, 2014

CERTIFICATION

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Fannie Mae (formally, the Federal National Mortgage Association) for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Timothy J. Mayopoulos, President and Chief Executive Officer of Fannie Mae, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Fannie Mae.

/s/ Timothy J. Mayopoulos

Timothy J. Mayopoulos
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2014

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.

CERTIFICATION

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Fannie Mae (formally, the Federal National Mortgage Association) for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, David C. Benson, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Fannie Mae, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Fannie Mae.

/s/ David C. Benson

David C. Benson
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 7, 2014

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document